Transfalcine Approach for the Resection of a Bilateral Falx Meningioma: Technical Nuances and Review of Literature

Abstract
The tendency to reduce invasive surgeries is gaining more adepts, and the primary goal in meningioma surgery is the maximal safe resection. Falx meningioma is common location for intracranial meningioma, and according to their deep localization, falx meningioma represents a neurosurgical challenge. The objective of the study is to report the feasibility of a transfalcine nontumor dominant side approach for a bilateral falx meningioma. We report a technical note about the surgical approach of a 44-year-old female suffering from an evolutive meningioma of the middle third of the falx. According to the venous drainage anatomy, and the tumor conformation, a contralateral transfalcine approach was done. We described with details this surgical approach, and a reflection about transfalcine approach is proposed, which is a variant of the interhemispheric approach. It permits a good exposure of the medial surface of the contralateral hemisphere thanks to a falx incision. Moreover, a literature review is proposed about 278 articles with a special emphasis on transfalcine approach in meningioma surgery. We report an original minimal invasive approach for an intracranial meningioma, with a complete resection (Simpson I) without any technical complication. This contralateral transfalcine approach brings new technical nuances for the resection of falx or midline intracranial tumors.
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Introduction
Falx meningioma is common location for intracranial meningioma. According to their deep localization, falx meningioma represents a neurosurgical challenge. Especially about the preservation of the bridging veins, and the cerebral cortex, these elements are more challenging than the extent of resection. The primary goal of the surgery is the maximal safe resection. However, the tendency to reduce invasive surgeries is gaining more adepts.

Technical Note
We report a technical approach of a 44-year-old female suffering from an evolutive meningioma of the middle third of the falx, with a major axis of 40 mm, and a mass effect on the corpus callosum, also on the frontal left anterior cingulum. A centimetric contralateral extension is observed, and a left 35 mm extension is totally covered by the left supplementary motor area, with a volume of 26.2 cc [Figure 1].

According to the venous drainage anatomy, and the tumor conformation, a nontumor dominant side approach was chosen. Indeed, the venous drainage docked the cerebral cortex, and no bridging vein in front of the meningioma was observed on the right side. These elements lead us to choose a contralateral transfalcine approach.

Technical notes of the procedure
We did a cranial parietal flap on the right side very close to midline, with the neuronavigation system (Brainlab*). The dura mater was opened with a medial insertion, thanks to this; we exposed and we mobilized the right hemisphere edge without any venous sacrifice. The right part of the tumor was removed by this way. The falx was opened around the meningioma insertion first at the above side, then on the anterior part, and in fine the posterior
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part until the inferior sagittal sinus. This last one was
invaded by the meningioma and was removed during
the procedure [Figure 2].

These technical elements allowed us to mobilize the tumor,
to hollow the meningioma, and did an extra-arachnoid
dissection. This led us to uncouple the contralateral cortex
to the meningioma [Figure 3].

According to the fusion of the postoperative computed
tomography scan and the preoperative magnetic resonance
imaging (MRI), we did a small unilateral parasagittal bone
flap for this procedure [Figure 4].

Three months after, the patient did not report any
neurological disorder, or seizure, and the radiological MRI
control did not show any postoperative residual tumor due
to the Simpson I resection [Figure 5].[1]

**Review of literature**

We used PubMed (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pumed/) to
do a review of literature, by using words: (meningioma) and
(transfalcine); we also used the combination (meningioma)
and (contralateral). We found, respectively, 10 articles and
268 articles. Among these 278 articles, the authors kept
and discussed on the next paragraph only the relevant
articles (cf infra).

Informed consent was given by the patient before surgical
procedure and publication, and no ethic committee
approval/institutional review board was needed due to the
retrospective report of this case.

**Discussion**

According to Zuo et al. who proposed a surgical planning
classification for falcine meningioma, the major axes of
the surgery are the minimal brain retraction, perfect visual
angle, and early devascularization. Thanks to Hattori et al.
who reported the interesting series of falcine meningioma
with contralateral artery supply; our approach permits to
follow the one of classic principles of microneurosurgery
for meningioma (i.e., devascularization).[2] Indeed, Barajas
et al. report that a case report of the resection of a falcine meningioma by a contralateral interhemispheric approach fed by callosomarginal branch.[3]

In other words, transfalcine approach is a variant of the interhemispheric approach and permits a good exposure of the medial surface of the contralateral hemisphere thanks to a falk incision.[4] Other lesions such as intra-axial tumor[5] could be eligible to a transfalcine removal. This could avoid brain retraction, especially in patient with perilesional edema, and decrease the risks of tranparenchymal dissection and retraction of a swollen brain. Indeed, in this case, we can see a peritumoral edema around the dominant side of the tumor. This is the reason why we chose a nontumor dominant side approach for this patient, to avoid brain injury due to the brain swelling. This nontumor dominant side approach optimizes the surgery, the time duration of the procedure, decrease the risk of venous infarction, and optimize the comfort of the surgeon during the resection.

Hence, transfalcine approach could be an interesting option in some cases, especially for patient suffering from meningioma.[6]

Moreover, few authors report the use of endoscopic to assist transfalcine surgery, and this seems to be a great option, especially in these cases with deep-seated lesions.[7,8] Endoscopic approach seems to be great to overcome the limitation of a small bone flap in this philosophy of minimal invasive cranial surgery.

This nontumor dominant side approach should be used selectively and judiciously; otherwise, the risk of complications is likely to limit its adoption. Indeed, the possibility of complications (i.e., bleeding or venous ischemia) through this corridor requires certain expertise to avoid these, which was described by few authors for intraventricular meningiomas.[9-11]

This alternative approach is challenging, especially for young neurosurgeon, and is determined by appropriate patient selection. However, this nondogmatic operative route to minimize brain transgression and retraction with a total lesional resection is the sine qua non philosophy to advance the field of microneurosurgery.

Conclusion

We report an original minimal invasive approach for an intracranial meningioma, with a complete resection (Simpson I) without any technical complication. This nontumor dominant side transfalcine approach brings new technical nuances for resection of falk or midline intracranial tumors.
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