CASE REPORT

Venous reflux into renal and spinal veins on MDCT in a surviving patient
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Abstract

In patients of cardiac arrest or near death, opacification of inferior vena cava and renal veins during the arterial phase of intravenous contrast administration at computed tomography (CT) examination is well known. We present a case of extensive reflux into renal and spinal veins in a patient who survived for 1 week following multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) examination without any life supporting devices.
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Introduction

Following intravenous contrast injection during an multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) examination of stable patients, triphasic timeline technique is performed routinely. Accordingly, the early arterial phase demonstrates arterial tree of the desired area, based on the placement of the bolus tracking region of interest. However, in patients with cardiac arrest or impending cardiac failure, opacification of hepatic veins and layering of contrast medium in the inferior vena cava and renal veins has been described in the literature. We present a case of hemodynamically stable patient in whom extensive reflux of contrast medium into the hepatic, renal, and spinal veins was observed in addition to a densely opacified inferior vena cava.

Case Report

An 80-year-old female patient presented with signs and symptoms of acute left ventricular dysfunction and severe pulmonary edema 1 month ago which was managed by mechanical ventilation and decongestant therapy and discharged from the hospital after full recovery. She returned to emergency room with history of pain in the left iliac fossa and burning micturition for 1 week, vomiting and watery stools since 4 days, and shortness of breath since 1 day. There was no history of hypertension or diabetes. On examination, patient was conscious and breathless (NYHA class IV). Pitting edema of both lower limbs was noted. Her blood pressure was 130/80 mm Hg. She had tachypnea (97/mnt) and breathing at a rate of 29/min. Basal crepitations and pansystolic murmur were appreciated on auscultation. ECG showed V1 to V5 ST segment elevation. Chest radiography revealed cardiomegaly. Echocardiography demonstrated diameters of aorta and left atrium 33 and 40 mm, respectively. Left ventricular end diastolic diameter was 54 mm and end systolic diameter was 38 mm. Posterior wall measured 9 mm with an ejection fraction of 20%. Pressure in the right ventricle was 36...
mm Hg. Dilated left ventricle with akinesia of distal septum, apex, and apicolateral segment were noted. Moderate mitral, aortic, tricuspid, and pulmonary regurgitation were observed. Both atria did not show any abnormality. Her oxygen saturation, serum electrolytes, and arterial blood gases were noncontributory. Diagnosis of severe left ventricular dysfunction associated with pulmonary edema was considered. Ultrasonography of abdomen revealed prominent inferior vena cava and peripherally calcified round mass lesion in left suprarenal region. Pleural effusion was noted on both sides. After obtaining informed consent, contrast enhanced CT Scan (GE Revolution 16 slice) was performed using nonionic iodinated contrast medium (Omnipaque 350 mgI/ml) at a rate of 4 ml/s into the right femoral vein because of unavailable veins in the upper extremities. Severe reflux of contrast medium was noted into the hepatic, renal, and spinal veins while right atrium and inferior vena cava were opacified densely, indicating severely raised pressure in the right atrium [Figures 1 and 2]. Her vital conditions were stable during and immediately after the CT scanning. She was treated by diuretics, bronchodilator nebulization therapy and broad spectrum antibiotics for 1 week. Inotropes were not used during the treatment. Her breathlessness gradually improved and was free of symptoms at the time of discharge from the hospital on the 6th day of admission. At home, the patient suddenly became unconsciousness and succumbed.

Discussion

Velocity of flow of fluid particles (blood) in concentric laminae varies progressively from zero to maximal near to the wall in the axial stream without mixing in the lumen. From Reynolds’s formula, it is assumed that all venous flow is laminar unlike in a turbulent flow where thorough mixing occurs.1‑3 During contrast-enhanced MDCT examination for routine indications, triphasic bolus tracking technique is performed to demonstrate arterial tree effectively in the early arterial phase. It is widely known that severely increased right ventricular pressure and acute right heart failure impede the forward flow of contrast medium, resulting in reflux into inferior vena cava, the path of least resistance. Intense enhancement of abdominal veins is accompanied by faint opacification of abdominal aorta and arteries. In hemodynamically stable patients, even mixing of cardiac output does not affect the differential specific gravities of blood and injected contrast medium at the clinical rate of delivery. In the event of severely impaired cardiac function with cessation of cardiac pulsations and electrical activity, layering and stagnation of contrast medium in the dependent portion of inferior vena cava and abdominal veins are observed.4,5 Besides the inferior vena cava, hepatic veins and renal veins are also visualized densely. Reflux into the portal, lumbar, and iliac veins is also described.6‑7 Cardiogenic shock following acute myocardial infarction and acute dissection of aorta were described to result in dependent venous contrast pooling and hypostasis in two different patients who were alive during the CT examination and died within hours.8 Dependent contrast pooling has been recognized as a sign of cardiac arrest.9,10 Constrictive pericarditis and ischemic cardiomyopathy also may result in acute cardiac failure causing venous contrast pooling on CT examination. Route of injection via the femoral vein is not a contributory factor for the gross reflux observed in our patient as venography through femoral vein for many other indications does not result in retrograde flow into the tributaries. Even a direct selective catheter delivery of contrast medium into renal vein ostium against the antegrade flow does not opacify the renal vein. It requires sufficiently high injection pressure to delineate the main renal vein. Also vena cavoography does
not show the spinal veins. Even in the case of venacaval obstruction, injected contrast medium finds the antegrade direction through collateral pathways, but not towards end organs such as kidneys or spinal cord. According to the reviewed literature, this phenomenon is observed during terminal event such as cardiac arrest or acute heart failure just before death, but not in patients with stable vital parameters as in our patient who lived for a week following the examination.

**Conclusion**

The patient described here had stable vital signs during the CT scanning and for the next 7 days. Reflux of contrast medium into the inferior vena cava, hepatic, renal, and lumbar epidural veins otherwise observed on MDCT examination in patients with cardiac arrest or acute right heart failure as a terminal event is described in this surviving patient, a novel feature not described in the literature.
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