Cavernous Malformation of a Thoracic Spinal Nerve Root: Case Report and Review of Literature

Abstract

Intradural extramedullary spinal cavernous malformations (CMs) remain the least common variant of these lesions and can originate from the inner surface of the dura mater, the pial surface of the spinal cord, and the blood vessels in the spinal nerves. Root-based-only extramedullary CMs are very rare in the thoracic region with only four cases reported. We present a case of 56-year-old male with 1-year progression of lower extremities weakness. Magnetic resonance imaging demonstrated a hypointense lesion in the upper thoracic region. Surgical exploration revealed a CM with origin in the second thoracic nerve root with gross total resection. Histopathological examination confirmed a CM. The patient had complete recovery of neurological function at 3 months interval. Intradural extramedullary CM is extremely rare entity that must be considered in the differential diagnosis of intradural extramedullary lesions. Surgical resection is the treatment of choice to prevent further neurological damage.
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Introduction

Cavernous malformations (CMs) are common benign vascular lesions composed of endothelium-lined vascular channels without intervening normal parenchyma, neural, or glial elements.[1,2] These lesions belong to a group of vascular malformations that are developmental anomalies of the vascular bed.[3] Spinal CMs account for 5%–12% of spinal vascular malformations with only 3% located intradurally.[4,5] Intradural extramedullary spinal CMs remain the least common variant of these lesions. Specifically, thoracic root-based-only extramedullary CMs are very rare with only four cases reported. We describe the case of a patient with a CM arising from a thoracic nerve root in which surgery was performed.

Case Report

A 56-year-old man with a history of diabetes mellitus type II presented with 1 year progression of lower extremity numbness and weakness that was initially attributed to diabetic peripheral neuropathy. However, progression of symptoms was rapid, with patient being nonambulatory within 6 months. The deterioration prompted a full spine magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which revealed an enhancing mass with suspected extramedullary location [Figure 1]. Neurological examination demonstrated bilateral grade 3 force in all muscle groups in the lower extremities, lower extremity hyperreflexia, bilateral ankle clonus, altered proprioception, temperature, and paresthesias from the second thoracic dermatome and bilateral-positive Babinski reflexes. Surgical intervention was recommended to the patient.

At the operating theater, baseline somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs), transcranial motor evoked potentials (TcMEPs), and electromyography were obtained and revealed decreased values in lower extremities. The patient underwent a T1–T3 laminoplasty, durotomy, and microsurgical en bloc resection of the lesion. On dural opening, a large extramedullary lesion was evident with a mulberry-like appearance displacing the spinal cord toward the left and causing severe compression [Figure 2]; it was noted that the lesion was very adherent to the second thoracic spinal root with a distinguishable border between the lesion and the spinal cord [Figure 2]. The lesion was carefully dissected and removed en bloc, along with part of the nerve root from which it was originating [Figure 2]. After the lesion was removed, TcMEPs and SSEPs demonstrated significant intraoperative improvement. Postoperative MRI demonstrated successful gross total resection with spinal cord decompression [Figure 3]. Microscopic
examination revealed an encapsulated tissue with a lumen filled with hemorrhage, large thin-walled dilated vessels lined by flattened endothelium with no elastic lamina, consistent with a CM [Figure 4]. Postoperative course was uneventful with near-complete recovery of motor and sensory deficits. Follow-up evaluation at 3 months demonstrated complete recovery of preoperative deficits.

**Review of Literature**

Table 1 illustrates the ten cases that have been reported in the literature of intradural extramedullary CMs in the thoracic spine. The presenting age of the patients are between 22 and 67 years, with a mean of 47 years. The majority of patients were male, representing a 70% of cases. In the same manner, the majority of cases (70%) were located in the mid-thoracic and lower-thoracic region. The presenting symptoms consisted of subarachnoid hemorrhage in three patients, sensorimotor disturbances in six patients, and one patient presenting with isolated back pain. Urinary disturbances consisting of sphincter dysfunction and urinary retention were also present in two patients in addition to sensorimotor disturbances. Gross total resection was achieved in nine patients, with only one case of subtotal resection due to significant adherence to the spinal cord. Excellent outcomes were obtained in six patients, no improvement was seen in two patients, and

**Table 1: Cases of thoracic intra‑dural extra‑medulary cavernous malformations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and Year</th>
<th>Age/ Sex</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Presenting Symptoms</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Surgery extent</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roger et al.[8] 1951</td>
<td>22, F</td>
<td>T11</td>
<td>Sciatica/back pain/Motor deficit</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse Floris.[9] 1958</td>
<td>57, M</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>Motor deficit</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heimberger et al.[10] 1982</td>
<td>24, M</td>
<td>T2-3</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagni et al.[11] 1990</td>
<td>46, M</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>Back Pain</td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastronardi et al.[12] 1991</td>
<td>49, F</td>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Sensorimotor deficit</td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mori et al.[13] 1991</td>
<td>65, M</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>Cord</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma et al.[14] 1992</td>
<td>43, M</td>
<td>T5</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>Root/Cord</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er et al.[2] 2006</td>
<td>67, M</td>
<td>T11</td>
<td>Back pain Sensorimotor deficit Sphincter dysfunction</td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
worsening of neurological deficits was observed in one patient; one case had no report of postoperative outcome.

**Discussion**

CMs are benign vascular lesions of the neural axis: an intradural extramedullary location is the rarest.[6-9] MRI is the study of choice for these lesions.[10,11] Intradural extramedullary CMs can be homogeneously or heterogeneous hyperintense in T1-weighted images. They can be hyperintense in T2-weighted images, and gadolinium enhancement is frequent but not necessary.[12] MRI findings of hemorrhage are variable, depending on severity and stage.[13] Microscopic examination consists of irregular sinuousoidal vascular spaces lacking intervening neural or glial tissue lined by a single layer of endothelium.[14-16] Recurrent hemorrhages in CMs lead to enlargement and further compression of adjacent structures; it also causes thrombosis of vascular channels that can necrotize and perpetuate further hemorrhages.[16,17] Patients with intradural extramedullary CMs develop symptoms secondary to a space-occupying lesion, either due to hemorrhage, cord edema, or cord impingement.[13,16-20] Surgical removal remains the standard of care in the treatment of these lesions in symptomatic patients and should be done in an expedited manner to prevent further neurological deterioration as conservative management offers no chance for improvement in symptoms.[20-23] There is no role for endovascular treatment of CMs as these vascular lesions are not amenable to embolization due to their cytoarchitecture.[23] CMs in the spinal cord are usually well-demarcated lesions with well-defined planes, permitting a safe resection with excellent outcomes.[16] Intraoperatively, intradural extramedullary CMs tend to be intrinsically adherent to the nerve roots and/or spinal cord, which favors an origin in the blood vessels on the surface of nerve roots and spinal cord.[16] Surgical procedures may be technically difficult, due to the formation of dense adhesions between the CM and the nerve roots/spinal cord.[16] In some cases, dissection of the involved nerve root becomes challenging, and a decision must be taken if sacrificing the involved root is acceptable.[16] In our case, the gradual worsening of symptoms correlates with suspected microhemorrhage causing increase in size and subsequent cord compression. Preoperative MRI in our patient was not pathognomonic of a CM; thus, our differential diagnosis included ependymoma, schwannoma, and neurofibroma. Surgical exploration in our patient revealed a densely adherent CM to the second thoracic nerve root; it was decided to sacrifice the root to prevent further manipulation that could result in a spinal cord injury. In our patient, full neurological recovery was seen at 3 months.

**Conclusion**

Although extremely rare, intradural extramedullary CMs must be considered in the differential diagnosis of intradural extramedullary lesions. Neurological recovery is dependent on the severity of preoperative symptoms. The optimal treatment remains surgical en bloc resection to prevent further neurological damage.
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