Feasibility of a Fourth Ventriculopleural Shunt for Diversion of an Isolated Fourth Ventricle: A Technical Note

Abstract
Isolated fourth ventricle syndrome is an uncommon entity due to obstruction of both inlet and outflow foramina. The resulting mass effect from the progressively expanding fourth ventricle may cause symptoms from both cerebellar and brainstem compression. Although a variety of treatment modalities have been advocated for this condition, an in-depth description of placement of a fourth ventriculopleural (VPL) shunt from a single-stage prone approach has not yet been published in the literature. We describe here a case of successful placement of a fourth VPL shunt in a 22-year-old female with a history of a prior posterior fossa pilocytic astrocytoma resection who presented with symptomatic isolated fourth ventricular hydrocephalus.
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Introduction
Isolated fourth ventricle syndrome is an uncommon entity caused by a variety of pathologic and congenital lesions that obstruct the fourth ventricular flow of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) both at its inlet and outlet foramina. The resultant enlargement of the fourth ventricle causes direct pressure to both the cerebellum posteriorly and brainstem anteriorly.[1] Various surgical treatments have been advocated and described in the literature and have included ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunts, open surgical and endoscopic fenestrations, and transaqueductal stenting.[2-6]

The use of ventriculopleural (VPL) shunts has been well described to be a safe and effective treatment option for the management of hydrocephalus.[7] However, to the best of our knowledge, an in-depth technical description of a fourth VPL shunt for CSF diversion from a single-stage prone position has not yet been described in the literature. We describe here a case of successful placement of a fourth VPL shunt in a 22-year-old female with a history of a prior posterior fossa pilocytic astrocytoma resection who presented with symptomatic isolated fourth ventricular hydrocephalus.

Case Report
Presentation
A 22-year-old female with a distant history of a resected posterior fossa pilocytic astrocytoma and a right occipital VP shunt presented to our hospital with new onset of headaches, nausea, vomiting, and 6th nerve palsy. On both computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging, she had isolated dilatation of her fourth ventricle likely due to adhesions from her prior surgery [Figure 1]. Her history included a prior attempt several years ago of a suboccipital craniotomy for lysis of adhesions when she presented with similar symptoms at another hospital, which did resolve her symptoms for sometime. After a multidisciplinary discussion, it was believed that a repeat lysis of adhesions this time would not be sufficient for definitive management due to the present recurrence both of her symptoms and the robust adhesions around her brainstem. Therefore, in addition to adhesiolysis, a fourth VPL shunt was placed from a single-stage prone approach given her symptoms and the magnitude of brainstem compression.

Surgical technique
She was positioned prone with her head fixed a Mayfield three-pronged head clamp in a chin-tucked flexed position. Her neck and upper back were prepped...
widely from the inion down to the inferior angle of the scapula.

For the cranial portion of the case, a linear incision was made down her prior scar from the inion to the C2 spinous process. The tissues were dissected in usual fashion down through her prior scar past her craniotomy site until her dura was identified and opened. The arachnoid adhesions from her prior tumor resection were carefully released and fenestrated widely for access into her fourth ventricle, under which clear CSF came out under moderate pressure.

For the posterior chest portion of the case, the 5th rib was estimated anatomically through both palpations and as being under the medial border of the scapular spine. A transverse incision was made on its superior border 4.5 cm off the midline to be lateral to the thoracic spine transverse process and rib tubercle. Monopolar electrocautery was used to carry the muscle dissection down to the posterior superior surface of the rib. The intercostal muscles were then atraumatically spread over the superior surface of the rib until the posterior parietal pleura was identified.

A shunt passer was tunneled subcutaneously from the cranial suboccipital incision to the posterior chest incision, and a shortened distal catheter was passed upward and secured to a Delta 0.5 valve (Medtronic Sofamor Danek, TN, USA). This was subsequently secured to a premeasured 5 cm proximal catheter that was laid inside the fourth ventricle. Catheter depth was planned to anticipate that once the posterior fossa contents collapse on decompression of the ventricular system, the catheter would not protrude into brainstem parenchyma. A posterior neck musculature pocket was then bluntly created to secure the valve so that closure of the suboccipital wound would not move the proximal catheter further intracranially as well.

At the posterior chest wound, a small hemostat was used to bluntly enter the intrapleural space, and the distal catheter was inserted inside. A pursestring stitch was placed around the catheter, the wound was filled with antibiotic irrigation and on a Valsalva maneuver to 40 mmHg; the suture was tightened to reduce the likelihood of a postoperative pneumothorax. Both cranial and posterior chest incisions were then closed in usual standard fashion.

**Postoperative course**

Postoperatively, the patient experienced immediate relief of her headaches and mild improvement with her 6th nerve palsy as well. Postoperative shunt series X-rays and CT imaging demonstrated good positioning of her shunt hardware without intracranial complications or pneumothorax [Figures 2 and 3]. She was discharged on postoperative day 2 without issues. At 1-year follow-up, she was clinically doing well with complete resolution of her 6th nerve palsy and routine shunt series, and MR imaging showed appropriate positioning of her hardware, continued decompression of her fourth ventricle, and no signs of hydrothorax or pleural effusion [Figures 4 and 5]. She did not experience any neurologic or pulmonary complications during this follow-up period.

**Discussion**

The isolated fourth ventricle was first described by Dandy, in 1921, and can be a rare late complication of lateral ventricular shunting for hydrocephalus. Its occurrence in association with VP shunting has been reported to be at around 2.5% in several series. A functional collapse occurs at the walls of the cerebral aqueduct due to the pressure gradient caused by the lateral ventricular shunt across the tentorium, and further closure of the outflow foramina of Luschka and foramen of Magendie isolates the fourth ventricle from the rest of the ventricular system.
system. Subsequent dilatation of the fourth ventricle as its choroid plexus continues to produce CSF will progressively compress its adjacent neural structures and causes symptoms of ataxia, nystagmus, dysarthria, cranial nerve palsies, eye movement disorders, and intracranial hypertension. Because our patient had a history of prior posterior fossa surgery with resection of a pilocytic astrocytoma, she was at increased risk for the development of arachnoid adhesive scars that would change her CSF dynamics and ultimately obstruct her fourth ventricular CSF outflow tracts. Other risk factors that have been described include prematurity, intraventricular hemorrhage, and postinfectious hydrocephalus, conditions which can cause ependymal inflammation and closure of the fourth ventricular outlet as well.

Indications for surgical intervention to decompress the dilated fourth ventricle usually revolve around symptomatology. This may be controversial, however, in the setting of infants or younger children with developmental delay in which symptoms may be harder to detect until later stages. In those situations, some authors have advocated for operative treatment when there is persistent dilatation of the fourth ventricle in the setting of significant brainstem compression.

Mohanty et al. recently conducted an excellent review of the various surgical options for isolated fourth ventricles. They broadly classified the options into (a) suboccipital craniectomy and outlet fenestration, (b) fourth ventricular shunting procedures, and (c) endoscopic procedures. Although the fourth ventricular shunts have remained the mainstay of the treatment for decades, the authors commented on the risks of brainstem injury through the burr hole transcerebellar approach or the tediousness of the open midline transforaminal approach which requires repositioning from prone to supine for the peritoneal portion of the surgery. Not yet described, however, is placement of a VPL shunt for this condition in which case no repositioning is required.

Although VP shunts are the procedure of choice for hydrocephalus due to the large absorptive capacity of the peritoneal lining, the pleural space remains an acceptable alternative in select patients. The most common long-term complication associated with VPL shunting is pleural effusion and hydrothorax. In our patient, the decision to proceed with a VPL shunt was made under the assumption that the likelihood of hydrothorax was reduced because her VPL shunt would only be draining the CSF made from her fourth ventricle as opposed to her entire ventricular system.

For those surgeons familiar with the placement of frontal or occipital VPL shunts for hydrocephalus, surgical access to the pleural space is similar. Technical considerations include being far enough off the midline so that the surgeon is beyond the transverse process of the thoracic spine, but not so far that the surgeon is adjacent to the medial border of the scapula. Otherwise, placement of...
the pleural catheter proceeds in standard fashion and the technique focuses on avoiding violation of the visceral pleura and obtaining a watertight closure to reduce the risk of postoperative pneumothorax. With regard to positioning, the patient’s head should be positioned for the usual suboccipital approach, and this flexed forward position will also facilitate passing of the shunt passer subcutaneously from the cranial to the posterior chest incision. There were no unexpected difficulties with the shunt portion of our case, and it proceeded as would be expected in any supine frontal VPL shunt placement.

To the best of our knowledge, our case represents the first report of a fourth VPL shunt placed for a symptomatic isolated fourth ventricle from a single-stage prone position. Approaching the pleural space from the prone position for CSF diversion is feasible without any additional morbidity. At 1-year follow-up, our patient was still doing well without any shunt-related issues or complications.
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