Highest extent of lateral and medial heads of triceps brachii muscle
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Abstract

1 Introduction: Various studies have been done on triceps brachii muscle but the description of the highest extent of lateral and medial head of triceps brachii muscle remained unexplored that is why this study was undertaken to know the extent of their proximal attachments in relation to anatomical neck of humerus and capsule of shoulder joint. Materials and Methods: The shoulder region of 50 formalin fixed upper limbs were dissected to explore the capsule of shoulder joint to reach the highest extent of lateral & medial head of triceps brachii muscle where the nature of fibres, fleshy or tendinous was noted. Oblique and vertical distances of proximal attachment of lateral & medial head of triceps brachii muscle to the anatomical neck of humerous was measured with the help of a sliding caliper. Results: Medial head of triceps brachii muscle in all the cases was fleshy in origin while that of lateral head; fibres were fleshy and tendinous in 82% & 18% of cases, respectively. Fibres of lateral and medial head were blending with the capsule of shoulder joint in 28% & 6% of cases respectively. Maximum cases of lateral head were having their oblique and vertical distances between 21-40 mm (64% & 58% respectively) while those for medial head were >40 mm (68% & 74% respectively). Conclusion: Accurate knowledge of these anatomical patterns of triceps brachii muscle are of considerable clinical importance in the conduct of reparative open access and arthroscopic surgeries around the shoulder and the fracture management of the upper end of humerus.
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1 Introduction

Triceps brachii muscle (TBM) arises by three heads; long, lateral and medial. Long head of which arises from infraglenoid tubercle of scapula, blending above with glenohumeral ligament of the capsule of shoulder joint (SJ). Lateral head (LH) of TBM arises from a narrow ridge on posterior surface of the shaft of humerus superior to radial groove; and from lateral intermuscular septum. Its attachment on humerus ascends with varying obliquity from its lateral border, above radial groove and behind deltoid tuberosity, to the surgical neck of humerus, medial to the insertion of teres minor muscle. Medial head (MH) of TBM has an extensive origin from the entire surface of the shaft of humerus inferior to radial groove to with in 25 mm of trochlea, from medial border of humerus, medial intermuscular septum and the lower part of lateral intermuscular septum. Entire muscle inserts on olecranon process of ulna and is chief extensor of the forearm. Radial groove is a shallow groove which interrupts the middle third of medial border of humerus and crosses it obliquely, passing downwards and forwards from its posterior to anterior surface. Radial nerve and profunda brachii vessels run downwards and laterally in this groove. (JOHNSON, 2008)

Various studies have been done on TBM (CHEEMA and SINGLA, 2011; NAYAK, KRISHNAMURTHY, KUMAR et al., 2008; SAWANT, 2013) but the description of the highest extent of its LH and MH remained unexplored, that is why this study was undertaken to know the extent of proximal attachment of MH and LH in relation to anatomical neck of humerus and capsule of SJ.

2 Materials and Methods

Present study was conducted on 50 formalin fixed upper limbs (25 right and 25 left) obtained from dissecting room. Limbs with deformity, damage or any injury mark were not included in the study. Limbs were numbered from one to 50 and the side of the limb was noted. Scapular region was exposed and deltoid muscle was incised from spinous and acromial processes of scapula and reflected downwards to expose the structures under deltoid muscle. Insertion of teres minor and infraspinatous muscles were cleared of by removing their overlying fascia. Teres minor muscle was incised near its insertion and reflected inferomedially to expose the capsule of SJ, which was cut-opened by giving a nick and extending it longitudinally up and down. Axillary nerve and posterior circumflex humeral vessels were incised near their entry into deltoid muscle to view the highest attachments of MH and LH of TBM. At their proximal attachments, nature of muscle fibres, tendinous or fleshy, was noted. Following measurements were taken with the help of a sliding caliper (measuring up to 1mm):

1. Oblique distance (OD) of proximal attachment of LH and MH to the lowermost part of anatomical neck of humerus, medially.

2. Vertical distance (VD) from proximal attachment of LH and MH to the anatomical neck of humerus.

Collected data were recorded and analysed statistically using “chi square t test” and “two sample test” using “SYSTAT” software version 12.
3 Results

The results were showed in Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 1.

3.1 Inference

1. In all the cases MH was fleshy in origin.

2. LH took a fleshy and tendinous origin in 82% and 18% of cases respectively. Statistically they have no association with the side of limb (p=1.00).

3. Statistically significant (p=0.028) difference was observed in the incidence of origin of LH (28%) (Figure 1) and MH (6%); where fibres of origin were blending with the capsule of SJ. Statistically, association (p=0.52) of these blending fibres of LH and MH with the side of limb was not significant.

4. Mean OD was more on left side, both in LH and MH, but these differences were not statistically significant with two sample test (for LH, p=0.26 & t=1.26 and for MH, p=0.404 & t=0.843).

5. Mean VD was more on the left side in both LH and MH, but these differences were not significant statistically with two sample test (for LH, p=0.216 & t=1.260 and for MH, p=0.896 & t=0.130).

Figure 1. Show fibres of LH blending with the capsule of SJ.

Table 1. Showing frequency of nature of fibres and mean of OD & VD of LH & MH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>LH R n=25(%)</th>
<th>LH L n=25(%)</th>
<th>Total n=50(%)</th>
<th>MH R n=25(%)</th>
<th>MH L n=25(%)</th>
<th>Total n=50(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No (%) of cases with fleshy origin</td>
<td>20 (80%)</td>
<td>21 (84%)</td>
<td>41 (82%)</td>
<td>25 (100%)</td>
<td>25 (100%)</td>
<td>50 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No (%) of cases with tendinous origin</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
<td>4 (16%)</td>
<td>9 (18%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No (%) of cases with fibres blending with capsule of SJ</td>
<td>8 (32%)</td>
<td>6 (24%)</td>
<td>14 (28%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mean ± SD (Range) of OD in mm</td>
<td>26±0.65 (17-37)</td>
<td>29±0.60 (22-43)</td>
<td>28±0.63 (17-43)</td>
<td>46±1.49 (21-70)</td>
<td>50±1.530 (25-87)</td>
<td>48±1.50 (21-87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mean ± SD (Range) of VD in mm</td>
<td>27±0.65 (18-42)</td>
<td>29±0.67 (19-45)</td>
<td>28±0.66 (18-45)</td>
<td>50±1.60 (21-84)</td>
<td>51±1.66 (23-89)</td>
<td>51±1.61 (21-23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R: Right side, L: Left side, n: number of cases.

Table 2. Showing the range distribution of OD & VD of LH & MH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range (mm)</th>
<th>LH</th>
<th>MH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R n=25(%)</td>
<td>LR n=25(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD 0</td>
<td>8 (32%)</td>
<td>6 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-20</td>
<td>3 (12%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-40</td>
<td>14 (56%)</td>
<td>18 (72%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VD 0</td>
<td>8 (32%)</td>
<td>6 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-20</td>
<td>3 (12%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-40</td>
<td>13 (52%)</td>
<td>16 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Mean VD was more than mean OD, both in the cases of LH and MH of TBM but these differences were insignificant statistically with two sample t-test.

7. Maximum cases of LH were having their OD and VD between 21-40 mm (64% & 58% respectively) while in case of MH, they were >40 mm (68% & 74% respectively).

4 Discussion

Variations of TBM are relatively uncommon as compared to biceps brachii muscle, yet they have occasionally being reported (CHEEMA and SINGLA, 2011; NAYAK, KRISHNAMURTHY, KUMAR et al., 2008; SAWANT, 2013). After a thorough search of literature, no matching result in the variation of the highest extent of origin of LH & MH of TBM, was found. However, Macalister has mentioned a variation of TBM with a fourth head arising from medial part of humerus; additionally, he also described a variation where long head of TBM was attached to capsule of SJ (MACALISTER, 1875).

LH takes origin from the humerus by tendinous fibres (JOHNSON, 2008) but in the present study it took a fleshy origin in 82% of cases.

Embryologically, during the fifth week of development, mesoderm invades the upper limb bud to further condense into ventral and dorsal muscle masses. Triceps and biceps musculature is derived from dorsal and ventral muscle masses of the upper limb bud, respectively (SCHOENWOLF, BLEYL, BRAUER et al., 2009). During this time of development extended origin of different heads of triceps brachii muscle might have occurred.

5 Conclusion

Accurate knowledge of these anatomical patterns of TBM are of considerable clinical importance in the conduct of reparative open access and arthroscopic surgeries around the shoulder and fracture management of upper end of humerus.
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