Imaging diagnosis of Crouzon syndrome in two cases confirmed on genetic studies - with a brief review
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Abstract

Crouzon syndrome is the most common form of craniofacial dysostosis, characterised by a classical triad of abnormal skull shape, abnormal facies, and exophthalmos. The clinically overt dental abnormalities in these patients, distracts clinicians from the developmental neurological defects and therefore this entity remains relatively under-highlighted in radiology literature. We report and highlight the role of imaging in diagnosis of Crouzon syndrome in two patients, and discuss the relevant differential diagnosis. Moreover, our report is among the few Indian studies in which Crouzon syndrome was confirmed by genetic studies. The classical clinical triad of Crouzon syndrome was observed in both patients. The skull radiographs and cranial CT with 3D reconstruction VRT (Volume rendered technique), revealed characteristic radiological features. Genetic studies reconfirmed the clinical and radiological diagnosis of Crouzon syndrome, in both patients.
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Introduction

Crouzon syndrome, is a rare genetic disorder characterized by a triad of skull deformities (due to premature closure of cranial sutures: craniosynostosis), midface hypoplasia, and ocular abnormalities usually manifesting as exophthalmos.[1‑3] Clinically overt dental abnormalities in these patients, usually lead them to dental consultations, and therefore this entity is more frequently reported in dental sciences literature.[2,4,5] We report and highlight the role of imaging in diagnosis of Crouzon syndrome in two patients and discuss the relevant differential diagnosis. The classical clinical triad of Crouzon syndrome was observed in both patients. The skull radiographs and cranial computed tomography (CT) with 3D volume rendered technique (VRT) revealed characteristic features of raised intracranial pressure and premature synostosis of cranial sutures. Genetic studies reconfirmed the clinical and radiological diagnosis of Crouzon syndrome, in both the patients. Our report is among the few Indian studies in which Crouzon syndrome was confirmed by genetic studies. Early clinical and radiological diagnosis can prevent the development
of debilitating mental retardation, visual defects, auditory compromise, and airway obstruction in these patients.[5,6]

Case Report: Patient 1

A 2-year-old boy was brought with complaints of abnormal facies and abnormal skull shape since birth. On clinical examination, plagiocephaly, hypertelorism, depressed nasal bridge and exophthalmos were observed [Figure 1]. However, dentition appeared normal. The only elder sibling and parents were normal. Lateral skull radiograph revealed shallow orbits, depressed nasal bridge, copper beaten skull, asymmetrical calvarial thickening, and absence of coronal sutures [Figure 2]. The clinical and radiographic appearances suggested craniosynostosis. Radiographs of limbs and spine were normal. Cranial CT, with 3D reconstruction (VRT) was performed for evaluation of brain parenchyma, calvarial bones, and skull sutures. The study revealed normal brain parenchyma. The cranial vault showed complete fusion of coronal sutures with normal lambdoid sutures and asymmetrical regions of thinning and thickening in the calvarial bones [Figure 3]. The 3D VRT CT images revealed complete fusion of sagittal and coronal sutures, with normal lambdoid suture [Figure 4]. The clinical and imaging observations were consistent with craniosynostosis in Crouzon syndrome. The diagnosis of Crouzon syndrome was further confirmed on genomic DNA, using Sanger sequencing (ABI Prism), which showed heterozygous mutation in Exon 8 (c. 1025 > A, p. Cys342Tyr) of Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 2 gene (FGFR 2), located on Chromosome 10. Following the genetic diagnosis, multistep surgical correction procedures for the craniofacial deformity were advised for the patient. However, the parents refused the same and left the hospital against medical advice.

Case Report: Patient 2

The second patient, a 1-year-old first born girl, also presented with abnormal facies, abnormal skull shape, and proptosis since birth. On clinical examination, plagiocephaly, hypertelorism and exophthalmos were seen, with normal dentition. The parents appeared normal. Anteroposterior skull radiograph revealed hypertelorism with copper beaten skull and conspicuous absence of coronal and lambdoid sutures [Figure 5]. The clinical and radiographic features suggested craniosynostosis. The radiographs of the limbs and spine were normal. Cranial CT, with 3D volume rendered reconstruction, was performed which revealed normal brain parenchyma, complete fusion of coronal and lambdoid sutures, with only the sagittal suture appearing normal [Figure 6]. The clinical and imaging observations were consistent with Crouzon syndrome. Genetic tests showed heterozygous mutation in Exon 8 (c. 1025 > A, p. Cys342Tyr) of FGFR 2 gene, on Chromosome 10. However, despite adequate counseling, the parents of this child also rejected multistage surgical treatment.

Discussion

Crouzon syndrome, a rare disorder, is estimated to occur in 1 in 25000 live births worldwide and accounts for 4.8% of all craniosynostosis.[4,7] It was first described by
a French neurosurgeon Louis Edouard Octave Crouzon in 1912, as a hereditary craniosynostosis syndrome, with a triad of skull deformities, facial anomalies, and exophthalmos.\textsuperscript{[1]} Additionally, cleft lip, cleft palate, and bifid uvula are known associations.\textsuperscript{[2,3,6,8]} It is an autosomal dominant disorder with complete penetration but variable expressivity. It arises due to mutation in FGFR2, which is mapped to chromosome locus 10q25-10q26, causing skull bones to fuse prematurely. Locus heterogeneity with mutation in FGFR3 genes results in Crouzon syndrome with acanthosis nigricans.\textsuperscript{[1,4]} The growth of the skull and brain are impaired in a direction perpendicular to the fused sutures, giving rise to the craniofacial abnormalities.\textsuperscript{[9,10]}

The diagnostic radiographic features of Crouzon syndrome are premature craniosynostosis, with characteristic presence of craniofacial anomalies, with absence of digital and limb anomalies.\textsuperscript{[1,5]} Premature craniosynostosis usually involves either coronal or lambdoid and occasionally the sagittal sutures. The abnormal process may also extend to involve sutures at the skull base. The latter explains mid face hypoplasia and upper airway obstruction.\textsuperscript{[1,3,4]} Occasional spine deformities, such as craniovertebral junction abnormalities, butterfly vertebrae, and fused cervical vertebrae have been reported.\textsuperscript{[1]} Therefore, radiographs of limbs and spine are important, in addition to skull radiographs, for definitive diagnosis.
Anteroposterior and lateral skull radiographs not only reveal abnormal skull shape but also show sclerosis and fusion of sutures. Sutural fusion manifests as partial or complete obliteration of the suture and fused sutures may appear “heaped up” with loss of normal interdigitations.\[11\] Other radiographic features of craniofacial bones are hammered silver beaten/copper beaten skull vault, enlarged hypophyseal cavity, shallow orbits, small paranasal sinuses, and hypoplastic maxilla.\[4,11,12\] In both our patients, we observed copper beaten skull vault, asymmetrical regions of vault thickening, hypertelorism and depressed nasal bridge. However, limbs, digits and spine were normal; thus, other similar syndromes were definitively excluded.

The widths of sagittal suture and coronal suture at birth, as estimated on CT, are known to be 5 mm and 2.5 mm respectively, and gradually reduce to approximately 1.5 mm and 0.8 mm by 1 year of age respectively and fuse at 40 to 60 years of age.\[13\] In patients with craniosynostosis, the sutures are not visible. The prematurely fused sutures may be symmetrically or asymmetrically involved.\[14\] In both our patients, there was symmetrical synostosis of the involved sutures. The role of cranial CT, with 3D VRT, in delineating the status of individual skull sutures, the occurrence and the extent of parasutural sclerosis and parasutural bony ridges in patients of craniosynostosis has been highlighted earlier only in a few studies.\[11,14\] It is also known that increased bone deposition occurs at the perimeter of fused sutures.\[9\] The same has been documented in our first patient, with increased bone deposition/sclerosis seen at the periphery of the fused left coronal suture. Information regarding suture status is a vital management data for planning reconstructive osteotomies in these patients. CT also plays a vital role in evaluation of postsurgical correction. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is not routinely done, but in syndromic cases, it may be useful for documenting brain parenchymal abnormalities, overlooked on CT.\[15\]
Recently, investigators from the United Kingdom have reported a novel gradient echo “black bone” MRI technique as an alternative to CT, for the identification of normal sutures and 3D delineation of prematurely fused sutures in patients of craniosynostosis.[16] It is expected with wider availability of this technique, MRI will sooner rather than later, replace CT as the diagnostic and the postoperative evaluation modality.

The differential diagnosis of Crouzon syndrome includes Crouzon syndrome with acanthosis nigricans, Pfeiffer’s syndrome, Apert syndrome, Saethre–Chotzen syndrome, Carpenter syndrome, and Jackson–Weiss syndrome.[4,5,11,17,18] These syndromes show presence of limb and digital abnormalities, unlike Crouzon syndrome. Additionally, the clinical and genetic differential diagnosis of all these syndromes is summarized in Table 1.

Few investigators have published their experience on antenatal diagnosis of Crouzon syndrome and similar anomalies, using fetal ultrasound and MRI.[19,20] However, the parents of both our patients did not have any antenatal records.

The treatment of Crouzon syndrome patients begins during the child’s first year of life, with fronto-orbital advancement and cranial decompression, for prevention of raised intracranial pressure, which may lead to mental retardation and impaired vision.[13] All surgical techniques are designed to increase cranial vault volume and reduce the raised intracranial pressure. “Ilizarov procedure,” recommended for Crouzon syndrome has been reported to produce complete correction of exophthalmos and cosmetic improvement of the middle third of face.[21] “Distraction osteogenesis” is another surgical treatment for craniosynostosis. Postoperative skull radiographs and 3D CT are important to assess the accurate location of the distraction devices and the subsequent reduction in cranial markings, indicating a successful postprocedure reduction of intracranial pressure.[23]

### Conclusion

In patients with craniofacial dysostosis, Crouzon syndrome should be considered as an important radiological diagnosis, characterized by skull abnormalities, occasional spine deformities, and conspicuous absence of digital and limb anomalies. Cranial CT, with 3D VRT, plays an essential role in adequate assessment of sutures, thereby guiding appropriate and timely suture-related surgical procedures. Radiological examination contributes not only to definitive diagnosis, but also towards vital postoperative assessment of the surgical results.
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