Neglected Bilateral Facet Dislocation of the Cervical Spine with Intact Neurology: Reduction Technique

Abstract
Bilateral cervical facet dislocation is a serious injury that in majority cause neurologic deficit requiring prompt medical attention. Rarely, they retain normal neurology due to spontaneous decompression even though patients can have objective myelopathic or root compression signs. Neglected cases with normal neurology are uncommon with only few of them reported in the literature but their management is still a matter of debate. Here, we report a case of a 26-year-old female who had neglected bilateral facet dislocation with neck stiffness that was operated with posteroanterior approach with near-complete reduction and intact neurology. We describe the technique employed and discuss the literature.
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Introduction
Traumatic cervical dislocation injuries are flexion-distraction injuries. These can be unilateral or bilateral facet dislocation presenting with severe neurological deficit.[1] Being an unstable injury, they demand emergent surgical intervention. Rarely, they have intact neurology, which is attributed to some auto-decompression mechanisms such as associated posterior bony fractures. Such patients can become chronic if they fail to seek medical attention or rarely a missed diagnosis.[2] The management of these neglected cases is a matter of debate and surgically a mammoth challenge keeping in mind the risks involved.[2,3] However, maintaining cervical stability and alignment is a major requisite in preventing progressive deformity and late-onset neurological deficit.[4] Surgeons have different algorithms for their patients, and here, we describe our technique in such a unique situation.[2,3,5]

Case Report
A 26-year-old female sustained an injury in the neck after fall from the bullock cart in her native village. She was treated with local quacks for some time but experienced stiffness of her neck for which she presented to us after 4 months. When we examined, we found neck was stiff, motor and the sensory test revealed the American spinal injury association (ASIA) E grade neurology without radiculopathy or myelopathy signs. A cervical spine X-ray showed bilateral facet dislocation with almost toppling of C4 over the C5 vertebrae [Figure 1]. Computed tomography of her neck revealed bilateral perched facets with early callous between C4 and 5 body and no other associated fractures. Magnetic resonance imaging of her cervical spine revealed the absence of disc compression anteriorly through the spinal cord was displaced posteriorly. In view of normal neurology and absence of myelopathic/root compression signs, a thorough discussion was done regarding the mode of treatment. The patient was fully explained the risks involving surgery, including a postoperative deficit. After the patient consented for surgery, she was planned for the posterior first approach. No preoperative traction was applied. She was positioned prone with Gardner-Wells tongs in place and weights of 4 kg. Posterior exposure revealed a visible cord between the separated lamina of C4‑5. Partial laminectomy of superior of C5 and inferior lamina of C4 was done along with facetectomy. Manual extension she presented us after 4 months. When we examined, we found neck was stiff, motor and the sensory test revealed the American spinal injury association (ASIA) E grade neurology without radiculopathy or myelopathy signs. A cervical spine X-ray showed bilateral facet dislocation with almost toppling of C4 over the C5 vertebrae [Figure 1]. Computed tomography of her neck revealed bilateral perched facets with early callous between C4 and 5 body and no other associated fractures. Magnetic resonance imaging of her cervical spine revealed the absence of disc compression anteriorly through the spinal cord was displaced posteriorly. In view of normal neurology and absence of myelopathic/root compression signs, a thorough discussion was done regarding the mode of treatment. The patient was fully explained the risks involving surgery, including a postoperative deficit. After the patient consented for surgery, she was planned for the posterior first approach. No preoperative traction was applied. She was positioned prone with Gardner-Wells tongs in place and weights of 4 kg. Posterior exposure revealed a visible cord between the separated lamina of C4‑5. Partial laminectomy of superior of C5 and inferior lamina of C4 was done along with facetectomy. Manual extension
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maneuver was tried to reduce the dislocation under vision, which failed. Lateral mass screws were placed in C4-5 and compression was done, which reduced the dislocation up to 50% [Figure 2a]. The posterior wound was closed, and the patient flipped supine. An anterior approach was taken, and pins applied in C4-5 that appeared as a cross [Figure 2b]. Now, the pins distracter was placed, which made the pins parallel [Figure 2c]. Next, the disectomy was done [Figure 2d] and a cage was placed with bone graft in situ harvested locally from the inferior lip of the C4 body [Figure 2e]. Finally, a plate was applied to reinforce the construct and wound closed. Postoperatively the patient was neuro-intact after extubation and shifted toward after overnight stay in intensive care. The postoperative check X-ray was satisfactory [Figure 3] and the patient was discharged subsequently with the Philadelphia collar. She is doing well.

Discussion

Delayed presentation (more than 3 weeks) of cervical facet dislocation is common in developing country like ours due to various reasons. However, neglected bilateral facet dislocation with normal neurology is extremely rare injuries to have been reported in the literature. Prabhat
et al. reported 15 patients of chronic dislocation of which ten were bilateral, but only one was with ASIA E neurology (though had root injury) in their series.[2] Because of rarity, the consensus regarding the optimal management of these patients is a matter of controversy.

Shah et has successfully managed 2 cases conservatively with “skillful negligence” as these undergo auto-fusion.[6] Srivastava et al. reported such a case of neglected injury who had developed pain, deformity, and difficulty in gaze requiring surgery.[4] Recent literature suggests more surgeons inclined to the surgery with fear of increasing kyphosis and late-onset neurology.[7-10] Basu et al. and later Srivastava et al. proposed an algorithm for neglected dislocation in choosing the first approach depending on the prolapsed disc at an affected level that may be seen in 17%-20% of patients.[3,5] Even in the absence of disc, a bony fusion starts in these neglected cases and Farooque et al. suggested to go anterior and remove this bony bridge followed by posterior and finally by anterior.[11] Jain et al. advocated a posterior approach (using spinal wiring) followed by anterior and return to posterior for more rigid fixation if required.[12]

The role of preoperative traction in reduction is also disputed. Allen et al. have found that only 20% of patients attain reduction by means of traction post 72 h.[1] However, Basu et al. and Srivastava et al. advocate the use of preoperative traction as they have tasted some success and saved approach and time in few patients.[8,10] Most authors with >10 weeks have not used preoperative tractions.[8-10] Mishra et al. have found the fibrous fusion of facets intraoperatively that were not visible radiographically in 4 cases that had delayed presentation up to 10 weeks.[7]

Authors imply various surgical strategies and the optimal is the one that has less number of approaches requiring less turning of patients and less time-consuming. Payer and Tessitore used the triple postant-post approach in their patient.[9] Jain et al. also describe a case, wherein they did the postant-post technique.[12] After they failed to reduce posteriorly, they did interspinous wiring and came in anteriorly to manipulate, reduce, and fixing anteriorly, then going back again for rigid global fusion. The thought that lateral mass fixation may hinder anteriorly manipulated reduction after a failed posterior (post facet excision procedure) has prevented surgeons from trying this. In our case also, once the facets were excised with decompression accomplished, we attempted reduction but failed. However, we tried to pull up the listhetic vertebrae by compression force over lateral mass screws and achieved 50% reduction. When we went anteriorly and used pins distracter, the rigid posterior fixation allowed us to have “controlled aggression” and realign the spine. This helped us in operating a neurologically intact patient without any catastrophe.

**Conclusion**

Posterior shortening and rigid fixation and anterior controlled distraction is an effective method of achieving a reduction in a neurological intact patient with chronic bilateral facet dislocation.
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