INTRODUCTION

Dental caries is the most common bacterial disease affecting humans. Occlusal pits and fissures are the most susceptible area for the development of caries. Fissure sealants provide a mechanical barrier against microorganisms and plaques by filling the pits and fissures and comprise an effective way for the prevention of caries.[1-3] Young permanent molars are at an increased risk of decay due to the complicated morphology of the occlusal surface.[4] In 1895, Wilson
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used a dental cement as a fissure sealant for the first time.\[5\] The failure of fissure sealants is mainly due to the inadequate isolation and the contamination of the etched enamel with saliva.\[6,7\] The conventional way to use fissure sealants is to use acid etch, wash, dry and then place, and cure the sealant.\[8\] Inappropriate isolation and the surface contamination of the enamel during the application of sealant affect its degree of effectiveness and retention. The fast clinical application of the sealant is, therefore, very important.\[9\]

Self-etch sealants have been introduced in recent years with the acid etching and washing steps eliminated and providing a good alternative to the standard acid-etch system, especially for children with behavioral problems and severe nausea refluxes or those unable to cooperate.\[10,11\] The available studies are focused on techniques that improve the retention of fissure sealants using adhesives as an intermediate layer between the etched enamel and the sealant resin.\[12\] Other studies have investigated self-etching adhesives for simplifying the sealant process and have achieved different results in comparison with the standard total-etch technique.\[13,14\]

A newer version of the self-etch fissure sealant has been introduced that facilitates this process, and the designers claim that the new sealant yields acceptable results.\[15\] Among these newly released self-etching fissure sealants is prevent seal (Itena Clinical, USA). The designers of prevent seal claim that this technology needs no etching, washing, and drying and works in one simple step.\[16\] Microleakage is the passage of bacteria, fluids, molecules, and ions between the cavity wall and the restorative material.\[1,17\] The sealant capacity to prevent microleakage in fissures is an important factor to evaluating the clinical success of such materials since microleakage can also affect the process of decay under the sealant.\[18-20\]

The dye penetration method is inexpensive and nontoxic and is most widely used, and it also enables detection in low concentrations.\[21\]

The study of the microleakage of self-etching fissure sealants using the standard total-etch technique is required for assessing the use of self-etch sealants. Since few in vitro and in vivo studies have been conducted on self-etching fissure sealants till date, this study was conducted to compare the rate of microleakage in self-etching fissure sealants and in conventional fissure sealants using the total-etch or self-etch adhesive systems.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This experimental in vitro study examined 60 permanent third molars extracted over 3 months. All the selected teeth were washed with normal saline and kept in the solution until the experiment. The selected teeth were free of any caries, cracks, fractures, and restorations, and were randomly divided into four groups of 15 as follows:

- **The first group:** The teeth were prepared after washing and drying for prophylaxis with pumice powder. Their occlusal fissures were then etched for 20 s using a phosphoric acid gel 35% (Ultra-Etch, Ultra dent Products Inc., South Jordan, UT, USA). After etching, the teeth were washed for 15 s and dried. In the next step, Clinpro Sealant (3M ESPE, Minnesota, USA) was placed into the occlusal fissures, and its excess was removed by a hair applicator and the bubbles were removed by a catheter and the sealant was then cured for 20 s.
- **The second group:** In this group, all the steps before placing the fissure sealant were similar to the first group. According to the manufacturer’s instructions, the surface of the tooth was etched by an etching agent for 20 s, and the dentin bonding agent called single bond (3MESPE, Minnesota, USA) was then used according to the manufacturer’s instructions and exposed to light with a light cure device for 10 s. Finally, Clinpro fissure sealant was injected into the fissures.
- **The third group:** This group used the seventh-generation all-in-one self-etching bonding system (single bond universal self-etch, 3MESPE, Minnesota, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The adhesive was applied to the surface of the teeth for 20 s, then air-dried gently for 5 s, and cured for 10 s. Clinpro fissure sealant was then placed on it.
- **The fourth group:** The prepared samples were dried for 15 s and prevent seal (Itena, USA) was placed into the fissures. The bubbles were then checked with a catheter and the sealant was cured for 20 s.

All the samples were cured with a standard light cure device (3M, St. Paul, Minnesota) under identical conditions regarding device power and distance from the tooth. After the sealants were placed on the occlusal surface and hardened, the entire sealant surface, in particular, the sealant and tooth contact area were examined for defects and potential bubbles using a 23-G catheter to ensure that the samples
were in a completely good condition. After applying the fissure sealants, the samples were exposed to 500 heat cycles between 5°C and 55°C in a thermo cycler. Each cycle consisted of 20 s of placement in a hot water reservoir (55°C), 20 s of placement in a cold water reservoir (5°C), and 10 s of transfer from one reservoir to another. After the thermal cycle, all the samples were prepared for placement in a dye solution. The apex of all the teeth was sealed with wax and covered with two layers of nail lacquer up to a 1-mm margin of the sealant. The teeth were then incubated in methylene blue 5% for 24 h, to allow the possible penetration of the dye matter in the distance between the enamel and the fissure sealant material. The teeth were placed in an acrylic mold up to the CEJ area. A parallel incision was then made on the longitudinal axis of the tooth in the buccolingual direction and in the central mesiodistal width using a water-cooled diamond blade on a Labcut 250B cutting machine (Extec Corp, Enfield, CT, USA). The section was examined under a stereomicroscope (×40 magnification). The dye penetration yielded was categorized based on the Williams and Winter criteria as shown in Table 1.[22]

An observer blinded to the treatments graded the dye penetration. Descriptive statistics (frequency tables) and the Kruskal–Wallis test were used to compare the four groups regarding the rate of microleakage due to their graded nature. Mann–Whitney test was used for the post hoc comparisons. Data were analyzed in SPSS 18 program (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) at a statistical significance level of P ≤ 0.05.

RESULTS

The Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare the microleakage grades between the four groups [Table 2 and Figure 1]. The microleakage grades obtained in the four groups can be summarized as below: Group 1 > Group 4 > Group 3 > Group 2.

According to Table 1, in Group 1, the highest frequency of microleakage was Grade 3 and the lowest Grade 0 and 1. In Group 2 and 3, the highest frequency of microleakage was Grade 1 and the lowest Grade 3. In Group 4, the highest frequency of microleakage was Grade 2 and 3 and the lowest Grade 0.

Mann–Whitney test was used for the pairwise comparison of the groups [Table 3]. There was a significant difference between Groups 1 and 2 and 3 (P < 0.001). Group 1 had more microleakage. While there were no significant differences between Groups 1 and 4, as well as 2 and 3. There was a significant difference between Groups 2 and 4 (P < 0.001), and also 3 and 4 (P = 0.002). Group 4 had more microleakage.

DISCUSSION

Microleakage is a decisive factor in the performance of a fissure sealant.[13] In this study, microleakage was detected in all four groups.[18]

The sealant used in this study was Clinpro, which is an unfilled sealant. Unfilled sealants have a lower viscosity, which results in a greater penetration in small spaces and a stronger bonding in the deep layers of the enamel.[22] This study did not perform enameloplasty because according to the results of other studies,[24] differences in depth of fissures do not make a significant difference in the resultant
The use of bonding before placing the sealant decreases microleakage and the risk of caries; however, it also increases the application time and the risk of saliva contamination, especially in uncooperative children and in newly erupted teeth. The recent advantage noted for bonding has the potential to make this technique more acceptable for older patients. The self-etch bonding approach is very promising regarding ease of application and technical sensitivity. Due to the acidic monomers contained in the product and these products do not require separate acid etching and washing steps.

In general, the disparities between the results of some studies can be due to the type of study, the impossibility of reproducing the exact same conditions in two different environments, the type of fissure sealant, and bonding used and the conditions under which the study has been performed such as the duration of etching or the thermocycling conditions.

Being an in vitro study, the present research did not evaluate the effect of clinical problems such as saliva control and patient cooperation on the results. Clinical studies with longer follow-up periods are, therefore, needed for determining the effectiveness of these materials more precisely.

Desirable features such as 21-MPa retention with the enamel, fluoride release, low viscosity, and ease of application have made prevent seal more suitable for sealing posterior occlusal fissures compared to the sole use of the total-etch technique. Nonetheless, since a lower leakage was observed in the groups for which bonding was performed and fissure sealing with bonding is taken to be the gold standard in this study.

Microleakage and also because the objective was to separately study the effect of the materials and their technique of use.

In this study, the rate of microleakage was higher in Group 1 (conventional method of acid etching + fissure sealant) compared to all the other groups. In an in vitro study, Biria et al. compared the microleakage rate of a self-etching fissure sealant (Enamel Loc) with a conventional fissure sealant in molar teeth and concluded that the microleakage rate of self-etching fissure sealant is significantly higher than that of conventional fissure sealant (with acid etching) and conventional fissure sealant with bonding. The difference between the results of the cited study and the present research may be due to the different laboratory conditions, sample sizes, and types of tooth examined and most importantly, types of self-etching fissure sealants used. According to the manufacturers, one of the predominant features of prevent seal is its low viscosity, which makes it suitable for penetrating the tooth fissures.

In this study, the microleakage grade was higher in Group 1 than in Group 3 and also in Group 3 than in Group 2; however, no significant differences were observed between Groups 2 and 3. This finding suggests that the use of bonding before the conventional method reduces microleakage significantly. Furthermore, the use of self-etching bonding is less effective in reducing microleakage compared to when the acid-etching + bonding method is used. This study showed that regardless of the bonding system used, the rate of microleakage decreases by adding bonding, which is consistent with the results obtained by Borem and Feigal and Pérez-Lajarín et al. The use of bonding before the sealant is still under debate. This additional step is time-consuming and is not cost-effective. In a clinical study conducted by Mascarenhas et al. with a 5-year follow-up, the use of bonding before sealing was found to not make a difference in sealant retention. Boksman et al. examined the clinical effect of bonding before fissure sealing. After 2 years, they concluded that bonding does not increase the amount of retention in the long run. The present findings are in line with the results of many studies to the effect that the use of bonding reduces microleakage.

The use of bonding agents before placing the sealant decreases microleakage and the risk of caries; however, it also increases the application time and the risk of saliva contamination, especially in uncooperative children and in newly erupted teeth. The recent advantage noted for bonding has the potential to make this technique more acceptable for older patients. The self-etch bonding approach is very promising regarding ease of application and technical sensitivity. Due to the acidic monomers contained in the product and these products do not require separate acid etching and washing steps.

In general, the disparities between the results of some studies can be due to the type of study, the impossibility of reproducing the exact same conditions in two different environments, the type of fissure sealant, and bonding used and the conditions under which the study has been performed such as the duration of etching or the thermocycling conditions.

Being an in vitro study, the present research did not evaluate the effect of clinical problems such as saliva control and patient cooperation on the results. Clinical studies with longer follow-up periods are, therefore, needed for determining the effectiveness of these materials more precisely.

Desirable features such as 21-MPa retention with the enamel, fluoride release, low viscosity, and ease of application have made prevent seal more suitable for sealing posterior occlusal fissures compared to the sole use of the total-etch technique. Nonetheless, since a lower leakage was observed in the groups for which bonding was performed and fissure sealing with bonding is taken to be the gold standard in this study.

Figure 1: Comparative illustration of the groups as follows: Grade 0: no dye penetration between the tooth surface and the sealant, Grade 1: dye penetration into less than one-third of the entire length of the surface between the sealant and the tooth structure, Grade 2: dye penetration into one-third to two-thirds of the entire length of the surface between the sealant and the tooth structure, and Grade 3: dye penetration into more than two-thirds of the entire length of the surface between the sealant and the tooth structure.
CONCLUSION

The results showed that the use of bonding results in a significant reduction in the microleakage of fissure sealants compared to when no bonding is used. Fifth generation bonding is also more effective than seventh generation bonding. The microleakage caused when using self-etch fissure sealant was not different from that caused with the use of the conventional method.
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