Authors’ Reply

Sir,
We thank the author for their keen interest in our article. We fully agree that a valid substitute would be most welcome in cases where intracranial translucency (IT) is unclear. However, we would still like to validate and standardize the novel marker mentioned by the author and introduced by Ramkrishna et al.[1] since it is well known that any new sign will have different performance accuracy in different settings.
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