Spinal arachnoid cysts are uncommon benign lesions of spine axis and most commonly present as compressive myelopathy. Intramedullary arachnoid cyst is uncommonly seen, hence, not much discussed in literature. Due to rarity of this entity, many questions are yet to be answered and should be addressed properly, particularly related to etiopathogenesis, accustomed course, behavior, differential diagnosis, and the best treatment modality. We report the clinicopathological profile of thoracic intramedullary arachnoid cysts in two adult patients, and present a detailed review of available literature on the spinal intramedullary arachnoid cyst. Most of the literature concerning with intramedullary arachnoid cysts are in the form of case reports from pediatrics population. As far to the best of our knowledge, only a few cases excluding our two were found in both pediatrics and adult population.
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### INTRODUCTION

Arachnoid cysts of spinal cord are a rare entity, and often found as an asymptomatic incidental finding during examination performed for other causes. Most of the arachnoid cysts are located in extradural compartment, though it can be intradural and further subclassified as either extramedullary or intramedullary. On the basis of findings of surgical examinations, radiological features and histopathological review of 22 cases, first attempt to classify the arachnoid cysts in the spine have been done by Nabors et al. in 1986\(^1\) as: Type 1-extradural cysts without spinal nerve roots; Type 2-extradural cysts with spinal nerve root fibers; Type 3-intradural cysts. Herniation through dural defect is considered the etiopathogenesis of extradural arachnoid cyst, while the intradural cysts are thought to arise from proliferation of arachnoid trabeculae. The mechanisms responsible for pathological development of these intramedullary cysts is still unclear with various hypothesis has been purposed in the past from congenital, traumatic, and inflammatory causes.\(^2-5\)

Most of the literature concerning with intramedullary arachnoid cyst is in the form of case reports from the pediatric population. Here, we are reporting clinicopathological characteristics along with review of relevant literature of two cases of intramedullary arachnoid cyst in adult age group.

### CASE REPORTS

#### Case 1

This 45-year-old female presented with dull mid-backache for 6-month duration and paresthesias in both lower limbs for duration of 3 months. She completely denies any complaints related to bowel and bladder disturbances. Examination revealed normal bilateral upper limbs with spastic weakness (Modified Ashworth Scale-1+) of both lower limbs with power of 4-5 in all major groups of muscles, and she was able to walk with minimal support. Lower limb deep tendon reflexes were brisk with bilateral
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extensor plantar response. Sensory examination showed decreased sensations to pinprick, touch, and temperature below D12 with spared sacral sensations.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the spine showed an intramedullary lesion, hypointense on T1 and hyperintense on T2-weighted sequences, respectively [Figure 1a and b]. Lesion was seen expanding the cord and extending from D9 to D11 region with no contrast enhancement. There was no evidence of any communication with the central canal.

The patient underwent D8–D12 laminectomy. Following durotomy, the cord was found to be protruding. Midline myelotomy performed and cyst was tapped [Figure 2]. There was clear fluid such as cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). Fluid sent for routine biochemistry, a thin membrane, was found and was biopsied and sent for histochemical analysis. There was no communication of the cyst to the central canal. A watertight dural closure was performed. Histopathological examination revealed a cystic lining resting on fibrocartilaginous tissue with irregularly shaped cells, and a thin cystic lining possibility of arachnoid cyst suggested by our pathologist and for further confirmation marker study performed [Figure 3a and b]. Immunohistochemistry carried out on formalin fixed paraffin embedded section; tumor cells were negative for S100 [Figure 4a], carcinoembryonic antigen [Figure 4b], Glial fibrillary acidic protein [Figure 4d] and P53 [Figure 4e]. Cells were strongly positive for epithelial membrane antigen the antibody, and their clones used for detection were belonged to Biogenix and biocare SIGMA USA. Immunomarkers study confirmed the diagnosis arachnoid cyst [Figure 4c].

**Case 2**

Another case, a 40-Year-old male, presented with 2-year history of low backache that had worsen for the last 3 month and at the time of admission he also complained of numbness in bilateral lower limb. Examination showed mild weakness in distal group of muscles with increased spasticity. Sensory examination revealed decreased sensation below D11 level.

For this reason, the patient had undergone a spinal MRI revealing the presence of an intramedullary, nonenhancing CSF intensity cystic lesion at the conus medullaris [Figure 5a and b]. He underwent D9–D11 laminectomy, dorsomedian myelotomy, and cyst decompression. The cyst contained clear fluid. Safe, guarded, and incomplete removal of cyst wall was done, as it was adherent to the neural tissue. Histopathological examination revealed arachnoid cyst.

Both of the patients regularly followed in our outpatient department (outdoor) for approximately 11–12 month and detailed examination done by one of us. After few days of surgery, the patient got relieved from dysesthetic pain and spasticity. With due course of time, she regained muscle strength. Second case become neurologically normal 3-month postsurgery, and nowadays, he occasionally complaints of backache.
Follow-up MRI scan done after 11 months showed a cystic mass hypointense on T1 and hyperintense on T2 of size 27 mm × 6 mm suggestive of recurrence [Figure 6a and b].

**Review of literature**

Spinal intramedullary arachnoid cyst is one of the extremely rare lesions and has been sparsely reported in literature. They are usually asymptomatic but become symptomatic once the cyst exerts mass effect on the overlying cord and nearby nerve roots. The most common presenting initial symptom is backache and ultimately ends to gradually progressive myelopathy, because of continuous enlargement of the cyst. Close differential diagnosis of intramedullary arachnoid cysts includes neuroepithelial cyst, focal syrinx, cystic teratoma, cystic ependymoma, and ventriculus terminalis (VT). The characteristic feature of the VT in MRI is a cystic lesion of the distal central spinal cord canal without cord signal abnormality. Sometime, it is difficult to differentiate on imaging arachnoid cyst from ventriculus terminalis, and histopathological confirmation is required (lined by ependymal cell); however, VT has been described in newborn and pediatrics. VT is rare pathology in adult population and so far only few case reported.[5]

The origin, etiopathogenesis, and course of spinal intramedullary arachnoid cyst is still debatable and not clear. Misplaced cellular remnants during embryogenesis as a possible cause of intramedullary arachnoid were postulated by Hyndman and Gerber.[6] Fortuna and Mercuri[7] postulated the hypothesis that trapped arachnoid granulation at various locations including intramedullary is a causative factor in CSF production and accumulation, hence, cyst formation. This view was also supported by Goyal et al.[8]

An abnormal anatomical communication between subarachnoid space and cyst acting as a one-way valve-like mechanism for expansion of the cyst is also advocated.[9]

In the support of congenital cause of intramedullary arachnoid cyst Voss[10] and Rabb et al.[11] had described arachnoid cysts in relation with some developmental anomalies of spinal cord. Currently, no evidence available for the genetic predisposition of arachnoid cyst, however, report on familial spinal intradural arachnoid cysts[12] raises a concern and may merit further study.

In context to behavior or growth pattern of intramedullary arachnoid cysts, past purposed theories and case reports have shown a slow growth over time along with sudden neurological deterioration.

The available literature suggests a benign course and excellent recovery in spite of partial excision. There is no report on recurrence even with inadequate excision, which suggests that a free communication between cyst and subarachnoid space is enough for required decompression. However, long terms follow-up and MRI surveillance are not available for previously reported cases. Unfortunately, recurrence occurred in one of our patient after 11 month, which came to know after radiological evaluation. We purposed that either incomplete excision of cyst wall or a unnoticed ventral subarachnoid channel was culprit in this case.

The first case of intramedullary arachnoid cyst was reported by Aithala et al.[13] in a child, who went to
dorsomedian myelotomy and got rapid and complete recovery within first week. Since then, to the best of author’s knowledge, eight cases have been reported in pediatric population, and upper dorsal is the most common site reported for arachnoid cyst in pediatric age group [Table 1]. The probable embryonic malformations can be speculated as an etiological factor, no sex predilection found and all patients undergoes for myelotomy and drainage of cyst with or without fenestration. Final outcome in all patients was satisfactory, promising, and almost complete recovery. Follow-up was done from 5 days to 24 months, and we are fortunate enough that, no cyst recurrence/treatment failure reported till date worldwide. The first case of intramedullary arachnoid cyst in adult was reported by Goyal et al.[8] in 2002. To the best of our knowledge, so far, 8 cases have been reported [Table 2]. As seen from the published data, it is evident that the intramedullary variant of arachnoids cysts is exclusively reported in dorsal cord in adult age group. As contrary to pediatric population, there is female sex predisposition. The surgical treatment of the symptomatic intramedullary arachnoid cyst in majority of cases includes dorsomedian myelotomy, with drainage of cyst and removal of the cyst wall as much as possible with avoiding of neurological deficit, where’s Gezici and Ergün[18] preferred dorsal root entry zone myelotomy. In the majority of the cases, the cyst wall is found to be densely adhering to nearby glial tissue, and most of the time it is very difficult to excise it completely, but fortunately, no recurrence is reported till the date. Fenestration of the cyst with or without shunting to the subarachnoid space is another available treatment modality. Although surgery is the preferred approach in fact available one, a recent case reported by Fonseca and Ratilal[19] advocate “wait and scan” approach as a good therapeutic choice if patient is asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic. We report here two cases of intramedullary cyst, and both of them underwent dorsomedian myelotomy with cyst decompression; postoperatively, they recovered very well. We discuss imaging, histopathology and immune histochemistry characteristics of this lesion. Although it is very rare entity, we thought spinal intramedullary arachnoid cyst should be considered and kept as a differential diagnosis of intramedullary cystic lesion even in adult patients. More importantly,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors and year</th>
<th>Age/Sex</th>
<th>Clinical presentations</th>
<th>Cyst location</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aithala et al. 1999[13]</td>
<td>7 Yrs/M</td>
<td>Progressive Paraparesis</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>Rapid And Complete Recovery</td>
<td>5 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma et al. 2004[9]</td>
<td>10Yrs/F</td>
<td>Progressive Quadraparesis</td>
<td>C4-D2</td>
<td>Rapid And Good Recover</td>
<td>1 Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma et al. 2005[4]</td>
<td>4 Yrs/F</td>
<td>All Four Limb Weakness</td>
<td>C4-C6</td>
<td>Complete Recovery</td>
<td>17 Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghanane et al. 2007[14]</td>
<td>4 &amp;8 Yrs</td>
<td>Progressive Para paresis</td>
<td>C3-4 (Both Patients)</td>
<td>Complete Recovery</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guzel et al. 2007[2]</td>
<td>7 Yrs/F</td>
<td>Quadraparesis</td>
<td>C2-C4</td>
<td>Rapid And Complete Recovery</td>
<td>24 Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lmejjati et al.[15]</td>
<td>12 Yrs/M</td>
<td>Progressive Paraparesis</td>
<td>D3-D4</td>
<td>Rapid And Complete Recovery</td>
<td>4 Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medved et al. 2009[16]</td>
<td>18 Month/M</td>
<td>Progressive Paraparesis, Constipation</td>
<td>D5-D6</td>
<td>Complete Recovery</td>
<td>1 Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kataria et al. 2012[17]</td>
<td>9 Years/F</td>
<td>Progressive Neurological Deficits</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>Complete Recovery</td>
<td>1-6 Month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: (a and b) Magnetic resonance imaging of the dorsal spine. Sagittal T2-weighted images depicting well-defined, hyperintense lesion at D11–D12 with no perilesional signal changes, axial T2-weighted images shows intramedullary which is hyperintense and expanded cord seen

Figure 6: Follow-up magnetic resonance imaging scan done after 11 month showed a cystic mass hypointense on T1 and hyperintense on T2 of size 27 mm × 6 mm suggestive of recurrence
these lesions should undergo for surgical treatment as they afford very good clinical results, excellent prognosis and almost no recurrence. It’s time to provide focused attention on their occurrences in asymptomatic patient. However, the rarity of this instance makes it very difficult to conduct case series, retrospective, and prospective studies.
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