Posteroinferior Cerebellar Artery Aneurysms: Influence of Angioanatomy on the Safety of Flow Diversion Treatment

Abstract

Several anatomical variables critically influence therapeutic strategies for posteroinferior cerebellar artery (PICA) aneurysms and, specifically, the safety of flow diversion for these lesions. We review the microsurgical anatomy of the PICA, discussing and detailing these considerations in the treatment of aneurysms of this vessel from a theoretical perspective and in light of our previously published clinical results.
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Introduction

The vertebrobasilar circulation is a complex network of vessels bearing significant organizational homology to, and interconnections with, the spinal arterial system. The posteroinferior cerebellar artery (PICA) is a critical branch arising from the vertebral artery (VA); supplying the dorsolateral medulla and cerebellar tonsils, vermis, and hemispheres; and forming extensive anastomoses with other locoregional vessels.[1] An understanding of neurovasculogenesis illuminates normal and variant PICA origins; segmental topology; regional vascular microsurgical anatomy; and perforator, anastomosis, and collateral supply.[1-3] Optimization of PICA aneurysm treatment is thus facilitated by an intimate appreciation for these relationships and precise characterization of lesional angioarchitecture.[4]

PICA is divided into anterior medullary, lateral medullary, tonsillomedullary, telovelotonsilar, and cortical segments[1] [Figures 1 and 2]. It provides cortical branches to the cerebellar hemispheres, vermis, and tonsils; supplies the choroid plexus of the 4th ventricle, along with the anteroinferior cerebellar artery (AICA) and superior cerebellar artery (SCA); and, importantly, provides critical perforators, which penetrate the medulla directly following takeoff from PICA or course over its surface for short (short circumflex perforators) or long (long circumflex perforators) distances, supplying highly eloquent parenchyma [Figure 3].

Several factors render microsurgical clipping[5] or reconstruction with bypass as preferred treatment modalities for many PICA aneurysms. These lesions often present ruptured with subarachnoid hemorrhage and are frequently friable or fusiform, requiring flow replacement via bypass. Furthermore, PICA occlusion or intraoperative rupture during coil embolization may result in catastrophic deficits.[6] However, endovascular therapy has been used effectively in the treatment of these lesions,[4,7,8] and new interventional modalities, such as flow diversion (FD) (Chow et al., 2012)[4,9,10] eschew many of the shortcomings of traditional endovascular approaches[6,11,12] with significantly better safety (lower complication rates) comparable to superior efficacy (higher obliteration rates). In this review, we discuss the microsurgical anatomy of PICA and implications on considerations for treating aneurysms of this vessel via flow-diverting stents.

Variant Origins

PICA most frequently originates from the intradural VA in the majority of individuals above and, in some instances, below the level of the foramen magnum. Occasionally, PICA originates from the basilar artery or a segmental radiculopial artery at C1 or

© 2019 Asian Journal of Neurosurgery | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow
C2, with the latter variants irrigating principally the tonsils, vermis, and posteroinferior surface of the cerebellum, with dorsolateral medullary supply being provided by PICA perforators and a prominent medullary perforator emanating from the VA in the stead of PICA.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\) The lateral spinal artery may originate from the VA as well as variant-origin PICAs.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^13\) Compromise of vascular territory supplied by variant C1 or C2 origin PICA, when treating aneurysms of this vessel, produces a different spectrum of clinical findings compared to occlusion of a VA-originating PICA, manifesting principally with cerebellar neurological signs and symptoms and typically lacking medullary deficits. Another common variant is the common origin, variant C1 or C2 origin PICA, when treating aneurysms of this vessel, produces a different spectrum of clinical findings compared to occlusion of a VA-originating PICA.

Collateral Network

In the case of proximal ipsilateral VA occlusion, PICA may receive flow from the contralateral VA, anterior circulation via the basilar artery, anterior and lateral spinal arteries (arising from VA or PICA and anastomosing with other spinal vessels), and posterior meningeal artery.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^15\)\(^,\)\(^16\) Should occlusion of the PICA occur, flow is provided by rich collaterals among all cerebellar arteries, including the AICA and SCA and contralateral PICA (PICA–PICA) collaterals,\(^2\) evidenced by the absence of ischemic deficit in patients with PICA aneurysms treated with FD stents.
In proximal manifesting. In a series of ten patients with PICA aneurysms, this group also demonstrated that the extent of brainstem perforator supply from the VA was in equilibrium with that provided by PICA: the more proximal the PICA origin, the more extensive the medullary perforator supply which is provided by VA. Thus, risk to perforator territory with FD jailing of PICA is theoretically diminished with more proximal origin of the PICA from the VA. In practice, however, PICA patency is maintained despite jailing of its origin. Furthermore, chronic FD jailing-related occlusion is better tolerated than acute occlusion. In three cases of PICA aneurysms treated with FD stents placed wholly within PICA, no patients suffered stroke.

Compromise of PICA or VA perforators could precipitate ischemia and/or infarction of critical medullary regions subserving a myriad of functions manifesting clinically as Wallenberg syndrome. The majority of PICA aneurysms occur at the origin and occlusion here would critically compromise the medullary perforators. While retrograde collateral supply of PICA, from the lateral spinal artery, for instance, may in theory fill the PICA medullary perforators in the case of a PICA origin occlusion, FD would compromise perforators throughout the stents’ expanse/lay. Any compensation for perforator occlusion/compromise would have to be via (1) perforator redundancy from other vessels supplying the same region and/or (2) perforator anastomoses; the extent to which either can compensate effectively for PICA perforator occlusion remains to be elucidated. More porous nonflow-diverting stents would be convenient in this regard, but PICA tortuosity is generally prohibitive of their use in this location. Treatment of distal PICA aneurysms puts at risk the parenchyma of comparatively lower “eloquence-density,” with respect to perforator compromise via flow-diverting stents or main vessel stenosis or occlusion via in-stent thrombosis or coil migration, etc.

In a previous series, we classified PICA aneurysms according to (1) morphology and (2) location. Saccular and fusiform aneurysms were designated as Types 1 and 2, respectively. Saccular aneurysms involving the PICA–VA junction or those on the proximal 5 mm of PICA were designated as Type 1a and 2a and those located distally as Type 1b and 2b. Fusiform PICA aneurysms arising distal to the medullary perforator supply were designated as Type 2c. Type 1a and 2a lesions are treated by placement of a flow diverter in the VA, whereas Type 1b and 2b aneurysms are amenable to treatment by placement of the entire flow-diverting stent in PICA. In proximal VA-origin PICA, with more extensive perforator supply from the VA, FD placement within PICA is theoretically associated with less risk of perforator territory ischemia. Thus, the ideal Type 1b and 2b lesions would involve a proximally originating PICA. Conversely, when treating a Type 1a or 2a PICA aneurysm, requiring placement of the FD stent within the VA, PICA originating from more distant aspects of the VA, associated with greater perforator supply.

Figure 3: (a) Anterior view depicting three posteroinferior cerebellar artery origin variants. The classic origin from the vertebral artery is depicted on the right, and origins from the anteroinferior cerebellar artery–posteroinferior cerebellar artery complex from the basilar artery and extradural origin of posteroinferior cerebellar artery at C1 are depicted on the left. (b) Pial anastomosis on the olivary surface between branches of posteroinferior cerebellar artery and superior cerebellar artery. (c) Posteroinferior cerebellar arteries originating at C1 bilaterally, both of which give rise to the lateral spinal arteries. Modified with permission from Figures 1-9 of Mercier et al., 2008

Perforator System

The dorsolateral medulla is extensively irrigated by a rich anastomotic perforator network supplied from both the VA and the initial segments of PICA [Figure 3]. According to one microdissection study, the anterior-segment perforators ranged from 0 to 2 in number and emanated from the superior, posterior, and medial surfaces of PICA; lateral-segment perforators ranged from 0 to 5 in number and arose from the medial surface of PICA; and tonsillomedullary perforators were the most numerous, ranging from 0 to 11 in number and emanated from the anterior and medial surfaces of PICA. Perforators are also supplied by the AICA and descending branches from the SCA. The VA medullary perforators were found to arise both proximally and distally with respect to the PICA origin, with the latter noted more commonly. Consistently, VA perforators proximal to PICA origin were for the most part found to be absent according to a study by Lasjaunias et al. This group also demonstrated that the extent of brainstem perforator supply from the VA was in equilibrium with that provided by PICA: the more proximal the PICA origin, the more extensive the medullary perforator supply which is provided by VA. Thus, risk to perforator territory with FD jailing of PICA is theoretically diminished with more proximal origin of the PICA from the VA. In practice, however, PICA patency is maintained despite jailing of its origin. Furthermore, chronic FD jailing-related occlusion is better tolerated than acute occlusion.

In a microscopic study, extensive perforator compromise via flow-diverting stents or main artery, for instance, may in theory fill the PICA medullary perforators in the case of a PICA origin occlusion, FD would compromise perforators throughout the stents’ expanse/lay. Any compensation for perforator occlusion/compromise would have to be via (1) perforator redundancy from other vessels supplying the same region and/or (2) perforator anastomoses; the extent to which either can compensate effectively for PICA perforator occlusion remains to be elucidated. More porous nonflow-diverting stents would be convenient in this regard, but PICA tortuosity is generally prohibitive of their use in this location. Treatment of distal PICA aneurysms puts at risk the parenchyma of comparatively lower “eloquence-density,” with respect to perforator compromise via flow-diverting stents or main vessel stenosis or occlusion via in-stent thrombosis or coil migration, etc.

In a previous series, we classified PICA aneurysms according to (1) morphology and (2) location. Saccular and fusiform aneurysms were designated as Types 1 and 2, respectively. Saccular aneurysms involving the PICA–VA junction or those on the proximal 5 mm of PICA were designated as Type 1a and 2a and those located distally as Type 1b and 2b. Fusiform PICA aneurysms arising distal to the medullary perforator supply were designated as Type 2c. Type 1a and 2a lesions are treated by placement of a flow diverter in the VA, whereas Type 1b and 2b aneurysms are amenable to treatment by placement of the entire flow-diverting stent in PICA. In proximal VA-origin PICA, with more extensive perforator supply from the VA, FD placement within PICA is theoretically associated with less risk of perforator territory ischemia. Thus, the ideal Type 1b and 2b lesions would involve a proximally originating PICA. Conversely, when treating a Type 1a or 2a PICA aneurysm, requiring placement of the FD stent within the VA, PICA originating from more distant aspects of the VA, associated with greater perforator supply.
deriving from PICA, is associated with less theoretical risk of perforator territory ischemia; in this instance, should PICA undergo a “tourniquet occlusion” at its origin, the nonjailed PICA perforators would fill retrogradely from anastomoses (e.g., ipsilateral AICA and contralateral PICA).

Three patients in our series of PICA aneurysms in whom a flow-diverting stent was placed wholly within PICA suffered no medullary infarction. Moreover, in patients with VA dissecting aneurysms, no instances of perforator territory ischemia occurred with neuroform or coronary stenting or FD. In contrast, in one series, treatment with internal coil trapping resulted in medullary infarction in 50% of patients with VA dissection, including 60% of patients in whom occipital artery–PICA revascularization was performed, even though the anastomosis was well placed on the caudal loop of the tonsillomedullary segment of the PICA to supply the medullary perforators. Thus, FD and stenting proved better at protecting the VA–PICA perforator network compared to revascularization.

Conclusion

The heterogeneity of PICA anatomy renders preoperative characterization of angioarchitecture and locoregional anatomy critical in the treatment of PICA aneurysms. PICA aneurysm classification according to morphology and location allows appropriate selection of location for FD placement to obliterate the aneurysm. The presence of collateral supply renders PICA origin and/or perforator ostium FD-related jailing classically well-tolerated whether or not chronic occlusion occurs. This is validated by patients of a previous series suffering no instances of stroke with placement of FD in VA or PICA. However, an appreciation for exceptions to this rule and instances in which treatment via FD may prove too risky is critical and is predicated upon an ability to predict the extent to which collateral supply will be able to effectively compensate for jailing of PICA origin (i.e., with FD in VA) or perforator ostia (i.e., with FD in PICA). Large-scale studies are necessary to validate the practicality of the aforementioned theoretical anatomical considerations in the treatment of PICA aneurysms and the safety and efficacy of FD for the same.
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