Endoscopic versus Microscopic Pituitary Adenoma Surgery: An Institutional Experience

Abstract
Aim: The aim of this study was to compare the efficacy of endoscopic versus microscopic excision of pituitary adenoma, and to evaluate the merits and demerits of each approach. Materials and Methods: Prospective data were collected and patients were surgically treated for pituitary adenoma at SMS Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. Patients consent was obtained. Age, sex, presenting symptoms, length of hospital stay, pre- and post-operative hormone status, extent of resections of tumors, and intra- and post-operative complication were noted. Results: A total of thirty patients with pituitary adenoma were operated transsphenoidally. Seventeen patients were operated by endonasal endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery and 13 patients were operated by microscopic transsphenoidal surgery. In an endoscopic group, complete tumor excision was achieved in 11 (64.71%) patients, and in microscopic group, it was achieved in 6 (46.15%) patients. In endoscopic group, mean operative time was 111.29 ± 21.95 min (ranged 80–135 min), and in microscopic group, it was 134.38 ± 8.33 min (ranged 120–145 min). In endoscopic group, mean blood loss was 124.41 ± 39.64 ml (60–190 ml), and in microscopic group, it was 174.62 ± 37.99 (100–220 ml). Postoperative sinusitis was present in 1 (5.88%) patient in endoscopic group and in 2 (15.38%) patients in microscopic group. Conclusion: Endoscopic approach provides a wide surgical field and broad lateral vision making easier distinction of tumor tissues. Thus, there is less blood loss, greater extent of tumor removal and it had less operative time, less postoperative complication, and early discharge from the hospital.
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Introduction
Pituitary adenoma is the third most common intracranial tumor in surgical practice, accounting for approximately 10%–25% of all intracranial tumors.[1] Recent epidemiological data suggest that clinically apparent pituitary adenomas have a prevalence of 1/1000 in the general population.[2] Although only very rarely malignant, pituitary tumors may cause significant morbidity in affected patients. Sir Victor Horsley was the first surgeon to operate pituitary tumor,[3] followed by Schloffer's[4] transnasal transsphenoidal route and Cushing's[5] sublabial transseptal route. Hirsch[6] first introduced the operative microscope. Subsequently, Jankowski et al.[7] performed the first endoscopic pituitary surgery to start a new era. Since then there has been a transition from microscopic approach to endoscopic assisted microscopic approach to endoscopic approach.

Previous studies are either retrospective or had compared endoscopic with endoscope-assisted microscopic approach.[8] Only few prospective studies are reported in the literature comparing endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach with microscopic transsphenoidal pituitary adenoma surgery.

We have done prospective comparison study in our institution between endonasal endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery and microscopic transsphenoidal surgery.

Materials and Methods
Our study included thirty cases of pituitary adenoma, operated in SMS Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. Seventeen cases underwent endonasal endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery whereas remaining 13 cases were operated using the microscopic transsphenoidal surgery.

Inclusion criteria are:
• Sellar and suprasellar pituitary adenoma

• Functioning and nonfunctioning pituitary adenoma
• Solid and cystic pituitary adenoma.

Exclusion criteria are:
• Sellar tumor with large parasellar or retrosellar extension.

Full neurological examination including motor, sensory, and cranial nerve examination was performed. Routine blood examination and basic hormonal profile were performed. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) brain and computed tomography (CT) of sella and paranasal sinus were performed for all cases. All patients were provided a uniform postoperative care.

Both surgeries were performed under general anesthesia with orotracheal intubation. We used 4 mm diameter sinonasal rigid endoscope, 0° and 30°. The nostrils were decongested. We approach through middle meatus and identified the sphenoid rostrum. Sphenoidectomy was done by using Kerrison Rongeurs. The anterior wall of the sella was identified and opened. The dura was opened with a cruciate incision. Under direct visualization, the tumor was removed first from posterior part and then from anterior part using curette. Sella was inspected for residual tumor with a 30° endoscope. Under direct visualization, the tumor was removed first from posterior part and then from anterior part using curette. Sella was inspected for residual tumor with a 30° endoscope. After complete removal of tumor, there is fall of arachnoid in the sellar cavity. Hemostasis done. Sphenoid sinus is packed with fat and sealed with fibrin glue. The nasal packing was done with merocel at the level of middle meatus. The packing was removed after 48 h. Lumber drain was inserted in patients having arachnoid rupture intraoperatively and removed in 48–72 h after surgery.

Microscopic surgery was similar to endoscopic surgery, except that it requires Hardy’s speculum and was done under visualization with a microscope instead of endoscope.

The hormonal profile, visual function evaluation, MRI, and CT scanning were repeated immediately and after 1 month of surgery and were compared with preoperative findings.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS, trial version 20 for Windows statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The categorical data were presented

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P LS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.178*</td>
<td>0.86NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.807*</td>
<td>0.42NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020 with 1 df;**</td>
<td>0.887NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.432*</td>
<td>0.002S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.433*</td>
<td>0.002S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020 with 1 df;**</td>
<td>0.887NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.432*</td>
<td>0.002S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.433*</td>
<td>0.002S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unpaired t test , ** Chi square Test, LS – Level of significance; df – Degree of freedom; NS – Not significant; S – Significant; SD – standard deviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P LS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.006 with 1 df</td>
<td>0.937NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.293 with 1 df</td>
<td>0.58NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006 with 1 df</td>
<td>0.937NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.162 with 1 df</td>
<td>0.68NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.09±2.166 (6-14 Days)</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chi square Test, **Unpaired t test, LS – Level of significance; df – Degree of freedom; NS – Dot significant; S – Significant; SD – Standard deviation; CSF – Cerebrospinal fluid; NA – Not available
as numbers (percentage) and were compared [Table 2] among groups using Chi-square test. Groups were compared for demographic data were presented as mean and standard deviation and were compared using by Student’s t-test applying to find out the most significant groups among all the groups. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

There were 19 male patients and 11 female patients. The mean age of the patients in endoscopic group was 41.06 ± 11.76 years (ranged 24–60 years), and in microscopic group, it was 43.92 ± 13.20 years (ranged 16–60 years). Seventeen (56.66%) patients had nonfunctioning pituitary adenoma and 13 (43.34%) patients had a functioning pituitary adenoma. Among functioning pituitary adenoma 7 (53.84%) patients had acromegaly and 5 (38.46%) patients had prolactinoma and 1 (7.70%) patient had Cushing syndrome. The most common presenting symptom was a headache and second most common symptom was loss of vision. The mean duration of symptoms in endoscopic group was 30.26 ± 29.23 months (ranged from 15 days to 8 years), and in microscopic group, it was 17.58 ± 22.94 months (ranged from 1 month to 5 years).

Complete tumor excision was achieved in 11 (64.71%) patients in endoscopic group and in 6 (46.15%) patients in microscopic group (Chi-square test, $P = 0.64$, statistically not significant).

In endoscopic group, mean operative time was 111 ± 0.37 min (ranged 80–135 min). In microscopic group mean operative time was 134.40 ± 0.13 min (ranged 120–145 min) (unpaired $t$-test, $P = 0.001$, statistically significant).

In endoscopic group, mean blood loss was 124.41 ± 39.64 ml (ranged 60–190 ml), and in microscopic group, it was 174.62 ± 37.99 ml (ranged 100–220 ml) (unpaired test, $P = 0.002$, statistically significant).

Postoperative complication was present in both endoscopic and microscopic groups. Slightly higher percentage of complication such as diabetes insipidus (23.08% vs. 11.76%), cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak and reoperation (15.38% vs. 11.76%), and sinusitis (15.38% vs. 5.88%) was observed in microscopic group as compared to endoscopic group. Reoperation was performed one for postoperative hematoma and one for CSF leak in both groups.

All the patients after surgery had improvement in a headache and vision in both groups. There was no deterioration of endocrinal function in both groups.

In endoscopic group, mean hospital stay was 9.0 ± 2.09 (ranged 5–12 days), and in microscopic group, it was 9.54 ± 2.40 (ranged 6–14 days) (unpaired $t$-test, $P = 0.52$, statistically not significant).

**Discussion**

Pituitary tumors surgery still represent a significant challenge, despite the highly refined nature of the contemporary microsurgery. The endoscope has been introduced to transsphenoidal surgery and had gained significant popularity. Over the past decade, the evolution of pituitary tumors surgery had been characterized by progressive trends toward less invasive approach. The endonasal endoscopic approach provides less invasive approach to the pituitary gland and surrounding area, in addition to providing better intraoperative imaging of the region [Table 1].

Guiot is recognized as first neurosurgeon to use the endoscope in transsphenoidal approach. In 1977, Apuzzo et al used endoscope as an adjunct in the microscopic resection of pituitary tumors with extra sellar extension [Table 1]. Fries and Perneckzy stated that endoscopy improves appreciation of microanatomy not apparent with the microscope and introduced the concept of minimally invasive surgery. In 1992, Jankowski et al. used a pure endoscopic transsphenoidal approach to the sella turcica.

The largest prospective endoscopic series (215 patients) is reported by Kawamata et al. but all these patients underwent endoscopic-assisted endonasal transsphenoidal microsurgery without comparison with any other approach. De Divitiis et al. reported a prospective series of 170 patients with endoscopic approach, but did not include microsurgical group. Kim et al. in a prospective study of 12 patients, compared endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery with the endoscope-assisted microsurgical approach. Koren et al. retrospectively compared sublabial transseptal microscopic with endoscopic transsphenoidal approach.

Ahmed Aly et al. done a prospective study of forty patients on surgical outcomes of endoscopic versus microscopic transsphenoidal approach for pituitary adenoma and concluded that surgical outcomes in endoscopic [Table 1] transsphenoidal approach is better than microsurgical approach regarding postoperative nasal complication.

Jain et al. done a prospective study of twenty patients done a comparison between endonasal endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery and endonasal transsphenoidal microsurgery and concluded that in endoscopic surgery there were less postoperative complication less operative time as compared to endonasal transsphenoidal microsurgery but complete tumor excision was achieved in the same percentage of patients in both groups [Figure 1 and Table 2].

Endoscopic transsphenoidal pituitary surgery is a developing technique and comparison with microsurgical surgery must be done to evaluate merits and demerits. Operating microscope does not visualize whole of the sphenoid sinus, pituitary fossa, and surrounding vital structures. The endoscopic approach had a widened operative field, does not require a nasal speculum as guide
Endoscopic surgery had minimal damage to nasal cavity and reduced postoperative morbidity and with angled endoscope all area of nose and paranasal sinus can be completely visualized. Optical properties of endoscope are superior to the operating microscope. Endoscope provides an exquisite view of optic bulge, carotid bulge, and optocarotid recess which minimize the chances of catastrophic injury to the internal carotid artery. There are several limitations of endoscopic approach as it require a bloodless surgical field and had a steep learning curve.

Endonasal endoscopic surgery does not require sublabial or nasal incision and elevation of mucoperichondrial flap from septum. Hence, potential complication of septal and paranasal sinus areas are eliminated.

In the present study, complete tumor excision was achieved in greater percentage of patients, and there were less postoperative complication, less operative time early discharge from hospital in endoscopic group as compared to microscopic group. The previous prospective study done by Jain et al. concluded less postoperative complication, less operative time in endoscopic transsphenoidal group as compared to endonasal microscopic transsphenoidal group, but complete tumor excision was achieved in the same percentage of patients in both groups.

Various other study done by like Gao et al.; (endoscopic 81.7% and microscopic 62.2%), Ahmed Aly et al.; (endoscopic 80% and microscopic 66.7%) and Messerer et al.; (endoscopic 88% and microscopic 47.8%) had showed greater percentage of gross total resection in endoscopic group in comparison to microscopic group which favor our study.

Conclusion

In pituitary surgery, endoscopic surgery had started new fields not only by direct endonasal approach but also by providing a panoramic view inside the sphenoid cavity and sella turcica. Endonasal endoscopic transsphenoidal pituitary adenoma surgery is a safe and effective procedure. It had minimal invasiveness, and its wider and direct anatomical control of the operative fields allows a faster, greater, and safer potential of tumor excision with respect to the sphenoid, sellar, and parasellar structures.

In endoscopic surgery elimination of intraoral and trans-septal dissection, along with reductions in operative time, intraoperative blood loss, and postoperative complications, have ushered in the completely endonasal endoscopic approach to the pituitary gland as the most recent phase in the evolution of pituitary surgery.
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