Utility of Immunohistochemistry on Bone Marrow Trephine Biopsy for the Diagnosis and Classification of Acute Leukemia

Abstract

Background: Bone marrow examination is an essential diagnostic tool in acute leukemia for the determination of lineage of blasts by flow cytometry or immunophenotyping. In cases of dry tap or where facilities of flow cytometry is not available, immunohistochemistry (IHC) on trephine biopsy sections can be used as a supportive tool to diagnose and classify acute leukemia.

Materials and Methods: The giemsa-stained peripheral smears and bone marrow aspirate/imprints smears were retrospectively evaluated. IHC was done on trephine biopsy sections using a panel of antibodies which included CD34, MPO, CD117, Tdt, CD3, CD20, PAX5, and CD10.

Results: Of the 29 cases diagnosed by IHC on trephine sections, 25 cases could be accurately classified based on the expression of myeloid and lymphoid markers. Majority of the acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) were of B-cell lineage (81.25%), and almost half of the B-ALL were common acute lymphoblastic leukemia-associated antigen positive. Conclusion: IHC on trephine biopsy facilitates the confirmation and subtyping of leukemia in more than 85% cases. A limited panel of antibodies using CD34, MPO, TdT, CD3 CD20, PAX 5, and CD10 can be used for subtyping of leukemias in trephine biopsy sections, especially in centers with limited resources. It obviates the need for a repeat bone marrow procedure where material is not available for flow cytometry.
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Introduction

The recent World Health Organization classification of acute leukemia is based on the incorporation of morphology, cytochemistry, immunophenotyping, cytogentic, and molecular genetics to categorize into distinct subtypes.[1] Bone marrow examination is an integral part of the diagnostic work up and provides material for immunophenotyping by flow cytometry or immunohistochemistry (IHC). Flow cytometry is preferred over IHC due to the better preservation of antigens. However, the introduction of new antibodies have overcome these limitations, and now IHC can be used as an alternative method to primarily diagnose and classify acute leukemia, especially in dry tap.[2] In this article, we have attempted to assess the efficacy of IHC on trephine biopsy and select a panel of immunomarkers that will aid in the diagnosis and classification of acute leukemias.

Materials and Methods

All cases of acute leukemia diagnosed on trephine biopsy over a period of 2 years from January 2017 to December 2018 were analyzed retrospectively. The relevant clinical features and complete blood counts were retrieved from the medical records. The giemsa-stained peripheral smears (PSs) were reviewed along with bone marrow aspirate (BMA)/imprint smears. Cytochemical stains, including Sudan black B (SBB) and periodic acid-Schiff (PAS), were assessed wherever available. Initial diagnosis was made on morphology based on the examination of PS and BMA smears. Imprint smears were examined wherever ever aspirate was particulate. The cases were further sub-typed on cytochemistry wherever ever possible. Confirmation and subtyping were done on trephine biopsy sections using IHC. Routine hematoxylin- and eosin-stained trephine biopsy sections along with IHC were evaluated in all cases.
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Inclusion criteria
Cases diagnosed as acute leukemia on trephine biopsy where peripheral blood or BMAs were not available for flow cytometry or yielded inconsistent results were analyzed. These included (i) aparticulate aspirate/dry tap with no blast prominence on PS, (ii) clinically unsuspected cases of leukemia with no blast prominence on PS, (iii) low WBC counts with blasts on PS where blasts could not be gated, and (iv) inconclusive flow cytometry results.

Exclusion criteria
Cases diagnosed on peripheral blood or BMA where immunophenotyping was done by flow cytometry were excluded. Bone marrow aspiration done in the treated cases of acute leukemia in the postinduction phase to assess the response to therapy was also excluded.

IHC was done using polymer horse radish peroxidase technique on fully automated immunostainer (Xmatrx Elite; Biogenex). The panel of markers included CD 34, MPO, CD117, Tdt, CD3, CD20, PAX5, and CD10 and is listed in Table 1.

Results
During the study period, a total number of 443 cases of acute leukemia were diagnosed, of which 29 (6.54%) cases were diagnosed and classified on trephine biopsy. The age of the patients ranged from 6 to 87 years, with a mean of 32.7 years. The patients most commonly presented with fever (68.9%), followed by generalized weakness (29%), shortness of breath (24%), jaundice (13%), and bleeding manifestations (10%). The other rare presentation included anorexia, jaw swelling, loss of weight, and cough. Majority of the patients had pallor accounting for 86%. There were five patients who had splenomegaly followed by lymphadenopathy (4 patients) and hepatomegaly (2 patients).

Although PS revealed blasts in four patients, immunophenotyping could not be done as the WBC count was low and the percentage of blasts were very few and could not be gated on immunophenotyping. Another case showed inconsistent result on immunophenotyping, so IHC was done on trephine biopsy for a definite diagnosis. The BMA was scant particulate in seven patients. However, additional material for flow cytometry was not collected in these cases as there was no clinical suspicion of leukemia. Of these, three patients were suspected to have aplastic anemia and one patient each had megaloblastic anemia, tuberculosis, pyrexia of unknown origin, and idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura.

The BMA was aparticulate in 22 cases. On imprint smears, a definite diagnosis of acute leukemia was made in 14 cases on morphology. Of these 14 cases, cytochemical stains were done in nine cases. There were four cases which showed block positivity for PAS stain and were thus classified as acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). There were six cases which were suspicious of acute leukemia on morphology. Cytochemical stains done in two of the cases which were suspicious of leukemia were noncontributory. In addition, there were two cases which were hypocellular on imprint smears, and one of them showed scattered atypical cells which could not be further categorized.

There were seven cases where scant particles were obtained during aspiration. The aspirate and imprint smears were evaluated simultaneously. On morphology, two cases were diagnosed with acute leukemia, three cases were suspicious of acute leukemia, and two cases were unclassified. Cytochemical stains done in six cases were noncontributory.

The four cases which were hypocellular on imprints showed aggregates of large cells (blasts) with evidence of stromal changes and myelofibrosis on trephine biopsy. Following IHC on trephine biopsy, 18 out of 22 cases which had aparticulate aspirate were further classified into acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (5 cases), B-ALL (11 cases), and T-ALL (2 cases). The morphological and immunohistochemical features of AML are depicted in Figure 1. Among the seven cases which were scant particulate, IHC further categorized into four cases of AML, 2 B-ALL, and one T-ALL. Thus, IHC could accurately subtype 86.2% of the cases (95% confidence interval 68.34%–96.11%). Four cases which could not be subtyped on IHC were positive only for CD34 and negative for both lymphoid and myeloid markers. The subtyping of acute leukemia after cytochemistry and IHC is shown in Table 2. All the cases of ALL were positive for TdT and 66.67% of the cases were positive for CD34. Majority of the ALL were of B-cell lineage (81.25%) and almost half of the B-ALL were common acute lymphoblastic leukemia-associated antigen positive. Figures 2 and 3 show morphological and immunohistochemical features of B and T-ALL. One case was negative for SBB on cytochemistry but showed positivity for MPO on trephine biopsy. TdT was also expressed in some of the cells. B- and T-cell markers were negative. Further IHC done with CD117 was also positive. Hence, it was categorized as AML with minimal
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IHC – Immunohistochemistry
differentiation. The results of IHC in various subtypes of acute leukemia are summarized in Table 3.

**Discussion**

In this era of personalized medicine, it is imperative to subtype acute leukemia for significant therapeutic implications and prognostic stratification. Immunophenotyping of leukemic blasts are essential for the confirmation of diagnosis and assessing specific lineage. Flow cytometry is the method of choice because of short turnaround time, ability to quantify the percentage of blasts, and detect multiple antigens using different fluorochrome labeled antibodies. On the other hand, IHC was not preferred in the past due to inconsistent results. The improved antigen retrieval and staining techniques have changed the concept, and now IHC on trephine biopsy is recognized as an universally accessible method. It can be done on archival tissues and is most suitable when a dry tap is yielded on aspiration. Although it gives a semi-quantitative results, morphological correlation and ability to assess nonviable cells are an added advantage. It obviates the need of a repeat procedure to obtain the fresh samples for flow cytometry in all unsuspected cases where extra samples for ancillary studies are not routinely collected.

IHC is helpful when the morphology of the blasts is undifferentiated and cytochemical stains are noncontributory. In ALL, CD34 and TdT are expressed in 95% and 85%–90%, respectively. TdT is a marker for...
immature lymphoid cells. Orazi et al. accounted for 97% positivity in pre-B and T-ALL. The European Group for the Immunological Characterization of Leukemias (EGIL), US–Canadian Consensus Group (USCC), and British Committee for Standards in Hematology (BCSH) recommends CD19, CD20, and CD10 for the detection of lymphoblasts of B-cell type. CD19 is not preferred for IHC and CD10 can be detected both by flow cytometry and IHC. CD20 a marker for B-cell lineage is often found to be negative or weakly positive. In such cases, other B-cell markers such as PAX5 or CD79a can be used. In the present study, we have used PAX5 in cases where CD20 was negative or weakly positive. Literature reveals that antiCD3 is expressed well by T-lymphocytes on paraffin sections. The other T-cell antigens (CD2, CD5, and CD7) are not lineage specific and can be aberrantly expressed in AML. Hence a diagnosis of T-ALL requires the expression of TdT and CD3. Hence, a comprehensive panel of CD3, CD20, PAX5, and TdT was used in the present study. The results of the four cases of ALL diagnosed on morphology and cytochemistry corroborated well with the IHC findings.

The EGIL, USCC, and BCSH advocate the use of antiMPO, CD13, and CD33 to detect myeloid blasts. CD13 and CD33 are detected by flow cytometry and are not available as IHC in most of the laboratories. AntiMPO detected by IHC has a high specificity for myeloblasts.

The sensitivity of IHC in subtyping acute leukemia in the present study (86.2%) is comparable with Subashchandrabose et al. (90%) and Arber et al. (96%). Four cases which could not be subtyped on IHC in the present study might be either AML with minimal differentiation or monocytic lineage. Expression of CD117 and TdT in the absence of CD79a, PAX-5, CD3, and MPO favors a diagnosis of AML with minimal differentiation. AML with myeloblastic component expresses MPO. Monoblasts are MPO negative and express CD68. Al Gwaiz and Bassioni in their study compared the results of flow cytometry and IHC and found high concordance for CD3 and CD10 but not CD20 in ALL.

Conclusion

IHC on trephine biopsy facilitates the confirmation and subtyping of leukemia in more than 85% cases. A limited panel of antibodies using CD34, MPO, TdT, CD3, CD20, PAX 5, and CD10 can be used for subotyping of leukemias in trephine biopsy sections, especially in centers with limited resources. It is useful alternative to flow cytometry, especially in cases where aspirate/peripheral blood is not available and prevents a repeat procedure to obtain material for immunophenotyping.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

References

2. Al Gwaiz LA, Bassioni W. Immunophenotyping of acute


