Radiological requirements for surgical planning in cochlear implant candidates
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Abstract

Objective: This study is concerned with clarification of radiological findings that should be addressed and reported in patients listed for cochlear implant (CI) operation. These findings may force a surgeon to consider modifications of the surgical approach by a CI surgeon. Materials and Methods: The study was performed from January 2015 to January 2016. It included 50 patients with severe‑to‑profound sensorineural hearing loss who fulfilled the criteria for CI. Patients underwent CI surgery in the Department of Otolaryngology. All patients underwent preoperative computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) assessment in the Department of Diagnostic Radiology. Combined examination of the CT and MRI by the radiologist and the surgeon was advocated. Results: Many anatomical variants were observed regarding the pattern of mastoid pneumatization, position of middle cranial fossa dura, sigmoid sinus position jugular bulb position, and the size and position of the mastoid segment of facial nerve canal. Labyrinthitis ossificans was seen in 3 patients (6%), otospongiosis in 1 patient (2%), and dilated vestibular aqueduct and endolymphatic sac in 9 patients (18%). Conclusion: Cochlear implantation is a major treatment modality in patients with severe‑to‑profound sensorineural hearing loss. Radiological evaluation is integral in surgery planning.
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Introduction

Cochlear implants (CIs) are a well‑accepted treatment for severe‑to‑profound sensorineural hearing loss patients who are refractory to conventional hearing augmentation.[1,2] Imaging plays an important role in the workup of CI candidates not only to identify inner ear congenital and acquired abnormalities or cochlear nerve anomalies but also to detect temporal bone abnormalities that may be encountered during surgery that may alter surgical approach.[1,2] Some variations are potential surgical hazards that may lead to problems during the surgery and may alert the surgeon regarding potential surgical dangers and complications.[4] The radiologist and surgeon must be familiar with these imaging findings.[3,4] Both computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) should be used as they delineate, in different manners, cochlear and middle ear anatomy as well as other anatomical variants.[4,5]

Mastoid pneumatization is important for planning the surgery. It is classified into pneumatic, diploic, sclerotic, and mixed. Effusion of the middle ear cleft should also be reported.[6,7]

Korner’s septum divides the mastoid process into a superficial squamous portion and a deep petrous portion. It may mislead the surgeon to the mastoid antrum during surgery. 
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Mastoid emissary veins participate in extracranial venous drainage of the posterior fossa dural sinuses. Most of them disappear, however, some persist and enlarge.\[8,9\]

Low lying dura represents difficulty to access the aditus, lateral semicircular canal and to posterior tympanotomy. It is often associated with sclerotic mastoid.\[9\] Posterior tympanotomy is a well-known otologic procedure that allows surgeons to access the middle ear cavity.\[10\] The surgeon opens a window in the posterior wall of the middle ear in the angle between the chorda tympani and the mastoid part of the facial nerve.\[11\] Laterally or anteriorly positioned mastoid part of the facial nerve may hinder the access to the facial recess or may even force the surgeon to change his approach.\[11-13\]

The sigmoid sinus passes along the posteromedial border of the mastoid air cells. An anteriorly located sinus produces a deep bulge in the mastoid and may reach the posterior wall of the external auditory canal being separated from it only by a thin bony plate.\[8,14\]

Jugular bulb variations are common, the roof of a normal jugular bulb lies either at or slightly below the level of the EAC floor and is separated from the middle ear cavity by the thin bony sigmoid plate.\[15,16\] The average width of jugular bulb is 1 cm.\[16\] A jugular bulb larger than 1 cm is called a giant or mega jugular bulb.\[15\] A jugular bulb that extends over the basal turn of the cochlea or abuts the round window is called a high riding jugular bulb.\[17,18\] Dehisence of sigmoid plate with upward protrusion of the bulb into posterior hypotympanum is called a dehiscent jugular bulb, which may obliterate a round window niche.\[17\]

Aberrant internal carotid artery is an enlarged inferior tympanic artery that occurs as a result of agenesis or underdevelopment of the cervical segment of the ICA. It runs along the medial aspect of the middle ear coursing anteriorly across cochlear promontory to join the horizontal carotid canal through a dehisence in the carotid plate.\[9,19,20\]

Cochlear duct patency and axis, patency of the round window niche and the patent even caliber of the cochlea must be adequately evaluated by both CT and MRI. Otospongiotic foci compromise the insertion of CI electrode if they occlude the round window niche or cochlear duct.\[9\] Labyrinthitis ossificans may partially or completely obliterate cochlear lumen. Fibrosis may precede ossification and areas of fibrosis and ossification may coexist. Cochlear ossification with luminal obstruction is not a contraindication for implantation, however, it is important to be identified preoperatively.\[2\]

Vestibular aqueduct (VA) is considered dilated if its width is greater than the width of the posterior SCC or if its midpoint width is greater than 1.5 mm.\[21,22\] CT shows dilatation of the VA only whereas MRI shows the dilatation of the VA and of the endolymphatic sac.\[21\]

The aim of this topic is the clarification of the radiological findings of surgical interest that should be addressed and reported, which may require modification of the surgical approach by the CI surgeon.

### Materials and Methods

#### Consent
- Approval of our institute Research Ethics Committee was obtained
- Consent from the patients or his/her guardian was obtained.

This hospital-based study was performed in from January 2015 to January 2016. It included 50 patients (25 males and 25 females; age ranging between 2 and 26 years) who were clinically diagnosed as having severe-to-profound SNHL and fulfilled the criteria for CI. Patients underwent CI surgery in the Department of Otolaryngology. All patients underwent preoperative CT and MRI assessment in the Department of Diagnostic Radiology. Standard CT and MRI protocols in addition to special multiplanar reconstructive CT protocols concerning the complex anatomy of the ear were performed for all patients. Light sedation was given for children less than 6 years.

#### Inclusion criteria
- Age, any age was included
- Sex, both genders were included
- Patient fulfilled criteria for CI including audiological criteria (as bilateral profound hearing loss not benefiting from hearing aid, absent ABR waves) and phoniatric criteria as IQ (using Stanford Binet intelligence scale) more than 80 with no medical, surgical, or radiological contraindication for surgery
- Family motivation and commitment for audiologic and phoniatric rehabilitation and follow-up
- Confirmation of the presence of cochlear nerve using preimplant MRI.

#### Exclusion criteria
- Patient who did not fulfill the criteria for indicating CI surgery
- Patients with no records of preoperative investigations
- Patients with major dysplasia or aplasia of the cochlea as common cavity.

#### Computed tomography technique
The study was performed using GE Lightspeed ultra 8-slice CT scanner and Toshiba Alexion 16-slice CT scanner. Unenhanced 0.6-mm slice thickness scans were performed in the axial plane with the patient in the neutral supine position. The axial source images were used by a dedicated
workstation to obtain coronal reformatted images and coronal oblique multiplanar reformatted images on cochlea and semicircular canals on both sides.

**Magnetic resonance imaging technique**

MRI was performed using 1.5-T MRI unit (Philips, Achieva, Netherlands) using a dedicated head coil with the patient in the neutral supine position. The protocol included the following sequences: axial and coronal three-dimensional (3D) balanced turbo gradient echo (B-TFE sense) sequences on cerebellopontine angle (CPA) (TR = 6 ms, TE = 3 ms, FOV = 180 mm, slice thickness = 1 mm and interslice gap = 0.5 mm, flip angle = 60°, scan duration = 1.43 min for axial and 1.35 min for coronal), sagittal oblique T2-weighted 3D DRIVE clear sequence perpendicular on internal auditory canal (IAC) on both sides (TR = 1.5 s, TE = 250 ms, FOV = 130 mm, slice thickness = 1.4 mm, interslice gap = 0.7 mm, flip angle = 90°, scan duration = 2.26 min) and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images of the brain (TR = 9 s, TE = 140 ms, FOV = 230 mm, slice thickness = 4 mm, interslice gap = 1 mm, flip angle = 90°, scan duration = 3 min).

**Image analysis**

The CT and MRI images of each patient were simultaneously assessed by the radiologist in the attendance of the surgeon during CI committee meeting to make him aware about the expected variations. All surgeries were either done by the same surgeon or attended by him. Correlation between the imaging findings and intraoperative findings was recorded.

**Statistical analysis**

The results obtained were statistically analyzed with the help of statistical package for social sciences (2007 SPSS 16.0 for windows) for statistical analysis IBM Corporation https://www.ibm.com/analytics/us/en/technology/spss/. The parameters for which statistical analysis was done included mastoid pneumatization, level of middle cranial fossa dura, Korner’s septum, mastoid emissary vein, sigmoid sinus position, jugular bulb, position of the mastoid segment of facial nerve canal, alignment of cochlea basal turn with ICA, round window accessibility, round window niche and cochlear duct patency, and the state of vestibular aqueduct and endolymphatic sac with relation to CSF gusher.

**Results**

This study included 50 patients (25 males and 25 females) with age ranging between 2 and 26 years and a standard deviation of 3.5 years; the mean age was 4.4 years and the median age was 4 years.

Radiological evaluation of the mastoid process showed that it was pneumatic in 39 patients (78%), diploic in 6 (12%), sclerotic in 3 (6%) and mixed in 2 (4%), whereas surgical evaluation revealed that it was pneumatic in 36 (72%), diploic in 9 (18%), sclerotic in 3 (6%) and mixed in 2 (4%). CT was successful in detecting the true type of mastoid pneumatization in 47 patients (94%) and failed in 3 (6%) [Figure 1].

Middle ear cleft and mastoid effusion was found in 7 patients bilateral in 5 and unilateral in 2. Chronic sclerosing mastoiditis was found in 2 patients. Radiological assessment revealed normal position of dura in 38 patients (76%) and low lying dura in 12 (24%), whereas surgical evaluation revealed normal dura in 39 patients (78%) and low dura in 11 (22%) [Figure 2].

Both radiological and surgical assessment confirmed the presence of Korner’s septum [Figure 3] in 44 patients (88%) and confirmed the presence of mastoid emissary veins [Figure 4] in 15 patients (30%).

Radiological assessment revealed normal position of the sigmoid sinus in 43 patients (86%), anteriorly displaced sinus in 4 (8%), and laterally displaced sinus in 3 (6%), whereas surgical evaluation revealed normal sinus position in 38 patients (76%), anteriorly displaced sinus in 11 (22%), and laterally displaced sinus in 1 (2%) [Figure 5].

Radiological assessment of the jugular bulb revealed normal jugular bulb in 30 patients (60%), high bulb in 10 (20%), giant in 7 (14%), giant and high in 2 (4%), and dehiscent in 1 (2%), whereas surgical evaluation revealed normal bulb position in 35 patients (70%), high bulb in 8 (16%), giant in 4 (8%), giant and high in 2 (4%), and dehiscent in 1 (2%) [Figure 6].

Radiological assessment of mastoid segment of facial nerve canal revealed normal position in 47 patients (94%) and anteriorly displaced in 3 (6%), whereas surgical
evaluation revealed normal position in 40 (80%), anteriorly displaced in 4 (8%) and laterally displaced in 6 (12%) [Table 1 and Figures 7, 8].

Imaging was successful in detecting the position of facial nerve canal in 41 patients (82%) and failed in 9 patients (18%) [Table 2].

The axis of the basal turn of cochlea affects the position of the round window niche, which is reflected on the round window accessibility during surgery. Using the axial images, the axis of the basal turn of cochlea was compared with the axis of the ICA canal. When both were parallel, open accessibility during surgery was expected, whereas when cochlear axis was posteriorly rotated in relation to that of the ICA canal, difficulty in accessibility during surgery was expected [Figure 9]. Radiological assessment of round window accessibility suggested open accessibility in 41 patients (82%) and difficult accessibility in 9 (18%), whereas surgical assessment revealed open

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facial nerve position</th>
<th>Radiology</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>47 (94%)</td>
<td>40 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant displaced</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat displaced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 (100%)</td>
<td>50 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiology–Facial nerve position</th>
<th>Surgery–Facial nerve position</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteriorly displaced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 (A and B): Dura position. (A) Normal dura position lying at the same level of attic roof. (B) Low lying dura which is at a lower level than attic roof

Figure 3: Korner's septum

Figure 4 (A-H): Sequential axial CT images showing the course of mastoid emissary vein

Table 1: Radiological and surgical study of facial nerve canal position

Table 2: Cross tabulation of radiological and surgical assessment of facial nerve position
accessibility in 37 (74%) and difficult exposure in 13 (26%) [Table 3].

Further analysis found that 6 patients were true positive (had difficult accessibility on radiological and surgical assessment), 3 were false positive (open accessibility by surgery but radiology suggested difficult accessibility), 7 were false negative (difficult exposure in surgery but radiology suggested open accessibility), whereas 34 patients were true negative (open accessibility in both surgery and imaging) [Table 4]. The radiological assessment of round window accessibility had a sensitivity of 46.15% and specificity of 91.89% in the prediction of difficulty in accessibility. Thus, radiological assessment is a very good negative test for exclusion of difficult accessibility with a specificity of 91.89% and negative predictive value of 82.93%.

Radiological assessment of cochlear duct revealed patency of round window niche and cochlear basal turn in 46 patients (92%), partial obliteration of the basal turn of cochlea by labyrinthitis ossificans in 3 (6%) (bilateral in 1 patient and unilateral in 2 patients with positive history of meningitis in the 3 patients) [Figure 10] and obliteration of round window niche by otospongiotic foci in 1 (2%) [Figure 11], whereas surgical evaluation revealed cochlear duct patency in 46 patients (92%) with full electrode insertion, partial obliteration of the basal turn of cochlea by labyrinthitis ossificans in 3 (6%) for whom drilling and compressed electrode insertion was performed and obliteration of round window niche by otospongiotic foci.

Table 3: Radiological and surgical study of round window accessibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round window accessibility</th>
<th>Radiology</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open accessibility</td>
<td>41 (82%)</td>
<td>37 (74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult accessibility</td>
<td>9 (18%)</td>
<td>13 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 (100%)</td>
<td>50 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Cross tabulation of radiological and surgical assessment of round window accessibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiology-round window niche</th>
<th>Surgery-round window niche</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 (true negative)</td>
<td>7 (false negative)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (false positive)</td>
<td>6 (true positive)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in 1 (2%) for whom compressed electrode insertion was performed after drilling of the niche.

Radiological assessment of VA and endolymphatic sac revealed normal duct and sac in 41 patients (82%) and dilated duct and sac in 9 (18%) [Figure 12]. Surgical evaluation revealed no CSF gusher in 44 patients (88%) and CSF gusher in 6 (12%).

Cochlear nerve presence was confirmed in all patients using sagittal oblique T2-weighted 3D Drive clear sequence on IAC on both sides [Figure 13].

**Discussion**

Imaging is essential in the preoperative evaluation of sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) patients who are candidates for CI. Surgeons need to be alert regarding the anomalies and pathologies that may represent a potential surgical hazard or that may require modification of the surgical approach.

In our study, CT was successful in detecting the true type of mastoid pneumatization in 47 patients (94%). This is in accordance to a study performed by authors who found strong correlation between the radiological assessment and the surgical findings in the mastoid air cell complex.[23]

Our study revealed that imaging was successful to detect the true position of the middle cranial fossa dura in 49 patients (98%). This is in line with other authors who found a strong correlation between the radiological assessment and the surgical findings in the assessment of tegmen position.[23]

Both radiological and surgical assessments confirmed the presence of Korner’s septum in 44 patients (88%) and the presence of mastoid emissary veins in 15 patients (30%).

The sigmoid sinus is an important landmark in mastoidectomy surgery. Drilling is usually performed...
Others have recommended [11,12] [27] normal cochlear nerve on MRI. (A) Axial MRI on left IAC showing the plane at which sagittal oblique images were obtained. (B) Sagittal oblique at mid IAC showing the cochlear nerve anteroinferiorly (short white arrow), facial nerve anterosuperiorly (dashed white arrow), superior and inferior vestibular nerves posteriorly (long white arrows).

Our study showed that imaging could evaluate the jugular bulb in 40 patients (80%) with sensitivity of 63.2% and specificity of 76.4%. This is contrary to a study performed by Júnior et al., who found that the sensitivity of CT was 36.36% and specificity was 86.36%. This can be explained by the fact that in imaging the jugular bulb is considered high if it reaches the level of the basal turn of cochlea regardless of whether it is hiding round window niche; however, surgeons are dealing only with the high bulb that may interfere with the accessibility of round window.

During posterior tympanotomy, the surgeon can approach the round window niche and promontory where a cochleostomy is carried out for CI electrode array insertion. The mastoid segment of the facial nerve and the chorda tympani nerve could be injured in cases of narrow facial recess. We used axial images to assess the orientation of round window niche and its relation to the position of the mastoid segment of facial nerve. Some authors have recommended the use of oblique sagittal reformatted CT images to assess the tympanic and mastoid segments of the facial nerve in one image. [11,12] Others have recommended drawing multiple lines and measuring multiple angles using different oblique reformatted images. [13] In our study, imaging was successful in detecting the true position of facial nerve canal in 41 patients (82%). We also found that position of the facial nerve had minimal relation with the degree of round window accessibility. Of the 10 patients who had displacement of the facial nerve during surgery, only 3 had difficult round window accessibility.

Assessment of round window accessibility revealed that, among the 50 patients, imaging was true positive in 6 patients and true negative in 34, with a total of 40 patients (80%). Imaging has a sensitivity of 46.15% and specificity of 91.89% in the prediction of difficulty in accessibility. Thus, radiological assessment is a very good negative test for exclusion of difficulty in accessibility with a specificity of 91.89% and negative predictive value of 82.93%. This is in accordance to the study by Pendem et al. and Saki et al. [26,27]

Radiological assessment of round window niche and cochlear duct patency in our study was similar to the surgical findings. This is in accordance to the study performed by Saki et al. who found that the results of the surgery and imaging regarding the patency of cochlear duct were similar. [27]

As regards the imaging assessment of vestibular aqueduct and endolymphatic sac and its correlation with occurrence of CSF gusher during surgery, we found that 3 patients in our study who had dilated vestibular aqueduct and endolymphatic sac by imaging and had no CSF gusher during surgery. Imaging had a sensitivity of 66.7% and specificity of 95.7% in prediction of the presence of CSF gusher in case of dilated VA. Thus, imaging assessment of VA is a very good negative test for exclusion of CSF gusher in case of normal duct. Thus, we conclude that the mere presence of a wide VA and dilated endolymphatic sac is not an indication that gusher will occur during surgery. This finding is supported by other authors. [23]

Conclusion

Cochlear implantation has become an accepted treatment in patients with severe-to-profound sensorineural hearing loss. Radiologists have an important role in evaluating these patients as the number of procedures escalates. Anomalies and anatomical variants of temporal bone are common. Some variations are potential surgical hazards, and the radiologist must be familiar with these imaging findings.
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