Could sugar intake initiate or aggravate non-alcoholic fatty liver during aging? An integrative review
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Abstract

Introduction: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is part of the metabolic syndrome (MS) which is a clustering of risk factors that increase the incidence of cardiovascular events and diabetes mellitus (DM). The population aging process brings with it higher prevalence of MS. The prevalence of NAFLD has increased considerably, simultaneously with the expansion of MS, ranging from 15% to 25% in the general population. In Brazil, overweight plus obesity corresponds to 40% of the adult population and the prevalence found in the elderly age group reaches 81%. Thus, the carbohydrate intake has been identified as a key factor for the development of NAFLD.

Objective: The purpose of this integrative review is to assess whether the sugar consumption by adults and elders may influence in the development and progression of NAFLD in individuals with or without metabolic syndrome.

Materials and methods: The integrative review search was performed on PubMed database during September 2015. The selection criteria was adults and elderly people; sugar intake, such as, glucose or fructose; liver fat or NAFLD. Our major outcome was the hepatic profile because it is related to the sugar intake. We excluded review papers and studies with animals, as well as papers that were not related to our selection criteria.

Results: The studies analyzed the sugar intake on hepatic de novo lipogenesis or NAFLD.

Conclusion: We conclude in the most of the articles sugar intake and NAFLD have a positive correlation. However further studies are needed to elucidate the mechanism that sugars intake, mainly fructose, leads to NAFLD, or aggravating it.
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1 Introduction

The non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is characterized histologically by intracellular accumulation of triglycerides (TG) in more than 5% of hepatocytes (SCHINDHELM, DIAMANT, DEKKER et al., 2006) from hepatic parenchymal (SHETH, GORDON and CHOPRA, 1997; ÂNGULO, 2002; SASS, CHANG and CHOPRA, 2005), and understand, clinically, from hepatic steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) which can lead to cirrhosis and even to hepato cellular carcinoma (ADAMS, SANDERSON, LINDOR et al., 2005; BUGIANESI, LEONE, VANNI et al., 2002). The pathological picture refers to liver damage induced by alcohol, but occurs in people who do not have significant alcohol consumption. NAFLD may be considered the leading cause of morbidity and mortality related to liver diseases due to their potential to progress to liver failure (CARVALHEIRA and SAAD, 2006).

NAFLD is characterized by changes in liver tissue ranging from fat accumulation in the liver to NASH, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Thus, the metabolic syndrome aids in risk of development of hepatocarcinoma by NAFLD and NASH (CARVALHEIRA and SAAD, 2006).

Inadequate weight gain associated with visceral fat accumulation predetermines the development of insulin resistance (IR), dyslipidemia, hypertension and pro-inflammatory state and pro-thrombotic which are risk factors for developing type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (CVD) (GRUNDY, CLEEMAN, DANIELS et al., 2005; EZQUERRA, VÁZQUEZ and BARRERO, 2008), besides being components in the metabolic syndrome (MS).

In 2006 the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that the elderly population will triple between 2000 and 2050, from 600 million to 2 billion elderly (WORLD…, 2008). According to the latest projection of the population conducted by IBGE (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística), the proportion for the group of seniors with 60 years or older has the sharp increase, from 13.8% in 2020 to 33.7% in 2060. The group of seniors with 60 years and older will...
greater than the group of children up to 14 years after 2030 (INSTITUTO..., 2013a).

According to the WHO report, released in 2014, of the 56 million deaths that occurred in 2012, 38 million were caused by chronic non communicable diseases (NCDs), among the most prominent are cardiovascular disease (CVD), cancer, chronic respiratory diseases and diabetes (WORLD..., 2014). The metabolic syndrome (MS) is a clustering of risk factors that increase the incidence of cardiovascular events and diabetes mellitus (DM). The population aging process brings with it higher prevalence of MS (SAAD, CARDOSO, DE ANDRADE MARTINS et al., 2014) and represents a high risk for cardiovascular disease, DM, mobility changes (BLAZER, HYBELS and FILLENBAUM, 2006), cognitive impairment (DIK, JONKER, COMIJJS et al., 2007) and depression in the elderly (KOPONEN, JOKELAINEN, KEINANEN-KIU/KAANNIEMI et al., 2008). And for individuals in this age group, the consequences of MS are even more pronounced, mainly due to physiological changes associated with aging (STRAGMALIA, GRECO, GUGLIELMI et al., 2010).

The prevalence of NAFLD has increased considerably, simultaneously with the expansion of MS, ranging from 15% to 25% in the general population (HAUKELAND, KONOPSKI, LINSTAD et al., 2005; MARCHESINI, BUGIANESI, FORLANI et al., 2003). In the US adult population is estimated that the prevalence of overweight plus the obesity is greater than 64% (FLEGAL, CARROLL, OGDEN et al., 2010). In Brazil, data provided from IBGE showed that overweight plus obesity account for 40% of the adult population (INSTITUTO..., 2013b). The prevalence found in the elderly age group reaches 81% (NATIONAL..., 2001), since during the aging process, the organism gradually loses its efficiency (LEITE and FERNADES, 2011).

The etiology of NAFLD may be justified, in part, by increased influx of lipids, due to higher lipolysis, predominantly in the adipose tissue along with excess of fat from the diet (ZIVKOVIC, GERMAN and SANYAL, 2007). Furthermore, increased hepatic lipogenesis, and reduction in mitochondrial beta-oxidation or very low lipoprotein (VLDL) secretion, may also predispose to accumulation of lipids in the liver (RECTOR, THYFAULT, WEI et al., 2008; FABBIRINI, MOHAMMED, MAGEKO et al., 2008; BROWNING and HORTON, 2004; OTA, GAYET and GINSBERG, 2008; POSTIC and GIRARD, 2008). Hepatic lipids accumulation, results in injury to hepatocytes, which can cause inflammation leading to fibrosis (DUVNJAK, LEROTIC, BARSIC et al., 2007; TARGHER, BERTOLINI, RODELLA et al., 2008; WEI, RECTOR, THYFAULT et al., 2008).

Individual action of metabolic and nutritional factors in the pathogenesis of NAFLD it is not yet fully elucidated. Insulin resistance appears to have a key role in the development of hepatic steatosis and some authors consider it as a causal factor for the development of the disease (MUSSO, GAMINO, DE MICHELI et al., 2003; CAVE, DEACIUC, MENDEZ et al., 2007). Some studies have been conducting in patients with NAFLD in order to identify whether food consumption patterns may be associated with predisposition of the disease, and some studies suggest the association of a high-fat diet with increased consumption of carbohydrates (RECTOR, THYFAULT, WEI et al., 2008; THUY, LADURNER, VOLYNETS et al., 2008; SILBERNAGEL, MACHANN, UNMUTH et al., 2011; ABDELMALEK, LAZO, HORSKA et al., 2012; KANERVA, SANDBOGE, KAARTINEN et al., 2014; SCHWARZ, NOWOROLSKI, WEN et al., 2015).

Carbohydrate intake has been identified as a key factor for the development of NAFLD (THUY, LADURNER, VOLYNETS et al., 2008). Results of a study in humans suggested that a high carbohydrate diet could be the main cause of NAFLD, increasing the chance of achieving later stages disease (SOLGA, ALKHURAISHE, CLARK et al., 2004; TOSHIMITSU, MATSUURA, OHKUBO et al., 2007). Within this context, fructose, a monosaccharide, is appointed for causing NAFLD (ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010). This reducing sugar is found in processed products such as snack foods, breakfast cereals and crackers. Its excess may be associated with stimulation of triglyceride synthesis in the liver (VOS, COLVIN, BELT et al., 2012).

Due to the instability of the molecular furanose ring, fructose promotes frutosylation of protein and formation of reactive oxygen species, requiring extra supply of antioxidants, since patients with NAFLD have lower blood levels of these substances (LIM, MIETUS-SNYDER, VALENTE et al., 2010). Studies showed that exacerbated consumption of fructose increases fat mass, de novo lipogenesis (DNL), inflammation and induces insulin resistance and postprandial hypertriglyceridemia, particularly in overweight individuals (GLUSHAKOVA, KOSUGI, RONCAL et al., 2008; LIGHT, TSANZI, GIGLIOTTI et al., 2009; CIRILLO, GERSCH, MU et al., 2009; CALAFELL, BOADA, SANTIDRIAN et al., 2009; STANHOPE, SCHWARZ, KEIM et al., 2009; FAEH, MINEHIRA, SCHWARZ et al., 2005).

Furthermore, diets containing large amounts of fructose are associated with increased TG (BANTLE, RAATZ, THOMAS et al., 2000; TEFF, ELLIOTT, TSCHOP et al., 2004; CHONG, FIELDING and FRAYN, 2007; STANHOPE and HAVEL, 2009; LÉ, ITH, KREIS et al., 2009; HUDGINS, PARKER, LEVINE et al., 2011), apolipoprotein B100 (ApoB 100), and low lipoprotein (LDL) levels (STANHOPE and HAVEL, 2009), resulting in an atherogenic profile that is associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) (KANNEL and VASAN, 2009), and diabetes mellitus (BASU, YOFFE, HILLS et al., 2013; ROMAGUERA, NORTAR, WARK et al., 2013). Some studies showed that long-term intake of fructose is able to increase the deposit of visceral fat and hepatic steatosis (STANHOPE, SCHWARZ, KEIM et al., 2009; MAERSK, BELZA, STÖDKILDE-JÖRGENSEN et al., 2011; ZIVKOVIC, GERMAN and SANYAL, 2007).

The purpose of this integrative review is to assess whether the sugar consumption, commonly present in the Western diet, by adults and elders may influence in the development and progression of NAFLD in individuals with or without metabolic syndrome.

2 Materials and Methods

The integrative review search was performed on PubMed database during September 2015 using the following Mesh terms, entry terms, and related keywords: (Fatty Liver OR Hepatic Steatosis OR Liver Steatosis OR Steatosis of Liver) AND (Sugar Consumption OR Carbohydrates Consumption OR Sugars Consumption OR Carbohydrate Consumption) AND (Elderly OR Aged OR Aging). The words on our search were used to research on Cochrane Library to verify if the aims of this study were analyzed by other papers on the last ten years.
years. We selected the papers published in the last 10 years. We included studies published in English, Portuguese and Spanish. The selection criteria was adults and elderly people; sugar intake, such as, glucose or fructose; liver fat or fatty liver or NAFLD. Our major outcome was the hepatic profile because it is related to the sugar intake. Consequently, sugar intake is associated to fatty liver and NAFLD. We excluded review papers and studies with animals, as well as papers that were not related to our selection criteria, such as, teenager subjects only, probiotic studies and multiple pathologies that could interfere in the hepatic profile.

3 Results and Discussion

We can see at Figure 1 the fluxogram of the article selection process and exclusion criteria. Our search yielded nine papers included in this review (PARKS, SKOKAN, TIMLIN et al., 2008; THUY, LADURNER, VOVYNETS et al., 2008; ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010; HUGDINGS, PARKER, LEVINE et al., 2011; SILBERNAGEL, MACHANN, UNMUTH et al., 2011; STANHOPE, GRIFFEN, BREMER et al., 2011; ABDELMALEK, LAZO, HORSKA et al., 2012; KANERVA, SANDBOGE, KAARTINEN et al., 2014; SCHWARZ, NOWOROLSKI, WEN et al., 2015) as seen at Table 1.

The hepatic de novo lipogenesis that is associated with the increase liver fat and consequently NAFLD was analyzed in five studies (PARKS, SKOKAN, TIMLIN et al., 2008; THUY, LADURNER, VOVYNETS et al., 2008; SILBERNAGEL, MACHANN, UNMUTH et al., 2011; STANHOPE, GRIFFEN, BREMER et al., 2011; SCHWARZ, NOWOROLSKI, WEN et al., 2015) and the association between sugar intake and NAFLD was analyzed in four studies (THUY, LADURNER, VOVYNETS et al., 2008; ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010; ABDELMALEK, LAZO, HORSKA et al., 2012; KANERVA, SANDBOGE, KAARTINEN et al., 2014).

The studies analyzed the sugar intake of fructose alone (ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010; ABDELMALEK, LAZO, HORSKA et al., 2012; KANERVA, SANDBOGE, KAARTINEN et al., 2014).

![Fluxogram of the article selection process and exclusion criteria.](image-url)

Figure 1. Fluxogram of the article selection process and exclusion criteria.
Table 1. Data description of all studies included in the integrative review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Age (range)</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Sugar</th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Duration of the study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Skokan, Timlin et al. (2008)</td>
<td>28±8 (NR)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Fructose and glucose</td>
<td>15.9±5.4% after the 50:50 treatment</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thuy, Ladurner, Volynets et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Control Group: 47±7 (NR)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>Fructose, glucose and sucrose</td>
<td>Control Group: 37.0±4.0 glucose; 48±7.2 sucrose; 41.0±5.2;</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAFLD Group: 55±4 (NR)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Steatosis</td>
<td>Steatohepatitis with fibrosis</td>
<td>NAFLD Group: 48.2±4.3 glucose; 57.3±6.3 sucrose; 51.5±5.2 fructose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdelmalek, Suzuki, Guy et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Group 0 servings: 58.9 ± 1.2 (NR)</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>Analyzed by dietary questionnaire</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group &gt; 0 and &lt; 7 servings: 47.5 ± 0.8 (NR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lobular inflammation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group ≥7 servings: 41.4 ± 1.0 (NR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fibrosis stage 1a, 1b and 1c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudgins, Parker, Levine et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Protocol 1: NR (18-75)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Fructose and glucose</td>
<td>Protocol 1: 1.4g/kg every 6 hours</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protocol 2: NR (28-65)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Protocol 2: 1g/kg fructose plus 1g/kg glucose per day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silbernagel, Machann, Unmuth et al. (2011)</td>
<td>30.5±2.0 (NR)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Fructose and glucose</td>
<td>150g of fructose per day</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150g of glucose per day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanhope, Griffen, Bremer et al. (2011)</td>
<td>NR (40-70)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Fructose and glucose</td>
<td>25% of daily energy intake in fructose</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25% of daily energy intake in glucose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdelmalek, Lazo, Horska et al. (2012)</td>
<td>NR (45-76)</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>Analyzed by food frequency questionnaire (FFQ)</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanerva, Sandboge, Kaartinen et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Group 1: 61.6±0.2 (NR)</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>NAFLD</td>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>Group 1: 10.6±1.3g/kg</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: 61.7±0.2 (NR)</td>
<td>403</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: 18.6±1.0g/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: 61.5±0.2 (NR)</td>
<td>402</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 3: 25.2±1.0g/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 4: 61.6±0.2 (NR)</td>
<td>403</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group 4: 38.1±1.0g/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz, Noworolski, Wen et al. (2015)</td>
<td>NR (18-65)</td>
<td>Group 1: 4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>25% of daily energy intake in fructose</td>
<td>18 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2: 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR = not reported.
KAARTINEN et al., 2014; SCHWARZ, NOWOROLSKI, WEN et al., 2015; glucose intake and non-alcoholic fatty liver in aging

The assessment of the outcomes were variable in most of the papers. Three articles that utilized food frequency questionnaire to measured sugar consumption (ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010; ABDELMALEK, LAZO, HORSKA et al., 2012; KANERVA, SANDBOGE, KAARTINEN et al., 2014) analyzed lipids profile in the liver and metabolic changes with different methodologies. Some of them were glucose tolerance test (ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010), hepatic ATP depletion (ABDELMALEK, LAZO, HORSKA et al., 2012), liver histology (ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010), fasting lipid profile (ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010), fatty Liver Index (FLI) and NAFLD liver fat score (KANERVA, SANDBOGE, KAARTINEN et al., 2014).

Others six studies with oral sugar doses, in both short and long-term, utilized distinct techniques to determine hepatic metabolic disturbances, such as magnetic resonance spectroscopy (SILBERNAGEL, MACHANN, UNMUTH et al., 2011; SCHWARZ, NOWOROLSKI, WEN et al., 2015), glucose tolerance test (SILBERNAGEL, MACHANN, UNMUTH et al., 2011), percentage of palmitate (HUDGINS, PARKER, LEVINE et al., 2011), hepatic expressions of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) (THUY, LADURNER, LEVINE et al., 2011), and lipogenesis analyzed by infusion of $^{13}$C1-acetate (PARKS, SKOKAN, TIMLIN et al., 2008).

One metabolic process that is related to NAFLD is the conversion of fructose to fat (hepatic de novo lipogenesis [DNL]) (SCHWARZ, NOWOROLSKI, WEN et al., 2015). Compared with glucose, which is primarily metabolized in extrahepatic tissues, fructose is primarily metabolized in the liver, where it bypasses key initial regulatory steps in the glycolytic pathway, thus providing an unregulated source of acetyl coenzyme A for DNL (SAMUEL, 2011).

Parks, Skokan, Timlin et al. (2008) aimed to determine the magnitude by which acute consumption of fructose in a morning bolus would stimulate lipogenesis immediately and after a subsequent meal in six healthy subjects in a random blinded design, followed by a standardized lunch 4h later. The method consisted in subjects complete a control test of 100% glucose and a mixture of 50:50 (glucose:fructose) and one of 25:75 (glucose:fructose). They concluded that acute intake of fructose stimulates lipogenesis and may create a metabolic milieu that enhances subsequent esterification of fatty acids flowing to the liver to elevate TG synthesis, subsequently, increasing liver fat (PARKS, SKOKAN, TIMLIN et al., 2008). These data corroborate with Schwarz, Noworolski, Wen et al. (2015) that studied eight healthy men for consecutive nine days comparing the effects of a high-fructose weight-maintaining diet to those of an isocaloric diet with the same macronutrient distribution (glucose:fructose). They concluded that the short-term high-fructose intake was associated with increased DNL and liver fat (SCHWARZ, NOWOROLSKI, WEN et al., 2015).

However, Silbernagel, Machann, Unmuth et al. (2011) concluded that the effects of very high fructose and very high glucose in hyperenergetic diets on glucose metabolism and body fat composition (such as liver fat, visceral fat, subcutaneous abdominal fat and intramyocellular lipids of the tibialis anterior muscle) were not different in the healthy participants.

Hudgins, Parker, Levine et al. (2011) studying three different sugar beverages, as well as oral bolus of fructose and glucose in palmitate in a randomized crossover design, concluded that a single oral bolus of fructose and glucose rapidly increased serum TG and TG palmitate, concluding that excessive chronic hepatic synthesis of palmitate contributes to the development of fatty liver and other metabolic consequences. In order to complement these data, consumption of sugar sweetened beverages has been shown to be associated with insulin resistance (YOSHIDA, MCKEOWN, ROGERS et al., 2007), fatty liver (ASSY, NASSER, KAMAYSE et al., 2008; OUYANG, CIRILLO, SAUTIN et al., 2008), type 2 diabetes (SCHULZE, MANSON, LUDWIG et al., 2004; MONTONEN, JARVINEN, KNEKT et al., 2007; PALMER, BOOGS, KRISHNAN et al., 2008), and other diseases. Data provided from Stanhope, Grifffen, Bremer et al. (2011) demonstrated that the specific effects of fructose, but not of glucose and insulin excursions, contribute to the adverse effects of consuming sugar sweetened beverages on lipids and insulin sensitivity leading to an increased lipogenesis that may contribute to metabolic consequences (STANHOPE, GRIFFEN, BREMER et al., 2011).

The increased lipogenesis consequently increase fat in the liver causing NAFLD (PARKS, SKOKAN, TIMLIN et al., 2008; HUDGINS, PARKER, LEVINE et al., 2011; SILBERNAGEL, MACHANN, UNMUTH et al., 2011; STANHOPE, GRIFFEN, BREMER et al., 2011; NATIONAL…, 2014; SCHWARZ, NOWOROLSKI, WEN et al., 2015). When the fat accompanies inflammation and liver cell damage, the condition is called nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, or NASH (NATIONAL…, 2014). The inflammation and damage can cause fibrosis, which eventually can lead to cirrhosis (NATIONAL…, 2014). Some studies provided information about subjects with NAFLD and dietary fructose intake (THUY, LADURNER, VOLYNETS et al., 2008; ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010; ABDELMALEK, LAZO, HORSKA et al., 2012; KANERVA, SANDBOGE, KAARTINEN et al., 2014).

Kanerva, Sandboge, Kaartinen et al. (2014) studied the cross-sectional association between fructose intake and NAFLD by using the Fatty Liver Index (FLI) and the NAFLD liver fat score, as well as, triglycerides, γ-glutamyl transferase, waist circumference, weight and height to calculate BMI, and a validated 151-item food frequency questionnaire to evaluate the habitual fructose and other dietary intake. The results did not support that high intake of fructose is associated with a higher prevalence of NAFLD as assessed by using the FLI and NAFLD liver fat score (KANERVA, SANDBOGE, KAARTINEN et al., 2014). However, the study showed risk of bias once it was concerned to older adults Finns, suggesting that more studies are need to corroborate with these data (KANERVA, SANDBOGE, KAARTINEN et al., 2014). Nevertheless, Abdelmalek, Suzuki, Guy et al. (2010) reported that fructose consumption was associated with decreased age, male gender, hypertension, low HDL-cholesterol, decreased serum glucose, increased caloric intake and hyperuricemia (ABDELMALEK, SUZUKI, GUY et al., 2010). On the other hand, another study from Abdelmalek, Lazo, Horska et al. (2012), concluded that high fructose consumption depletes hepatic ATP and impairs recovery from...
ATP depletion following an intravenous fructose challenge. The subjects with high uric acid demonstrate a greater nadir in hepatic ATP in response to fructose (ABDELMALEK, LAZO, HORSKA et al., 2012). Both high dietary fructose and elevated uric acid level may predict more severe hepatic ATP depletion in response to fructose and hence may be risk factors for the development and progression of NAFLD (ABDELMALEK, LAZO, HORSKA et al., 2012).

In addition, Thuy, Ladurner, Volynets et al. (2008), studied NAFLD and the association between increased plasma endotoxin and plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) with fructose intake, demonstrated that not only, was the plasma PAI-1 concentration positively correlated with the plasma endotoxin concentration and hepatic TLR mRNA expression, but also hepatic mRNA expression of PAI-1 was positively associated with dietary intakes of fructose. Concluding that dietary fructose intake, increased intestinal translocation of bacterial endotoxin, and PAI-1 may contribute to the development of NAFLD (THUY, LADURNER, VOLYNETS et al., 2008).

Chung, Ma, Patel et al. (2014) in a systematic review with meta-analysis, elucidated that the apparent association between indexes of liver health (i.e. liver fat, DNL, NAFLD) and sugar intake (i.e. fructose) appear to be confounded by excessive energy intake.

4 Conclusion

We conclude in the most of the articles sugar intake and NAFLD have a positive correlation. However further studies are needed to elucidate how sugars intake, mainly fructose, can start the process of liver fat accumulation leading to NAFLD, or aggravating it. Most of the papers included in this review had different methodologies to assess this relation, however we suggest more studies that could associated better this methodologies to elucidate the effects of sugar intake on the liver fat and consequently to NAFLD.
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