Solving the Riddle of “Idiopathic” in Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension and Normal Pressure Hydrocephalus: An Imaging Study of the Possible Mechanisms – Monro–Kellie 3.0

Abstract

Background: Idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH) and normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) represent a cluster of typical clinical and imaging findings, with no evident etiological cause noted. In this study, we have proposed a model for IIH and NPH called Monroe–Kellie 3.0 (MK 3.0). IIH and NPH may be entities which represent opposite sides of the same coin with venous system and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) as core drivers for both these entities. Materials and Methods: IIH and NPH volume data were collected, voxel-based morphometry analysis was performed without normalization, and the distribution of the individual volumes of gray matter, white matter, and CSF was statistically analyzed. Visual morphometry analyses of segmented data were performed, and the findings in routine magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were noted to build a model for IIH and NPH. Results: In IIH and NPH when the volumes were compared with controls, the distribution was similar. Furthermore, the morphometric changes noted in the MRI and segmented volume data were analyzed and the results were suggestive of changes in elastic property of brain causing a remodeling of brain shape and resulting in minor brain shift in the skull vault, and the resulting passive displacement of CSF which has been termed as MK 3.0. Conclusion: This model helps to put the clinical and imaging findings and complications of treatment in single perspective.
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Introduction

Idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH) and normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) are termed as idiopathic as no structural lesion is noted on imaging. A cluster of imaging features are noted which aid in making the diagnosis on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

IIH is a clinical condition presenting with varied signs and symptoms ranging from papilledema to headache to tinnitus to cranial nerve palsy and spontaneous rhinorrhea and spontaneous intracranial hypotension. The diagnostic imaging features range from optic nerve sheath dilatation to empty sella to prominent Meckels cave and transverse sinus (TS) stenosis.[1]

NPH, on the other hand, presents with dementia, imbalance, and urinary incontinence. The diagnostic imaging features are dilated bilateral Sylvian fissures, ventricular dilatation, and effaced high parietal sulcal spaces.[2]

The enigma of these two entities is as follows: The clinical history though suggests IIH and NPH, may not give us history on the etiology per se. Both these entities are chronic and progressive, with presentation extremely varied across patients.

Although there is raised intracranial pressure (ICP) in IIH, no structural lesion is visible on imaging. Imaging wise, there is impression of atrophy of frontal and parietal cortices, but there is increased pressure on digital subtraction angiography (DSA) recording in veins and high opening pressure during lumbar puncture (LP). Immediate relief of symptoms on stenting is noted as compared to cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) shunt. However, poststent, the symptoms may still recur.[3] In addition, the shunt may result in paradoxical spontaneous intracranial hypotension.[1] The core principle for all these clusters of clinical and imaging is still unknown although many theories are proposed.

In NPH, on the other hand, patients have prominent CSF spaces and increased flow...
velocity on imaging but no evident structural lesion is demonstrated and normal CSF opening pressure is noted on LP. High parietal tightness is a feature with ectatic ventricles. Although imaging features are similar to communicating hydrocephalus, the opening LP pressure is normal. The clinical presentation is over long period and only few respond to shunt.[4] Here, again, the core principle for these clusters of clinical and imaging is still unknown though many theories have been proposed.[5] Revisiting the brain dynamics of a normal brain, we know that brain is a floating mass in the skull vault with duramater attached to the brain and skull keeping it in place, thereby avoiding shifts in the brain on movement. Hence, there is a major potential space/buffering area formed by CSF between brain and skull vault which is subdivided into multiple mini compartment/pockets, the shape and size of those are based on the duramater attached to the skull bone. The volume of the skull vault is fixed and the pressure is maintained constant by maintaining the dynamics between the three compartments of blood parenchyma and CSF as given in the Monroe–Kellie (MK) hypothesis, that is any extra volume in one compartment leads to varying degrees of displacement in other two compartments so as to maintain constant pressure with brain, which is considered as noncompressible. Although the MK principle has proposed three compartments as core drivers, it focuses on arterial role in ICP and cerebral perfusion pressure regulation irrespective of arterial and venous CSF or brain pathology[6] [Figure 1a (i)]. MK 2.0 was proposed to focus on the role of venous pressure on intracranial veins and resulting passive increase in ICP such as venous blocks or retrograde increase in intracranial venous pressure due to increased cervical/abdominal/thoracic pressure as one of the cofactors for prognosis and outcome. The study says that subtle findings are lost if other core drivers are missed from the equation of ICP and there could be paradoxical worsening in such situations[6] [Figure 1a (iii)]. In our study, we propose MK 3.0 hypothesis, wherein we focus on the stress and strain on the brain parenchyma

![Figure 1: (a) (i-iii) Examples of Monroe–Kellie with no obvious structural lesion and three possibilities of the compensatory dynamic principles applicable. (i) Monroe–Kellie 1.0: Role of acute increase of intracranial pressure by intracranial pathology resulting in compensatory volume changes of CSF and venous volumes to maintain intracranial pressure. For example: In encephalopathy there is diffuse brain swelling and mass effect. (ii) Monroe–Kellie 2.0: Role of acute increase of intracranial pressure by intra- or extra-cranial causes of increase in venous pressure resulting in raised intracranial pressure and causing cerebral perfusion pressure arterial changes and changes in cerebrospinal fluid volumes. (iii) The now proposed Monroe–Kellie 3.0: Role of chronic process of passive increase in venous pressure in idiopathic intracranial hypertension and mirror pathology of increased cerebrospinal fluid velocity in normal pressure hydrocephalus causing shear stress and strain on the brain. There is molding in the shape and also change in the pulsatility of brain secondary to mechanical stress. (b) (i-iii) Postulated model in normal intracranial pressure with figure in sag coronal and axial. Rectangle box indicates the skull. The brain vault is broadly divided into supra- and infra-tentorial compartment and based on skull shape into anterior, middle, and posterior cranial fossa. This knowledge of compartment is important to understand cerebrospinal fluid displacement within these compartments and also to understand the skull brain interfaces. A normal brain in the skull vault has an anteroinferior tilt with subarachnoid spaces uniform around the brain parenchyma. The brain normally floats in the cerebrospinal fluid within the skull which has a fixed volume and follows a Monroe–Kellie hypothesis for equilibrium between different compartments. Normal pulsating brain reflects pulsations from the heart and as such no active pump is available in the brain, and hence the outflow of veins and cerebrospinal fluid is passive with outflow based on the displacement of extra fluid in a closed space (Monroe–Kellie model). Normal venous and cerebrospinal fluid circulation in the brain is indicated. (j) SSagittal plane image: anterior commissure is slightly inferiorly angulated as compared to posterior commissure. (ii) Axial image: The lines in the parenchyma indicate the antegrade drainage of venous blood to cerebral veins (via transmedullary veins) and the passive antegrade movement of cerebrospinal fluid (via glymphatic system and perivascular spaces). Bidirectional arrows indicate the maintenance of equilibrium in venous and cerebrospinal fluid compartments. (iii) Coronal image: The coronal image broadly divides into supra- and infra-tentorium, with posterior fossa well above the foramen magnum and the cerebrospinal fluid flow within the craniospinal axis. (c) Monroe–Kellie model and normal venous and cerebrospinal fluid circulation]
causing subtle morphological changes and resulting in minor shifts. Here, we also propose that the other two core drivers of ICP, that is veins and CSF, play a pivotal role in IIH and NPH, respectively. Further, the pathophysiology is more complicated in these two entities as no direct structural lesion is evident to match the clinical presentation and imaging findings [Figure 1a (iii)].

The hypothesis is both are passive outflow routes from brain to outside with the pathophysiology of these two being opposite side of the same coin with few similarities and few inverse relations on imaging. The imaging findings may be due to dynamic relation in which the brain is malleable to pressure and results in passive displacement of small pockets of CSF formed by dura to buffer the chronic and subtle changes induced by increased cerebral venous pressure and increased CSF velocity in IIH and NPH, respectively. In this study, though we suggest that brain is malleable along with change in shape, shear stress, and strain, it can also result in resistance with formation of a transmante pressure gradient which differs with the pathology. Veins and CSF cisterns, on the other hand, show passive collapse or displacement upon pressure though the etiology is related to venous and CSF dynamics.

To model the MK 3.0 hypothesis, we have gathered supporting evidence from voxel-based morphometry (VBM) analysis and the cluster of morphological changes/imaging findings noted on MRI and segmented MRI data. The cause of the cluster of clinical and imaging findings may be due to these minor brain shifts in the skull vault, without any obvious pathology.

The proposed cause for brain shift in IIH is increased venous pressure in the cerebral venous sinuses. Factors such as venous variations and raised intrathoracic pressure which do not cause any structural changes on brain MRI may contribute to raised venous pressure (as noted and emphasized in MK 2.0).

The proposed cause for NPH is the increased retrograde CSF velocity which causes a strain on the brain, leading to secondary morphological changes as noted on brain MRI. The cause for increased CSF velocity could be impaired CSF absorption due to various factors in the craniospinal axis.

Our approach for this hypothesis is that we carried out a VBM analysis first to look for any volume differences as compared to controls in the segmented data, that is, gray matter (GM), white matter (WM), and CSF. Second, we have focused on the morphological changes as noted in the brain data and segmented data, and from the cluster of imaging findings unique for IIH and NPH, we have generated a model of a possible pathophysiology.

Materials and Methods
This was a retrospective-prospective study where cases of IIH and NPH were clinically evaluated and further confirmed on imaging. The imaging was done as part of clinical workup with written informed patient consent. The imaging data of the proven cases were collected for analysis. This retrospective-prospective study was approved by the Ethical Committee of MSR Medical College and Hospital as per the guidelines. The T1 volume data which are part of routine clinical MRI protocol were analyzed. The data were realigned and segmented. The segmented brain was not normalized during VBM analysis so as not to distort the brain morphology, anatomy, or skew the normal variation of volume.

The total brain volume (TBV) is a parameter which includes GM, WM, and CSF volumes, whereas intracranial volume measures the total of GM and WM.

Retrospective and prospective data collection was done for 15 cases of IIH and NPH. The MPRAGE data were collected after a clinical and radiological diagnosis of IIH or NPH was done. T1 volume data were collected and processed for supporting the hypothesis. Individual MRI images of patients were also studied for the second part.

Results
Volume of GM, CSF, and WM is almost similar with no significant difference in the volumes of GM, WM, and CSF as noted in the scatter plot. The TBV and the intracranial volume were also similar in both the cases [Figure 2a (i-v)].

Morphological differences in shape were noted visually and will be discussed. Figure 2b (i-iv) represents GM, WM, and CSF segmented data in a control, IIH, and NPH case, respectively. On GM template, we looked for any shape changes, whereas on WM segment, we looked for corpus callosum (CC) and brainstem morphology. On CSF segment, we looked for morphology changes in the cisterns and ventricle. We observed that the CSF segment gave us a good knowledge of the CSF cisterns and the shape morphology was better seen on this segment as it gives a ventriculogram-like picture and shape of the brain in this silhouette was better appreciated.

Regular MRI images were looked for to assess for extracranial CSF pathways such as optic nerve, Meckels cave, and spinal nerve roots. If additional imaging such as magnetic resonance angiography and magnetic resonance venography were available, their findings were noted [Figures 3 and 4].

Furthermore, broadly, the intracranial structures are compartmentalized by tentorium as supratentorium (anterior cranial fossa [ACF] and middle cranial fossa [MCF] structures, central brain, and parietal convexity) and infratentorium.

The morphological changes in the supratentorial and infratentorial compartments on segmented brain and regular MRI have been described and summarized below.
in Table 1. Overall, the results in IIH and NPH looked like venous and CSF pathology contributing to the pathology. Because it is a chronic pathology, there were features of gradual shift of the central/medial brain structures in a downward direction in IIH (increased venous pressure and volume) and upward direction in NPH (increased CSF velocity). In the lateral aspect, the CSF was passively displaced within compartments, the margins of which were formed by dura or bone. In supratentorium, CSF was displaced between ACF, MCF, and parietal convexity structures.

In infratentorium, there was a shift of central/medial brain structures (brainstem) in downward direction/buckling in IIH and upward shift/straightening of brainstem. In addition, for the midline vermis and cerebellum, there was counterclockwise rotation when pushed inferiorly (up to down) in a case of IIH and clockwise rotation when pushed down to up in a case of NPH.

**Discussion**

Typically, ICP of brain is based on MK which focuses on arterial role as core driver, whereas recent hypothesis (MK 2.0) focuses on vein as the core driver of maintaining ICP. Lack of...
Solving the riddle of idiopathic in IIH and NPH
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IIH and NPH are chronic disorders and based on stretching of the veins have a specific distribution pain pattern. Unlike active pulsatile inflow of arteries, venous and CSF flow are passive outflow routes. Sudden decrease in outflow of venous return (cerebral venous thrombosis [CVT]) or CSF outflow (obstructive hydrocephalus) can cause increase in ICP, with MRI features of coning of brain. In IIH and NPH, there is chronic, subtle, and progressive increase of venous pressure and decreased CSF absorption, respectively, leading to decreased outflow and with secondary compensatory phenomenon occurring such as molding of shape of brain parenchyma, shift of CSF in subarachnoid space (SAS) from one compartment to another (within the individual cranial fossa), and at CSF drainage sites. A differing transmural gradient of resistance is offered to the increased pressure or velocity across the brain parenchyma. A model of the vicious cycle for pressure buildup in IIH and NPH and the relevant imaging findings has been built in this study. A study on glylymphatics in IIH has shown that, similar to NPH, there was impaired drainage of gadolinium, secondary to impaired glymphatic clearance. Enlarged foramen ovale and jugular foramen are noted due to remodeling as seen in IIH, which are also routes of CSF drainage along nerve sheath. IIH is noted as raised pulsation perioperatively due to change in the elastic property of brain is noted. The entity of active resistance offered by brain parenchyma to pressure and passive displacement of CSF in various location in response to increased ICP has not been explored for which we have termed MK 3.0. Computational deformation models show transmantine pressure gradients in IIH and NPH,[7] Virchow–Robin (VR) spaces, and glymphatic drainage.[8,9] Other unconventional routes of CSF drainage such as perineural spaces are also being studied in IIH and NPH.[10,11]

IIH and NPH are chronic disorders and based on stretching of the veins have a specific distribution pain pattern. Unlike active pulsatile inflow of arteries, venous and CSF flow are passive outflow routes. Sudden decrease in outflow of venous return (cerebral venous thrombosis [CVT]) or CSF outflow (obstructive hydrocephalus) can cause increase in ICP, with MRI features of coning of brain. In IIH and NPH, there is chronic, subtle, and progressive increase of venous pressure and decreased CSF absorption, respectively, leading to decreased outflow and with secondary compensatory phenomenon occurring such as molding of shape of brain parenchyma, shift of CSF in subarachnoid space (SAS) from one compartment to another (within the individual cranial fossa), and at CSF drainage sites. A differing transmural gradient of resistance is offered to the increased pressure or velocity across the brain parenchyma. A model of the vicious cycle for pressure buildup in IIH and NPH and the relevant imaging findings has been built in this study. A study on glylymphatics in IIH has shown that, similar to NPH, there was impaired drainage of gadolinium, secondary to impaired glymphatic clearance. Enlarged foramen ovale and jugular foramen are noted due to remodeling as seen in IIH, which are also routes of CSF drainage along nerve sheath. IIH is noted as raised
### Table 1: Results of imaging findings

**Summary of the morphological changes in the brain in IIH and NPH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>IIH</th>
<th>NPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whole brain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of gray-white CSF</td>
<td>Similar distribution as controls of gray–white CSF and TBV and ICV</td>
<td>Similar distribution as controls of gray–white CSF and TBV and ICV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape of brain parenchyma</td>
<td>Brachy appearance</td>
<td>Dolicho appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous congestion</td>
<td>The white matter appears more hypo and gray white distinction increased on an FLAIR image</td>
<td>The FLAIR image appears normal to hyperintense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull bone</td>
<td>Thinned-out ethmoid bone (CSF leak is known clinically)</td>
<td>Silver beaten appearance of the inner wall of the skull (parietal convexity)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Supratentorial structures (ACF and MCF): Frontal parietal occipital and temporal lobes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IIH</th>
<th>NPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High frontal and high parietal structures (convexity level)</td>
<td>High parietal &gt;&gt; high frontal SAS prominent</td>
<td>High parietal &gt;&gt; high frontal SAS effaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal and occipital SAS (lateral ventricle level) line of torque</td>
<td>Decreased size of SAS in prefrontal and occipital poles</td>
<td>Decreased size of SAS prefrontal and occipital poles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prominent parieto-occipital fissure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of lateral ventricle and SAS (lateral ventricle level) (axial and coronal views)</td>
<td>Decreased size of both lateral ventricle and SAS</td>
<td>Increased size of both lateral ventricle and SAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal lobe at the level of temporal horn of lateral ventricle</td>
<td>The SAS appears effaced, with TL appearing mildly prominent in the coronal plane (deformation of shape)</td>
<td>The SAS and Sylvian fissure prominent, with TL appearing flattened in the coronal plane (deformation of shape)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Midline structures in sagittal and coronal planes: CC, tentorium, and veins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IIH</th>
<th>NPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum shape and thickness (deformity assessment)</td>
<td>Corpus callosum: Pushed down/flattened profile and appears thick in sagittal profile</td>
<td>Corpus callosum pushed up and appears thinned out in sagittal plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC is in the same plane or lower than PC</td>
<td>PC is either same or slightly lower than AC</td>
<td>AC is either in the same level or slightly higher than PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The outer surface of CC appears buckled down</td>
<td></td>
<td>Waviness of the inner surface of CC and is directed upward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calloso-septal angle</td>
<td>Maintained to increased angle but have an elongated profile</td>
<td>Ballooned out and reduced angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentorium and venous sinus (Plain and postcontrast figure)</td>
<td>Tentorium stretched and pushed down</td>
<td>Tentorium stretched and pushed down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSS appears more prominent</td>
<td>SSS appears normal, transverse sinus normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachnoid granulation</td>
<td>Mid-third transverse sinus at bony prominence narrowed</td>
<td>Straight sinus is stretched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Straight sinus is stretched</td>
<td>Normal arachnoid granulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arachnoid granulations are very prominent in the cisterns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sella MCF</td>
<td>Empty sella</td>
<td>Empty sella</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenchymal changes</td>
<td>Subcortical and deep white-matter hyperintensities</td>
<td>Periventricular hyperintensities and periventricular ooze (bulk water) with deep white-matter hyperintensities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prominent VR spaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Infratentorium: PCF and brainstem structures, cerebellum, brainstem, and spinal cord

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IIH</th>
<th>NPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brainstem</td>
<td>Mamillopontine profile</td>
<td>Mamillopontine profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervicomedullary angle and pontomesencephalic angle</td>
<td>Appears buckled downward</td>
<td>Appears opened up due to prominent cisterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appears to have acute angulation</td>
<td>Appears to have an obtuse angulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Elastography

Narrow prepontine cisterns based on the degree of inferior shift, fourth ventricle appears small in dimensions

Cerebellum elongated appearance in sagittal orientation (tonsillar herniation is known). Sagittal plane cerebellum appears rotated counterclockwise as cerebellum is pushed down

Prepontine cisterns and IV ventricle

Narrow prepontine cisterns based on the degree of inferior shift, fourth ventricle appears small in dimensions

Cerebellum appears buckled upward by cisterns around (cerebellar folia and fissure appear prominent)

Cisterns, for example, mega cistern magna Reservoir

Cerebellum PCF

Cerebellum elongated appearance in sagittal orientation (tonsillar herniation is known). Sagittal plane cerebellum appears rotated counterclockwise as cerebellum is pushed down

Cerebellum appears buckled upward by cisterns around (cerebellar folia and fissure appear prominent)

Prepontine cisterns prominent

Fourth ventricle appears enlarged with prominent flow void

Craniospinal axis and spinal cord

IIH; the spinal nerve sheath prominent (ectasia in few cases) and a normal central canal

NPH; the spinal nerve sheath appears normal with mild prominence of central canal

Prepontine cistern and IV ventricle

Narrow prepontine cisterns based on the degree of inferior shift, fourth ventricle appears small in dimensions

Sagittal plane: Cerebellum appears rotated clockwise as cerebellum is lifted up

Cisterns prominent

Fourth ventricle appears enlarged with prominent flow void

Other zones of drainage/buffering areas (such as perineural sheath, peryvasculcar spaces [VR spaces], cisterns, and subarachnoid spaces)

Prominent

Optic nerve sheath prominent with tortuous course optic nerve

SAS around olfactory nerve prominent

Optic nerve sheath prominent but course of optic nerve not tortuous

SAS around olfactory nerve prominent

Prominent

Optic nerve sheath prominent with tortuous course optic nerve

SAS around olfactory nerve prominent

Optic nerve sheath prominent but course of optic nerve not tortuous

Spinal nerve root sheath prominent (meningoeles are known in literature)

Spinal nerve root sheath normal

Spinal nerve root sheath normal

Meckels cave prominent

Meckels cave prominent

CSF – Cerebrospinal fluid; TBV – Total brain volume; ICV – Intracerebral volume; FLAIR – Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequence; ACF – Anterior cranial fossa; MCF – Middle cranial fossa; VR – Virchow–Robin; PCF – Posterior cranial fossa; IIH – Idiopathic intracranial hypertension; NPH – Normal pressure hydrocephalus; SAS – Subarachnoid space; AC – Anterior commissure; PC – Posterior commissure; CC – Corpus callosum; TL – Temporal lobe

LP opening pressure, whereas in NPH, increased velocity in the IV ventricle is noted on imaging.

Reviewing all the imaging findings, routine MRI findings in IIH are already discussed above and invasive DSA shows a pressure gradient in sigmoid sinus stenosis (SSS) and TS in IIH.[5] Invasive Transmantle study shows decreased absorption and increased pressure at SAS in NPH and IIH.[13,14] Volume distribution of GM, WM, and CSF was found to be similar to controls in both IIH and NPH in our study. Phase MRI four-dimensional (4D) studies show increased flow velocity in NPH and IIH.[15,16] Cine displacement encoding with stimulated echo (DENSE) images in healthy controls have shown brain motion in synchronisation with cardiac pulsation in the following order: optic chiasma > brainstem > occipital, cerebellum and frontal and parietal lobe, The time to peak of the normal wave of pulsatile movement of the brain throughout the cardiac cycle was from the brain stem to cerebellum to optic chiasma to the peripheral brain lobes (occipital to parietal to frontal)[17] in controls. In IIH on DENSE imaging there was decrease in pulsatility with decreased superoinferior pontine displacement.[18] DENSE imaging pattern in NPH needs to be explored. In NPH and IIH, glymphatic MRI 4D has shown decreased clearance of intrathecal gadolinium from SAS via the parenchyma into the venous system with an increased gadolinium leakage into parenchyma from both the cortical and transependymal surfaces.[6,12] Similar phenomenon with metabolic waste in CSF leading to amyloid and tau in CSF and deposition has been described in other studies.[19,20] A study using elastography five-dimensional (5D) imaging has shown decreased elasticity and increased stiffness of brain parenchyma in IIH, which is very similar to stiff brain found on histopathology.[14,21] Elastography study in NPH has shown that stiffness was increased in cerebrum and parietal, occipital, and temporal lobes, and they suggest that increased ventricular dilatation causes interstitial and intracellular fluid to be squeezed out of parenchymal pores, leading to increased stiffness and loss of compliance.[22] An elastography study in porcine model has shown that, when a normal brain tissue is subject to stress and strain by mechanical pressure due to raised ICP, it migrates from a linear fashion to nonlinear fashion and the stiffness increases, but brain function can still occur in this zone, which can explain the chronic indolent course in IIH and NPH.[23] Artery is one of the most important core drivers of ICP. For phase imaging on MRI, the values for velocity encoding are approximately an average of 50 cm/s (60–150 cm/s) for cerebral artery, 35 cm/s (10–60 cm/s) for cerebral veins, and 10 cm/s (2–20 cm/s) for CSF in the aqueduct and spinal SAS.[24] In conditions of decompression leading to raised ICP like in CVT and IIH.

### Table 1: Summary of the morphological changes in the brain in IIH and NPH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>IIH</th>
<th>NPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum PCF</td>
<td>Cerebellum elongated appearance in sagittal orientation (tonsillar herniation is known). Sagittal plane cerebellum appears rotated counterclockwise as cerebellum is pushed down</td>
<td>Cerebellum appears buckled upward by cisterns around (cerebellar folia and fissure appear prominent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepontine cistern and IV ventricle</td>
<td>Narrow prepontine cisterns based on the degree of inferior shift, fourth ventricle appears small in dimensions</td>
<td>Sagittal plane: Cerebellum appears rotated clockwise as cerebellum is lifted up Prepontine cisterns prominent Cisterns, for example, mega cistern magna Reservoir</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
due to venous outflow block, the venous pressure can go up to arterial velocities\textsuperscript{[25]} and in acute hydrocephalus and NPH, CSF pressure can be equivocal venous velocities. This phenomenon may explain the cause of decompensation and congestion in these cases.

Both IIH and NPH are physiological changes which occur over longer time and hence structural MRI may not be sufficient to make a diagnosis. Both have an abnormal baseline with periods of relapse and remission based on the pressure gradient difference in the arterial venous and CSF compartments. As a part of abnormal baseline, there may be decreased elasticity causing remodeling of shape of brain contents with a normal ICP during remission followed by sudden deterioration and acute raise in ICP during periods of relapse. Detailed physiological studies such as flow studies, Transcranial Doppler (TCD), and elastography are limited in these conditions comparing relapse and remission phases.

We have noted the shape changes in brain morphology, SAS, and WM bundles as an indirect marker for the way the brain may have been squeezed, keeping in mind the location and compartments of brain in vault and also its unique shape.

Based on the clinical and imaging findings and review of findings in other studies, we have built a hypothetical model for IIH and NPH and they appear to be different faces of the same coin and we have enlisted below why they appear same and the location of inverted mirror images [Table 2].

**Why termed same coin**

Papillodema is a feature of IIH and not NPH, reflecting its malignant clinical course.\textsuperscript{[26,27]} Biophysics wise, mechanical strain is the result with break point being increased venous pressure in IIH and increased CSF velocity in NPH. A model based on MK hypothesis and the four compartments in healthy controls is represented in Figure 1b. Symptomatic relief is obtained when this vicious cycle is broken by interventions such as stent shunt.\textsuperscript{[2]} Since brain CSF and veins are passive outflow system with no means to pump out independently other than by arterial pulsation and passive displacement, currently, only the above interventions work in both.

**Why termed different sides of the same coin based on the postulated model**

Direction of the brain shift due to increased venous pressure/CSF velocity is different, and etiology for the starting point of induction of the loop of IIH and NPH is different. The subarachnoid space in the parietal convexity is prominent in IIH\textsuperscript{[28]} and effaced in NPH [Figure 3b]. In both these entities, however, since skull vault is fixed, the brain is shifted either inferiorly or superiorly, the line which experiences the maximum torque is in sagittal

### Table 2: Summary of why idiopathic intracranial hypertension and normal pressure hydrocephalus are termed the same coin and different sides of the same coin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Same coin</th>
<th>IIH and NPH</th>
<th>Variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>No structural lesion but functional-level changes</td>
<td>Core drivers are different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP drainage</td>
<td>Improvement in both</td>
<td>Additional venous stenting in IIH or shunting of CSF in NPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging</td>
<td>Empty sella and optic nerve sheath dilatation in both</td>
<td>Papillodema absent in NPH but present in IIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course clinically</td>
<td>Chronic progressive disorders with relapse and remission in both</td>
<td>Causative factors are different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical features</td>
<td>Vague and varied with a wide spectrum of causes and presentation in both</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Different sides of the same coin</th>
<th>IIH</th>
<th>NPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model and core drivers</td>
<td>Increase venous pressure</td>
<td>Increased CSF velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulated model of brain shift which is in equilibrium with no pressure gradient in normal Sagittal</td>
<td>Anteroinferior direction (exaggeration of normal tilt)</td>
<td>Posterosuperior direction shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal</td>
<td>Features of centrifugal gradient and SAS-effaced</td>
<td>Features of centripetal gradient and SAS-enlarged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>Herniation features</td>
<td>reverse herniation features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical presentation</td>
<td>Centrifugal pressure gradient</td>
<td>Centripetal pressure gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging</td>
<td>Cranial nerve involvement headache</td>
<td>PD like, gait, memory, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication</td>
<td>Juxta and deep WM changes</td>
<td>Periventricular and deep WM changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication</td>
<td>Intracranial hypotension</td>
<td>Acute hydrocephalus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSF – Cerebrospinal fluid; IIH – Idiopathic intracranial hypertension; NPH – Normal pressure hydrocephalus; LP – Lumbar puncture; SAS – Subarachnoid space; WM – White matter
In a state of equilibrium on axial imaging keeping the physiological connection between various compartments, which makes the brain parenchyma from ventricular and cortical surfaces of lateral ventricles, resulting in increased velocity of CSF through the craniospinal axis and pressure dissipation onto brain parenchyma from ventricular and cortical surfaces of brain [Figure 5b].

The normal physiology of brain parenchyma is not visible in a structural MRI. However, there is a dynamic balance between various compartments, which makes the brain have a particular profile on routine MRI as shown in the postulated model of a normal control. The physiology of brain across various compartments has been drawn to understand this fine balance [Figure 5]. In case of IIH [Figure 5a] and NPH [Figure 5b], a similar model has been built to understand the physiology.

The MK 2.0 focuses on venous pressure as a core driver to understand impaired glymphatic drainage in brain parenchyma resulting in pressure at the cortical margin, which is bone-brain interface and also on the lateral ventricles which passively collapse [Figure 5a]. In case of NPH, centripetal forces work on the brain parenchyma due to increased CSF back pressure from SAS back to lateral ventricles, resulting in increased velocity of CSF through the craniospinal axis and pressure dissipation onto brain parenchyma from ventricular and cortical surfaces of brain [Figure 5b].

The MK 2.0 focuses on venous pressure as a core driver to understand impaired glymphatic drainage in brain parenchyma resulting in pressure at the cortical margin, which is bone-brain interface and also on the lateral ventricles which passively collapse [Figure 5a]. In case of NPH, centripetal forces work on the brain parenchyma due to increased CSF back pressure from SAS back to lateral ventricles, resulting in increased velocity of CSF through the craniospinal axis and pressure dissipation onto brain parenchyma from ventricular and cortical surfaces of brain [Figure 5b].

The normal physiology of brain parenchyma is not visible in a structural MRI. However, there is a dynamic balance between various compartments, which makes the brain have a particular profile on routine MRI as shown in the postulated model of a normal control. The physiology of brain across various compartments has been drawn to understand this fine balance [Figure 5]. In case of IIH [Figure 5a] and NPH [Figure 5b], a similar model has been built to understand the physiology.
In postcontrast study in IIH, all veins were prominent except the mid third of TS [Figure 3d], and a dynamic increase or decrease in arterial flow and collateral to external jugular vein and stenoses at TS was noted,[8,29,30] suggesting a brain shift as the possible cause and that venous pressure is the core driver and stenosis is an epiphenomenon of IIH and not the cause.

The paradoxical prominence of parietal SAS in raised intracranial pressure can be explained by brain shift suggested in MK 3.0.

NPH, the trigger point, is the decreased SAS absorption leading to changes in craniospinal axis as noted in a study where CSF outflow resistance was >18 cmH\(_2\)O/ml/min (normal <8 cmH\(_2\)O/ml/min)[31] and...
shunt is a treatment. Disproportionately enlarged subarachnoid space hydrocephalus (DESH) and effaced parietal sulci can be explained by MK 3.0.

For the ease of modeling the pathophysiology though we have shown brain as an ovoid and skull as a rectangular box around it, it is more complex due to the various fossae and compartments formed by dural attachment, bone and venous sinuses. The central brain has more freedom of shift than the lateral brain as noted on elastography and DENSE studies. In IIH, we hypothesise that there is centrifugal mechanical stress and as a result of this shear strain, on cortex and overlying dura and veins there may be neuronal cortical depression and pain induction causing headache. The inferior torque induces vomiting and diplopia. Pressure transmission from perineural SAS of cranial nerve may cause cranial neuralgia. Chronic mechanical stretching and increased pressure in line of torque lying along the prefrontal and occipital pole may result in occipital migraine and CSF rhinorrhea due to bony erosion of thin lamina papyracea. Spontaneous intracranial hypotension may be complication of long standing intracranial hypertension with the perineural shear along the spinal nerve acting as a sudden give away point to raised pressure in the SAS. In NPH in line with our model, there may be decreased periventricular perfusion due to mechanical stress leading to stretching of corona radiate fibres and hence the features of gait abnormality. Stretching of the cortico spinal tracts may cause lower body PD and pyramidal signs. High convexity parietal lobe compression may cause acalculia and paracentral lobule compression may cause urinary incontinence. The combined pressure on the cortical surface and the fornices may explain the cause cognitive changes with AD and FTD like presentation. A study has shown decreased CBF in the basal medial frontal cortex and deep gray matter in NPH which correlates with severity of clinical symptoms. DTI measures of neuronal integrity have shown changes in corona radiata, CC, frontal lobe The increased T2 signal and decreased elasticity has been attributed to brain softening and leading to small vessel disease changes which may further worsen the glymphatic drainage in NPH. The juxta/subcortical and deep WM are more elastic. Centrifugal gradient in IIH and centripetal gradient in NPH make hyperintensity in subcortical location in IIH and periventricular (PV) location in NPH [Figure 4a], with increased apparent diffusion coefficient values noted. Venous watershed zone is between PV/deep WM with cortical/subcortical WM, and this area is hence prone in both IIH and NPH. Differential tissue density mantles and mechanical stress cause a unique pattern of cortical/subcortical changes in IIH and ependymal PVWM zone in NPH. The computational model has shown similar points of mechanical stress and blood oxygenation level-dependent perfusion venous lag in superficial and deep venous systems, confirming our centrifugal and centripetal pressure gradients in IIH and NPH, respectively. T2 images of frontal WM and GM at cortex and deep WM showed decreased pixel values in IIH and increased values in NPH, likely secondary change in the elastic property of tissue. T2 hypointensity is due to nonheme iron as in venous congestion and a similar phenomenon is seen in IIH.

As already highlighted in the beginning of the discussion, review of basic and advanced imaging demonstrate the phenomenon of decreased pulsatility, high cortical venous pressure and decreased glylymphatic drainage in IIH, and increased velocity and decreased forward flow and decreased clearance of gadolinium in NPH respectively. The same has been shown in our postulated model. A similar phenomenon may be happening with metabolic waste in CSF, leading to amyloid and tau deposition along the PV surface and parietal areas secondary to stress and strain.

The mechanism of cognitive changes in IIH and dementia in NPH would be interesting as this would be a reversible cause of dementia. Positron emission tomography studies in NPH have shown global decrease in CBF and decreased glylymphatic drainage may be the prime cause for neurodegeneration. Multidomain cognitive impairment in IIH has been noted. T2 prolongation in Alzheimer’s disease which is associated with NPH may be due to increased amylod deposition in response to strain.

There is loss of buffering causing small vessel ischemic changes on imaging in chronic arterial hypertensive encephalopathy. Similar changes may be noted in IIH, which is a chronic venous hypertensive encephalopathy.

Few lessons were learned from complication in IIH and NPH in relation to MK 3.0 hypothesis. Intracranial hypotension has a cluster of imaging features contrary to that of IIH. Various angles such as pontomesencephalic angle, mamilloptentine distance, and lateral ventricular angle are altered in hypotension and IIH. Sudden decrease in venous pressure (core driver) may exaggerate inferior shift in hypotension. Phase-contrast MRI in IIH has shown decreased CSF flow in rate in aqueduct in IIH and lumboperitoneal shunt may worsen this flow. Sella volumes are reversible in IIH and hypotension though pituitary remains functional in response to stress. A similar phenomenon is noted in brain parenchyma.

NPH is known to have CSF tau and beta and synucleinopathy/tauopathy similar to other neurodegenerative disorders. Altered CSF dynamics due to mechanical stress may result in metabolic waste deposit in these disorders.

Conclusion

Overall, at the end of this study, we are tempted to replace “idiopathic” term with chronic venous hypertensive encephalopathy in IIH and chronic hydrocaphalic encephalopathy in NPH. CINE DENSE imaging which
maps brain movement may help diagnose faulty venous and CSF hydraulics.
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