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SUMMARY

Introduction: Noise-induced hearing loss is a sensorineural hearing loss, usually bilateral, irreversible and progressive with time of exposure. As the noise made by children in school may be considered detrimental, the study looks of their occurrence in Taubaté’s schools.

Objective: To determine if students are exposed to noise intensity affecting the cochlea, define the profile of these schoolchildren, demonstrating the occurrence of changes in cochlear activity following exposure to noise in a day of class.

Method: Study’s way prospective transversal cross sectional cut with 28 elementary school students in the first half of 2009. Questionnaires for assessing preexisting cochlear damage. Evaluation of cochlear function by analysis of acoustic emissions evoked distortion product, made before the students come into class and immediately after the end of these. Measurement of noise inside the classrooms and recreation areas during the interval.

Results: 57.1% accused some hearing loss in the examinations before class. By day’s end, 04 girls and 03 boys had worsened in relation of the first examination. The noise reached levels higher than recommended at the three class rooms. The largest number of students with worsening, belong to the class room with higher noise level. The noise during the intervals is also excessive.

Conclusion: The noise in this school is above the limit. 42.85% of students who had experienced worsening had school performance inadequate. 25% had worse after noise exposure in a school day.
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RESUMO

Introdução: Perda auditiva induzida por ruído configura-se como perda auditiva neurosensorial, geralmente bilateral, irreversível e progressiva com o tempo de exposição. Como o ruído produzido por crianças na escola pode estar acima da intensidade considerada lesiva, o estudo verificou a ocorrência em escolas de Taubaté.

Objetivo: Aferir se escolares estão expostos a ruídos de intensidade lesiva à cóclea; definir o perfil destes escolares; demonstrar ocorrência de alterações na atividade coclear após exposição de um dia ao ruído do ambiente escolar.

Método: Forma de estudo transversal com 28 alunos do ensino fundamental no primeiro semestre de 2009. Questionários para avaliação de possível lesão coclear prévia. Avaliação da função coclear através da análise das emissões acústicas evocadas por produto de distorção, realizadas antes dos alunos entrarem em aula e logo após o final destas. Aferição do ruído dentro das salas de aula e em locais de recreação durante o intervalo.

Resultados: 57,1% acusaram alguma perda auditiva nos exames realizados antes das aulas. Ao final do dia, 04 meninas e 03 meninos tinham piorado em relação ao primeiro exame. O ruído alcançou valores maiores do que o recomendado nas três salas estudadas. O maior número de alunos com piora pertenciam à sala com maior nível de ruído. O ruído produzido durante os intervalos também está excessivo.

Conclusão: O ruído nesta escola está acima do limite. 42,85% dos alunos que apresentaram piora tinham desempenho escolar insuficiente. 25% apresentaram piora após exposição aos ruídos em um dia letivo.

Palavras-chave: perda auditiva provocada por ruído, estudantes, aprendizagem.


**INTRODUCTION**

Noise-induced hearing loss results from injury to the ear due to exposure to noise, and its severity depends on both the intensity and exposure time and the susceptibility of the cochlea to noise (1). More precisely, the perception of deafness results from injury to the sensory cells of the inner ear (cochlea) (2).

Due to sound increases in the school environment in recent years, several studies have evaluated noise intensity at school, where children stay an average of 4 h per day (3). Although the Brazilian Technical Standards Association (ABNT) stipulates that the noise inside the classroom should not exceed a maximum of 50 dB (4), a study by Celani, Bevilácqua, and branches (5) found that the noise in the school environment can reach 94.3 dB.

**METHOD**

This cross-sectional study was approved by the Committee of Ethics and Research Protocol on April 24, 2009 with CEP/UNITAU 062/09. The survey was conducted in the public school E.M.E.F. Prof. Álvaro, Marcondes with students in the sixth year of elementary school during the first half of 2009 from the following classrooms: B, 12 students; C, 7 students; and D, 9 students. The questionnaires were delivered to the 30 parents of the students with the best and the worst notes of the 3 rooms without prior knowledge of the researchers as to each student’s school. The questionnaire asked about any diseases the student had experienced in childhood, any for which they were still in treatment, any deafness-related diseases of family members, and the student’s developmental history. A term of consent explained the instruments that would be used in the research and the expected results. Positive response to questions 6, 11, 12, and 13 of the questionnaire met the evaluation criteria of possible prior cochlear injury.

After the questionnaires were returned, students were subjected to an otoscopy exam to rule out other disorders of the external ear and cochlear function assessment carried out by means of acoustic emission analysis evoked by a distortion-product otoacoustic emission (DPOAE) using an Eroscan (Maico Inc, Minneapolis, MN, USA) at frequencies of 2, 3, 4, and 5 KHz in booth audiometria.

On the day of the field research, students were isolated in a room and subjected to analyses carried out on 2 occasions: before entering the classroom at the start of the school day and soon after the end of the day. Measurements of noise inside the classroom were made using a digital sound level meter MSL-1325 MINIPA Ltda. (São Paulo) in 5 distinct locations (in 4 and ends in the Center). Between lessons, we also measured noise levels in places of recreation.

With the values obtained with the level meter was observed minimum and maximum intensity of noise inside the classroom with the presence of all students and in the forecourt, during the interval. We compared the initial and final DPOAE for the existence of possible changes suggesting cochlear injury after noise exposure at school, a value that was defined as being changed if there was no reply in 2 or more frequencies and worsened when one more frequently if present absent in the second exam. These data were crossed-referenced with the questionnaire responses regarding the students with the best and worst notes to define a pattern that can justify the difference between the school of the 2 groups plus a possible hearing loss.

**RESULTS**

The 28 students (13 females, 15 males) who participated in the study were 10–14 years of age. Most of the students (57.1%) were 11 years old and the average age was 11.11 (standard deviation = 0.916). These 28 students were divided by school performance: 14 (12 males, 2 females) had insufficient grades, while the other 14 (11 females, 3 males) had sufficient grades. According to the noise injury screening questions, 7 students did not meet any criteria, 9 students met criteria 1 and 7 students fill out 02 or students meet criteria, criteria and only 03 01 students met all 4 criteria.

Chart 1 shows the minimum and maximum noise levels in classrooms B, C, and D, room B in the morning and rooms C and D in the evening, with all students present and noise inside the room where the test of acoustic emissions, outside audiometria. Rooms B, C, and D had maximum values of 84.3, 96.2, and 93 dB and minimum values of 66.1, 71.1, and 67. 4 dB, respectively.

As shown in Table 1, of the 28 students surveyed, 16 (57.1%) showed some degree of change in the cochlear response in examination carried out at the beginning of the school day. Of these 16 students, 11 were male and 5 were female.
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Table 2 shows that of the 28 students, 7 (25%) had worsened cochlear responses compared to the initial examination.

Table 2. Result of acoustic emission examination carried out at the end of the day 28 students studied School of Taubaté, 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-exposure Results</th>
<th>Students (n)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Worsening</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsening</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = 0.27 (Fisher’s exact test)

Which the infection? Only 2 students in addition to the other disclosed infections had previously been given an antibiotic injection. Both were among the inadequately performing students.

You, the student’s mother, had some infection diagnosed during pregnancy? There was some problem during pregnancy of this child? Only 4 mothers had gestational infections (urinary tract infection) and only those 4 experienced problems during childbirth. Of these students, 3 were in the insufficient group and all had cochlear problems—one before exposure and 3 after exposure.

Menière’s disease: your child complains of dizziness or zonzeira? He has complained of noise or buzzing in the
Evoked otoacoustic emissions (EOAEs) are low-intensity noise energies that are amplified by contraction of the outer hair cells of the cochlea that may be captured in the external acoustic meatus in response to an acoustic stimulus (6). EOAEs are classified into 3 categories: transient or transient raised by a brief acoustic stimulus, usually a click, over a broad spectrum covering a range of frequencies; a DPOAE raised by 2 simultaneous pure tones \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) that produce a distortion product \( 2f_1-f_2 \) by intermodulation; and a stimulus frequency raised by a continuous low-intensity signal matching the frequency of the presented stimulus. These are less used clinically as a result of your registration offer many technical difficulties and the time of examination be greater (7).

EOAE are recorded in the vast majority of individuals with normal hearing regardless of age or sex. Their presence indicates the integrity of cochlear mechanism and establishes whether the activity in the exposed ear is within normal limits regardless of patient intention or cooperation. Otoacoustic emission screening exposes fewer false positives and false negatives. Its speed, non-invasive nature, and accuracy make it a test with an ideal profile for screening programs (7).

Several factors can be related to the fact that 12 of the 14 students with insufficient grades were male. Studies report that boys tend to have lower school performance than girls because they are busier, have a lesser ability to concentrate, and mature more slowly than girls (6).

This work showed that the noise inside the 3 classroom of the studied school exceeded the recommended maximum values. The maximum values of rooms A, B, and C were 84, 96.2, and 93 dB, respectively, while the minimum values were 66.1, 71.1, and 67.4, respectively. Room C obtained maximum minimum values both as too superior rooms (96.2 –71.1) and the noise levels of all 3 studied rooms were above the value of 50 dB advocated by ABNT (4). The intensity found in this study was similar to that of Celani et al. (5) and larger than that of Serafini et al. (8). However, compared to the study by Reddy et al. (9), whose peak values surpassed 100 dB (A) in series 3, the results of this study were minors.

The noise produced during the breaks was also excessive in both school periods (morning and evening) with maximum values reaching 88, 3BS in the morning and 102 dB in the afternoon, surpassing the Protocol of the Ministry of Health, which is 85 dB (1). However, the students were exposed to this noise level for approximately 20 min (interval length). The ABNT (4) states that exposure to noise levels \( > 108 \) dB for 20 min results in cochlear injury. We did not study values exceeding this threshold during this evaluation, but we did identify that the hearing loss of 2 students from room B (in the morning) worsened in the acoustic emission examination at the end of the school day, while the hearing loss of a larger number of students of the evening period (room C, 4 students; room D, one student) worsened after exposure.

Studies show that excessive noise leads to stress, difficulty concentrating, neuropsychomotor development retardation, aggression, and low income (7). The noise found in classrooms and on the patio in this study compared with data from the Brazilian Society of Otorhinolaryngology show that the same noise levels (80–110 dB) are caused by heavy traffic, race cars, and underground trains (10), demonstrating that the values found in this study are not appropriate for the school environment, so little to the physical and mental health of children in this learning phases for the other school professionals.

Of the 28 students evaluated, 16 (57.1%) reported some degree of pre-existing hearing loss. Of these 16, 7 students had insufficient academic performance. It is possible that the school performance is related to the detected hearing changes. Also noted that these 6 replied that they were exposed to intense sound (i.e., they met at least one of the criteria for sound exposure). Considering these data and our limited number of samples, we cannot claim that these pre-existing changes are due to exposure to noise produced during a student’s school life, but these findings did alert us to the fact that not only the noise inside the school but also the students’ habits (e.g., using an mp3 player at high volume, exposure to loud music in discos, high-volume conversations) contributed to the detected hearing loss.

In the afternoon examinations, we found that the hearing of 7 students had worsened in relation to the first examination, all of whom were part of the group of 16 students who had pre-existing hearing loss. Of these 7 students with worsening hearing, 4 were male and 3 were female. Two were tested in the morning (room B), while the others were tested in the afternoon (4 in room C and one in room D). Of these students with worsening hearing, 07 04 03 boys and girls were; 02 were the morning period (room B), and the rest of the evening period (room C and 04 01 Student Room D). Room C had the highest noise intensity and, as expected, the largest number of students with worsening cochlear responses.
CONCLUSIONS

This study revealed the following:

- The noise produced in this school exceeds the recommended limits defined by ABNT and the Ministry of Health.
- Almost half (42.85%) of the students who showed worsening hearing in the acoustic emission examination had insufficient school performance.
- A good portion (25%) of the students showed worsening hearing in the acoustic emission examination after one-day exposure to noise at school.
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