A New Technique for Conchal Cartilage Harvest
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INTRODUCTION

Autologous cartilage has a high resistance to infection and a low rate of resorption, which makes it an ideal graft material for rhinoplasty [1]. Septal cartilage is generally considered the preferred graft material, being located at an optimal site. Its advantages include anatomic location within the same operative field and abundant availability of the cartilage [2]. However, the availability of the septal cartilage is limited in patients undergoing revision surgery, patients with a history of nasal trauma, or those with septal perforation. A congenitally limited distribution of septal cartilage may also affect availability [3]. In such cases, auricular cartilage is considered an appropriate alternative to septal cartilage. Typically, when auricular cartilage is utilized, conchal cartilage is preferred [4]. Several factors must be considered when harvesting conchal cartilage: harvesting an adequate amount, obtaining cartilage without inflicting damage during surgery, and ensuring that there are no postoperative changes to the shape of the ear [2].

To harvest conchal cartilage, either an anterior or posterior approach is used, with incision lines on the anterior or posterior surface of the ear, respectively. With a posterior approach, it is difficult to harvest an adequate amount of cartilage from the concha cymba. With an anterior approach, scar contracture or trapdoor scars can result because of the shape of the concave incision line [5]. The incision can be split into 2 parts, upper and lower, in order to circumvent the aforementioned disadvantages of the anterior approach. However, using 2 incision sites can re-
result in damage to the cartilage due to suboptimal surgical site access. Due to the concave shape of the conchal cartilage, pressure force occurs, and a sharp elevator may cause damage to the cartilage. Thus, we developed and utilized a ball-type elevator in order to avoid damaging the cartilage.

In this study, we employed an anterior approach in order to harvest a sufficient amount of conchal cartilage for rhinoplasty. Two incisions were made to minimize changes to the shape of the ear, and a ball-type elevator was used to minimize the damage to the cartilage during harvest. We achieved good results using this technique and hereby present our experience.

IDEA

From January 2014 to December 2015, auricular cartilage harvest operations were performed on 17 patients. The average patient age was 32 years (range, 19–51 years). Fourteen patients had a history of nasal surgery. Septoplasty was performed in 3 of the 14 patients with a surgical history. Secondary rhinoplasty was performed in 11 of the 14 patients, as they had undergone rhinoplasty with septoplasty in the past. Two patients had a history of nasal trauma and presented with a post-traumatic deformity. In these cases, the use of septal cartilage was deemed inappropriate. One patient had a secondary cleft lip and nose deformity, and conchal cartilage was used for alar wall reconstruction.

Surgery was performed under local anesthesia. The patient’s face and both ears were exposed and prepared. For hemostasis and hydrodissection, 1% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine was infiltrated into the subperichondrial plane above the conchal cartilage. Incision markings were made on the superior border of the concha cymba and concha cavum, with a length of approximately 1.5 cm (Fig. 1). The skin and soft tissue incision was made above the marks using a No. 15 blade. Further dissection was performed with dissecting scissors to expose the perichondrium. The perichondrial incision was made with a No. 15 blade and the subperichondrial plane was exposed. Prior hydrodissection facilitates this process. Initially, a pair of sharp dissecting scissors was used to dissect the anterior auricular flap of the conchal cartilage in the subperichondrial plane. Once the conchal cartilage was exposed, blunt dissection with a ball-type elevator (Fig. 2A) was performed and a wide skin flap including the perichondrium was elevated by a subperichondrial approach (Figs. 2, 3). The first upward incision was made on the cartilage using a No. 15 blade and then cut with the dissecting scissors. Blunt dissection with a ball-type elevator was performed to include the perichondrium on the posterior surface of the cartilage. The lower dissection was performed in similar fashion. The cartilage was harvested using a tissue forceps and dissecting scissors. Electrocautery was used for securing hemostasis and a 5-0 fast-absorbing suture was used for skin closure. Bolster dressing was applied with gauze and a silicon drain was maintained to prevent hematoma formation and skin necrosis. The drain was removed on the third postoperative day and sutures were removed on the seventh day.

An adequate amount of cartilage was harvested from 1 ear in 16 patients. Only 1 patient required cartilage harvest from both
ears. The average dimensions of the harvested cartilage were 22.8 mm in height and 13.6 mm in width. Postoperative hematoma, skin necrosis, infection, or other complications were not observed in any of the patients. The average follow-up period was 10 months, with a range of 3 to 24 months. There were no reports of hypertrophic scar or keloid formation during the follow-up period. All 17 patients were satisfied with the appearance of the donor site after surgery (Fig. 4). In only 1 case was there damage to the cartilage.

**DISCUSSION**

The ideal grafting material should be biocompatible, highly resistant to infection, non-resorbable, easily manipulated, structurally sound, non-carcinogenic, easy to obtain, cost-effective, and similar to the native framework. Graft material comes in 3 types: autografts (native cartilage, bone, fascia, or dermis), homografts (irradiated rib or pooled acellular dermis), and alloplasts (Silastic, Mersilene, Gore-Tex, or Medpor) [6]. Homografts may have problems with resorption and warping [7]. Alloplasts may have an increased incidence of infection and extrusion [8]. The use of autografts presents several advantages: they are easily manipulated and highly resistant to infection, and have a low rate of resorption [1]. Autologous cartilage graft material can be harvested from various areas, and these typically include septal cartilage, auricular cartilage, and costal cartilage [9].

Septal cartilage is the primary choice of graft material in rhinoplasty. It can be used in all areas of rhinoplasty, including reconstruction of the nasal tip, dorsal onlay, columellar strut, and nasal spreader grafts. Septal cartilage has several advantages. It is easy to harvest, it is associated with low morbidity, and it is drawn from the same operative field [10]. However, the use of septal cartilage is limited in patients undergoing secondary revision surgery, patients with a history of trauma to the area, and those with nasal septum perforation [3].

Costal cartilage is utilized when a large amount of graft material or strong structural support is required [11]. However, the use of costal cartilage as graft material requires an additional operative field, and is associated with an increased likelihood of chest wall infection, hematoma, anatomic deformity, and pneumothorax [12].

Auricular cartilage is generally utilized alone or as a supplemental source of graft material when the availability of the primary graft material is limited [2]. Auricular cartilage is often used as a graft material for East Asian patients, whose noses may contain limited septal cartilage, or in patients where septal cartilage is limited for other reasons [13]. Auricular cartilage is used for the reconstruction of the nasal tip, dorsal onlay, alar contour, and lower lateral cartilages [14]. Auricular cartilage presents the advantage of being harvested from the same operative field, with low morbidity of the donor site. Moreover, warping is uncommon and the curved three-dimensional structure of the auricular cartilage is similar to the lateral crura. Conchal cartilage is the most common type of auricular cartilage that is used as a graft material. The concha is divided into the superior cymba and the inferior cavum. Cavum cartilage is typically used for nasal tip plasty. Cymba cartilage is more curved, more delicate, and narrower than cavum cartilage [13].

Although the posterior approach for auricular cartilage harvest can provide better cosmetic results by hiding the scar more efficiently, only a limited amount of cartilage can be harvested. The anterior approach allows easier dissection, with better visible
landmarks for preserving the shape of the ear [2], and a larger amount of cymba cartilage can be harvested. Furthermore, the anterior approach allows the preservation of the neurovascular system distributed along the posterior surface of the ear. One of the disadvantages of this approach is the visibility of a scar on the anterior surface of the ear. To minimize this, an incision is made along the conchal rim to hide the scar within the convolution of the antihelix [15]. Regardless of the effort, anterior scars are easily observed in East Asian patients, and deformation in the shape of the ear is more likely [13]. Other problems include trapdoor scar formation due to the concave incision line and linear scar contracture secondary to the linear incision line. To prevent the development of linear scar contracture, the incision line can be divided into 2 short incision lines on the superior border of the conchal cymba and cavum. Of course, the incision length is dependent on the size of the ear.

The novel technique presented here uses 2 short incisions (1 above and 1 below) to harvest the cartilage, with limited exposure of the middle portion of the cartilage. Thus, cartilage damage during harvest is more likely. In addition, existing sharp tools such as the Freer elevator may act as a knife when the pressure vector is inconsistent with the shape of the cartilage. This results in damage to the cartilage. Therefore, less utility can be derived from the cartilage after harvest. A blunt dissection with a ball-type elevator can be used to minimize damage to the cartilage. Two short incisions in addition to the incision of the cartilage also facilitate easier dissection. This helps to harvest the cartilage without damage. By preserving the perichondrium on the anterior side of the ear, deformation of the ear can be avoided.

In this study, we used a novel technique with 2 short incisions for conchal cartilage harvest. We minimized damage to the cartilage during harvest by using a ball-type elevator and limited postoperative changes to the shape of the ear shape by employing a rather simple surgical procedure.
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