Analysis of Factors Influencing Outcome of Depressed Fracture of Skull

Abstract
Context: The outcome of patients with depressed fracture varies and depends on multiple factors. There has been no previous study on the significance of these factors on the outcome of depressed fracture of the skull and hence this study. Aims: The primary aim of our study is to find the factors affecting the outcome in cases of depressed skull fracture (DSF). This will help us improve outcomes and give more accurate prediction of long-term outcomes. Settings and Design: Prospective observational study. Subjects and Methods: Institutional Ethics Committee approval was taken for doing this observational study. This was conducted in a tertiary care institute by collecting data of fifty cases of DSFs in 2 years, between January 2012 and December 2013. The study included patients who were diagnosed with DSFs admitted to our tertiary care public hospital. Patients with comorbidity involving injury to other organs or medical disorders and pediatric patients were excluded from our study. Statistical Analysis Used: Chi-square test and Fisher exact test. Results: There was a statistically significant impact on age, sex, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score at presentation, type of DSF, and site of DSF in the long-term outcome of patients. The patients with GCS score of 13 or more fared well with good long-term outcome as against those with GCS score below it. Any additional brain injury in the form of hematomas, etc., has a significant negative impact on long-term outcome of the patient and warrant urgent surgical intervention. Complications such as dural tear, cerebral contusions, wound infections, and seizures have adverse effect on the recovery. Conclusions: Our observation suggests that patients brought to hospital with minimal delay, with GCS score between 13 and 15, with simple DSF and normal brain parenchyma without dural tear, have the best outcome.
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Introduction
Head injury is one of the most important public health problems today. One of the varieties of serious head injuries is depressed skull fractures (DSFs). A skull fracture is considered depressed when any portion of the outer table of the fracture line lies below the normal anatomical position of the inner table. DSFs typically occur when objects with a large amount of kinetic energy (e.g., baseball bat, hammer, rock) make contact with the skull over a fairly small area. This type of fracture carries a high risk of increasing pressure on the brain, crushing the delicate tissue. The outcome of patients with depressed fracture varies and depends on multiple factors. There has been no previous study on the significance of these factors on the outcome of depressed fracture of the skull and hence this study.

Subjects and Methods
Institutional Ethics Committee approval was taken for doing this observational study. This was conducted in a tertiary care institute by collecting data of fifty cases of DSFs over a period of 2 years, between January 2012 and December 2013. The study included patients who were diagnosed with DSFs admitted to our tertiary public hospital.

History and examination
On admission, a detailed history was taken, and thorough clinical examination of all patients was carried out. Assessment of pupillary size/reactivity and level of consciousness (as per Glasgow Coma Scale [GCS]) was carried out. The neurological reassessment was carried out frequently to identify any neurological deterioration. The local wound was inspected for external evidence of fracture and contamination.
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Radiological examination

Computerized tomography (CT) scan was the imaging modality of choice. Plain CT scan brain with bone window cuts was taken. The benefit of getting a CT scan was the visualization of bone status in addition to diagnosing parenchymal injury.

Inclusion criteria

• Age of 12 years or more
• Patients with DSFs.

Exclusion criteria

• Age <12 years
• Patients with severe comorbid injuries involving other organs
• Patients with severe comorbid medical disorders.

Standard preoperative steps

All the patients were given prophylactic antibiotics and anticonvulsants. The antibiotic given was cefotaxime 1 g 12 hourly in patients with clean wounds and 2 g 12 hourly in patients with gross contamination of the wound. Primary care such as hydration with intravenous (IV) fluids, cleaning and dressing of wound was carried out.

Investigations

Blood investigations

Routine biochemical investigations including hemoglobin, complete blood counts, serum electrolytes, liver function test, renal function test, random blood sugar, blood grouping, and cross match along with arterial blood gases were done.

Radiological investigations

X-ray skull, X-ray cervical spine, and X-ray chest posteroanterior view were done in all patients. In selected cases, relevant X-rays of abdomen, long bones, pelvis, and hips were done. Ultrasonography of abdomen and thorax were done whenever required.

Operative procedure

Standard surgical procedures done were as follows:
• Elevation of depressed bone fragment
• Removal of in-driven bone fragment with thorough washes
• Repair of dural tear if any
• Evacuation of hematoma if any
• Hemostasis
• Debridement of wound margin and primary repair.

Figure 1 shows intraoperative picture of a depressed fracture.

Postoperative management

In postoperative management of head injury trauma, the airway was maintained using either mouth airway tube, intubation or tracheostomy. Ventilatory support was provided if required. The patient was given propped up position to reduce raised intracerebral pressure. IV fluids were started to maintain electrolyte and metabolic balance. IV antibiotics were given to prevent meningitis. Anticonvulsants - injection phenytoin and injection phenobarbitone - were given to prevent posttraumatic seizures. IV 20% mannitol 100 ml 8 hourly was given to reduce cerebral edema in the case of raised Intracranial Tension (ICT).

Follow-up

Patients were asked to follow-up over a period of 6 months after discharge to assess long-term outcome and complications. Postmortem was done in all cases of mortality.

The outcome was measured by GCS [Table 1] as good when a course inward postoperatively was uneventful and poor when the patient developed some residual focal neurological deficit, posttraumatic seizure disorder, vegetative state, or death in hospital in due course of treatment.\textsuperscript{[4]}

Study of outcome with respect to following variables

• Age and sex
• Mode of injury
• GCS score on admission
• Prehospitalization delay
• Site of fracture

![Figure 1: Intraoperative picture of a depressed fracture near the midline. Burr holes have been placed near the depressed segment to elevate it](image)

Table 1: Glasgow outcome scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Clinical features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Absence of cognitive function with total abolition of communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative state</td>
<td>Conscious but dependent patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe disability</td>
<td>Independent but disabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate disability</td>
<td>Independent patient who may return to work or premorbid activity, mild cognitive or neurological deficits may persist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good recovery</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Additional component of head injury
• Type of depressed fracture (simple or compound)
• Complications of DSFs.

Results
The study group consisted of fifty patients. All these patients were analyzed according to:
• Symptoms
• Mode of management
• Final outcome and analysis of factors affecting it
• Complications of depressed fracture and their relation to outcome.

Symptoms
The symptoms with which our patients presented are as shown in Table 2.

Mode of management
Out of fifty patients in study group, 24 (48%) were operated, and 26 were managed conservatively. The criteria for surgical management included:
• Break in continuity of skin with gross contamination of wound
• Brain matter pouting through the wound
• Grossly depressed segment of bone
• Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak
• Seizures
• Associated CT findings showing operable indication
• Neurological deficit
• Meningitis.

In the absence of these criteria, we have managed the patient conservatively [Figure 2].

Illustrative cases
• A 34-year-old male with depressed fracture in the right frontal bone with external break in skin integrity with no significant contamination or neurological deficit. CT showed a depressed fracture in the right frontal bone with no underlying parenchymal injury [Figure 3]. This patient was managed conservatively and had a good outcome
• A 26-year-old male with depressed fracture in the left posterior parietal bone presented to us with overlying contused lacerated wound and contamination of the wound. GCS was 14/15 and with disoriented speech. CT revealed underlying contusion in the left posterior parietal region [Figure 4]. The patient was operated, and the depressed segment was excised (due to contamination) with the dural repair. Postoperative CT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Distribution of symptoms in our study population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive symptom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal bleed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC and ear bleed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC and nasal bleed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC and seizures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC – Loss of consciousness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
showed resolution of contusion with postoperative bony defect [Figure 5].

**Final outcome and analysis of factors affecting it**

The graphical [Figure 6] and tabular presentation [Table 3] of final outcome of patients in our series.

Tabular representation of variable factors and outcomes in our series are as shown in Table 4.

**Age distribution and their correlation with outcome**

Most of the patients were in the 20–40 age group and this correlates well with the fact that trauma is a disease affecting the young. The mean age was 37.6 ± 12.8 years. We found in our study group that as age increased, outcome worsened. Age group of 20–40 years had the most number of patients with good outcome (81.3%).

**Sex distribution and their correlation with outcome**

An overwhelming majority of patients were males (78%), and they also had poorer outcomes as compared to females. This can be explained by their involvement in more severe trauma.

**Mode of injury and their correlation with outcome**

Railway accidents were the most common mode of injury in our series. Analysis of data using Chi-square test revealed a $P = 0.62$ indicating no significant correlation of mode of injury with the outcome.

**Glasgow Coma Scale score at admission and their correlation with outcome**

It was observed that as the GCS score at presentation decreased, the morbidity and mortality among patients increased. Nearly 97.3% patients who presented with GCS between 13 and 15 had good outcome as against 16.7% patients who had GCS of 8 or below. We found significant statistical correlation between GCS at presentation and final outcome of patient ($P < 0.01$).

**Prehospital delay and their correlation with outcome**

There was no significant statistical relationship between prehospital delay and outcome ($P < 0.38$), but we observed that as the time to reach hospital increased, morbidity and mortality increased among study groups.

**Site of fracture and their correlation with outcome**

Most common site for DSF in our study group was parietal region (48%). Patients with frontal bone fractures had the best outcome (100% good) and worst in those involving more than two bones (100% poor). Statistically significant association was found between site of fracture and outcome ($P < 0.01$). This can probably be explained by the fact that when two or more bones are involved in fracture, the amount of trauma will be more.

**Additional computerized tomography scan findings and their correlation to outcome**

The most common additional CT scan finding was found to be extradural hematoma (EDH) (62%). Statistically significant association was found between outcome and additional CT findings like intracranial bleed ($P < 0.01$).

**Type of depressed skull fracture**

Statistically significant correlation was found between type of DSF and outcome. Patients with simple DSF had better outcome (100% good) as compared to those with compound DSF (68.8% good).

**Complications of depressed skull fractures**

Tabular [Table 5] and graphical representation [Figure 7] of complications associated with DSF in our series.

There was significant correlation between outcome and brain contusions ($P < 0.01$). The patients with contusions showed poor outcome (47.1%) as against those without.

---

**Table 3: Long-term outcome in our series**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate disability</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>40 (80.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-figure: Figure 5: Axial plain computerized tomography image showing depressed fracture in left posterior parietal bone with underlying contusion

-figure: Figure 6: Three-dimensional bar chart showing graphical representation of outcome in our series

---
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greater morbidity and mortality (71.4%) than those without it. Statistically significant relation was found between long-term outcome and wound infection ($P < 0.01$). There was significant correlation between the presence of seizures and poor outcome ($P < 0.01$).

**Discussion**

Trauma is a huge problem in both developing and developed countries. Head injury largely contributes to the mortality and morbidity of trauma patients. The incidence of head injuries is steadily increasing, which has led to increased concerns on management and to improve outcome.

In DSF, the outer table of one or more of the fracture edges lies below the normal anatomical level of the inner table as determined by the surrounding intact skull.$^{[1,2]}$

Patients with DSFs present with a history of trauma, depression over the skull, neurological signs, seizure, CSF leak, or brain matter coming through the wound.$^{[3]}$

Plain X-ray skull will demonstrate the fracture, type, location, and degree of depression. CT scan is helpful in the diagnosis of skull fracture and associated intracranial lesion. DSF is a common variety in traumatic head injury, and with the advent of better imaging modalities such as CT scan, their detection has increased. In general, CT is more useful in demonstrating depressed fractures except when they are at the vertex.$^{[5]}$

Both conservative and surgical methods can be adopted for the management of these patients depending on cosmetic and functional outcomes.

Comparing the general factors in our fifty patients with other studies, we noted few factors affecting the outcome in these patients. These were age, sex, GCS on presentation, site of DSF, type of DSF, associated CT findings, the presence of contusions in brain, dural tear, infection, seizures at presentation or postoperatively, and treatment given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Number of cases (%)</th>
<th>Good outcome</th>
<th>Poor outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>32 (64)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>15 (30)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>39 (78)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway accident</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>13 (26)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic accident</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS score on admission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-15</td>
<td>37 (74)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>7 (14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 and below</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prehospitalization delay (h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>15 (30)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>18 (36)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of fracture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>24 (48)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontoparietal</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipitotemporal</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional findings on CT scan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDH</td>
<td>31 (62)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDH, SAH</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDH, SDH</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>14 (28)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of depressed fracture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>32 (64)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>18 (36)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GCS – Glasgow Coma Scale; CT – Computerized tomography; EDH – Extradural hematoma; SAH – Subarachnoid hemorrhage; SDH – Subdural hematoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications of DSF</th>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contusion</td>
<td>17 (34.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dural tear</td>
<td>14 (28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound site infection</td>
<td>7 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizures</td>
<td>13 (26.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DSF – Depressed skull fracture

Figure 7: Graphical representation of complications seen in our series

Table 4: Factors influencing outcome in our series

Table 5: Complications seen in our series patients

Figure 7: Graphical representation of complications seen in our series
In our study, the mean age of presentation was 37.6 years. Maximum number of patients (64%) belonged to the working population in age group of 20–30 years who were at special risk of road traffic and railway accidents while commuting. Assault was also common in the same age group. The outcome became worse with increase in age from 18.8% in age group of 20–40 years to 33.3% in group of >60 years. These findings are similar to multiple studies done earlier.[9]

In the present study, 39 (78%) cases out of 50 were males and 11 (22%) were females. Among admitted patients male:female ratio was 3.5:1. All the females had good outcome (100%) as compared to males (74.4%). In a similar study done by Muntaz et al., 35.71% cases were females and 64.28% were males.[3]

The most common mode of injury was railway accident (34%). Morbidity and mortality (29.4%) was more common in railway accidents. Jaggar et al. evaluating compound depressed fractures found that the road traffic accident was the most frequent mechanism of injury.[6,7] In the series by Swann et al., assault was the principle mode of injury.[8] Heary et al. suggested that injuries were roughly equal between assault and road traffic accidents.[9] A similar study done by Al-Derazi et al. shows that an accidental heavy object fall on the head was the cause of injury in 36 (30%) of patients, which occurred mainly during industrial work or building constructions.[10] Fall from height was the cause in 19 patients (24%) and road traffic accident in 24 patients (20%). Depressed fractures as a cause of assault were documented in 22 patients (19.8%), sports injury in 13 patients (10%), and suicide attempt in two patients (1.53%).[10] The predominance of a particular type of mode of injury can be due to selection bias in a hospital which caters to a particular subsection of society such as construction workers or trauma center on a highway.

The patients with GCS score of 13 or more (74%) fared well with better long-term outcome as against those with GCS score below it. Patients with preoperative PGC in the range of 13–15 were 37 (74%), in the range of 9–12 were 7 (14%), and those below 8 were 6 (12%). In a similar study by Hossain et al., patients with preoperative GCS in the range of 13–15 were 50%, 9–12 were 31%, and those who presented with GCS of 8 or lower were 19%.[11]

In our study groups, 52% patients were managed conservatively as against 70% by Al-Derazi et al.[10] The primary question facing the neurosurgeon with regard to DSF is whether or not to operate. Heary et al. reported a group of patients with compound DSFs in which nonsurgical therapy was used for a subgroup of 26 patients without clinical or radiographic evidence of dural violation or significant underlying brain injury.[9] Similarly, van den Heever and van der Merwe reported an equally low incidence of infection in a group of nonoperatively treated patients which included 139 compound depressed fractures.[12]

The most common site for DSF in our study group was parietal region (48%), followed by frontal (34%) and temporal (10%). Those involving more than one area were 2% each in frontoparietal and occipitotemporal. The patients with involvement of two or more scalp bones or extensive neuroparenchymal damage had more morbidity and mortality. In a study by Al-Derazi et al., the sites for DSF were frontal (32%), parietal (44%), temporal (18%), and occipital (6%) which matches those of our study group.[10]

Compound DSF was seen in 32 patients (64%) while 18 had simple or closed DSF. Patients with simple DSF had better outcome (100% good) as compared to those with compound DSF (68.8% good). In a study by Al-Derazi et al., compound DSF was present in 86 (72%) patients and simple in 34 (28%) patients.[10]

Additional CT findings in our study group were EDH (62%), cerebral contusions (34%), and dural tear (14%). In the study by Hossain et al., findings were EDH (22%), brain contusions (31%), dural tear (25%), and in-driven bone fragment (13%).[11]

Of all the patients treated, seven developed infectious complications, for whom morbidity and mortality was found to be higher than those without infection. Those with meningitis were given antibiotics based on CSF culture and sensitivity. Debridement of osteomyelitic bone was done in one patient with osteomyelitis of fractured bone fragment. In a patient with cerebral abscess, surgical drainage was done along with antibiotic therapy.

Haines concluded that it is unclear whether all patients with compound DSFs required antibiotic treatment.[13] The controlled study for all types of neurosurgical craniotomies of van Ek et al. showed a statistically significant reduction in the infection rate in the group given antibiotics.[14] A study from South Africa by van den Heever and van der Merwe and that of Heary et al. from the USA presented a detailed description of a nonsurgical management plan.[9,12]

**Conclusion**

Our study could find a few significant factors which influence the outcome of depressed fractures. Those who were young (20–40 years) had more chances of having uncomplicated course of treatment and uneventful recovery. There was a statistically significant impact of GCS score at presentation, type of DSF, and site of DSF in the long-term outcome of patients. The patients with GCS score of 13 or more fared well with better long-term outcome as against those with GCS score below it. Any additional brain injury in the form of hematomas, etc., has a significant negative impact on long-term outcome of the patient and warrant urgent surgical intervention. Complications such as dural...
torn, cerebral contusions, wound infections, and seizures have adverse effect on the recovery of patient. This usually leads to increased stay in hospital, increased morbidity and mortality.

Thus, our observation suggests that patients brought to hospital with minimal delay, with GCS score between 13 and 15, with simple DSFs and normal brain parenchyma without dural tear, have the best outcome.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

References