Surgery in multisegmental cervical spondylotic myelopathy: Outcome analysis in a series of 65 patients
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ABSTRACT

Background: Multi-segmental cervical spondylotic myelopathy (MS-CSM) can be dealt with by either anterior or posterior approaches. The aim of study was to analyze the surgical outcomes of MS-CSM treated by either anterior cervical discectomy with fusion and cervical plating (ACDF) or cervical laminoplasty (LP). Materials and Methods: Sixty-five patients with MS-CSM (two or more levels) underwent either ACDF (n=13) or LP (n=52). ACDF was performed in patients having these criteria: (i) three or less levels involved, (ii) myeloradiculopathy, (iii) pre-dominant anterior compression radiologically, (iv) <50 years age. LP was chosen in: (i) more than three levels involved (ii) posterior compression radiologically, (iii) >50 years age. Patients were evaluated pre- and post-operatively on the basis of modified Japanese Orthopedic Association (mJOA) scoring and Hirabayashi formula. Thirty-five patients were followed up (8 in ACDF group and 27 in LP group). The mean follow-up period was 37.5 months (12.5-54 months). Results: The mean pre-operative mJOA score in the ACDF group and the LP group was 11±2.62 and 10.6±2.04, respectively. The mean final post-operative mJOA score in the ACDF group (n=8) in follow-up was 14.12±2.36 (P<0.05) and in the LP group (n=27) was 14.63±1.64 (P<0.05). 86% had good-to-excellent outcome while 8.5% had poor outcome. Overall, the mean recovery was 64.73±18.9%. On analyzing two groups separately, the mean recovery in the ACDF group was 59.62±24.2, while in the LP group was 66.25±17.3 (P<0.05). Conclusions: The choice of ACDF and LP in MS-CSM depends on pre-operative clinical and radiological parameters. If the surgical approach is chosen correctly, the surgery in MS-CSM can result in significant improvement in the clinical outcome of these patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) is the most common cause of spinal dysfunction in elderly.¹,² It is also the most common cause of non-traumatic spastic quadriplegia. Spondylotic changes commonly occur as a result of disc degeneration. Besides disc-associated spondylotic degenerative changes, ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL), which is pre-dominantly observed in certain Asian populations, can also occur with cervical spondylosis and can result in severe anterior cord compression and subsequent clinical presentation like CSM.

Surgery is mainstay of treatment for patients with CSM and is generally recommended for patients who have both clinical and radiographic evidence consistent with CSM, as the disorder is typically progressive without surgery.³ Significant debate exists regarding the best surgical approach for treating cervical myelopathy.⁴ There are a number of options, including anterior decompression and fusion, laminectomy, laminectomy and fusion, and laminoplasty (LP). Each approach carries its own set of pros and cons, and there is no one procedure which is clearly favorable in all circumstances.

In this retrospective study, we have attempted to analyze the surgical outcomes of multi-segmental cervical spondylotic myelopathy (MS-CSM) treated by either anterior cervical discectomy with fusion and cervical plating (ACDF) or cervical LP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective study involved 65 patients with multi-segmental cervical spondylotic myelo-radiculopathy
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The details of patients were retrieved from the hospital database. Their demographic profile, clinical features, surgical details, post-operative outcomes, and complications were analyzed retrospectively.

There were 60 (92%) males and 5 (8%) females. The age ranged from 25 to 78 years (mean±SD=55.9±10.8).

Non-contrast MRI of cervical spine was performed in all patients. The MRI films were reviewed carefully with a neuroradiologist for the presence of prolapsed inter-vertebral disc, ossified posterior longitudinal ligament or ligamentum flavum hypertrophy. The patients either underwent anterior cervical discectomy with fusion and instrumented fixation with a cervical plate (n=13) or LP only (n=52).

Surgical procedures

The ACDF was done using standard micro-surgical techniques. The discectomy was done at the involved levels and either iliac crest bone graft or polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cage was used to achieve bony fusion. All the patients underwent instrumented fusion using anterior cervical plate of appropriate size. None of the patient requiring decompression by anterior approach underwent corpectomy. In the LP group, open door LP was performed with fixation of the hinged laminae by means of titanium miniplates and screws. Combined procedure was not done in any patient.

The decision for the type of surgical approach was based on the surgeon’s discretion and ACDF was the chosen procedure for: (i) three or less levels involved, (ii) myeloradicularopathy, (iii) pre-dominant anterior compression radiologically, (iv) sagittal cervical malalignment, and (v) <50 years age. LP was the chosen surgical approach in (i) more than three levels involved, (ii) pre-dominant posterior compression radiologically, (iii) maintained cervical lordosis, and (iv) patients > 50 years of age with comorbidities who cannot withstand major surgery.

Patients were evaluated pre- and post-operatively on the basis of the modified Japanese Orthopedic Association (mJOA) scoring system. To calculate improvement in myelopathy in the post-operative period, a formula proposed by Hirabayashi et al. was used. (Final mJOA score − pre-operative mJOA score/17 − pre-operative m JOA score) × 100.

The outcome of the patients was graded as: (i) Excellent – 75-100% recovery, (ii) Good – 50-74% recovery, (iii) Fair – 25-49% recovery, and (iv) Poor – 0-24% recovery.

A detailed follow-up was conducted either in OPD or telephonically using mJOA scoring system [Table 1]. Out of 65 patients, 35 patients were followed up (8 in ACDF group and 27 in LP group). The mean follow-up period was 37.5 months (12.5-54 months).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using Stata 9.0 software. The Student “t” test was applied to continuous and categorical variables for comparing the improvement in two groups and to find out if the difference had statistical significance (P<0.05).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the presenting features. The duration of symptoms before surgical intervention ranged from 54 to 254 days (mean 137.6 days). The distribution of patients with respect to the number of segments involved in patients with MSCSM is shown in Table 2. Thirteen patients underwent ACDF while LP was performed in 52 patients. The pre-operative characteristics of patients are shown in Table 3. All the patients who underwent ACDF had anterior compression pre-dominantly, whereas pre-dominant posterior compression was present in 22% patients undergoing LP.

The pre-operative mJOA scores were 11±2.62 and 10.6±2.04, in the ACDF group and LP group, respectively. The mean final post-operative mJOA score in the ACDF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>No. of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gait abnormality</td>
<td>59 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck pain</td>
<td>24 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation of pain</td>
<td>22 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder involvement</td>
<td>18 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel involvement</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of segments involved</th>
<th>No. of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>33 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>11 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>13 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSM – Cervical spondylotic myelopathy
group in follow-up (n = 8) was 14.12 ± 2.36 while that in the LP group (n = 27) was 14.63 ± 1.64 [Figure 1]. There was statistically significant improvement in the post-operative mJOA scores in both the groups (P = 0.035 in the ACDF group and P = 0.029 in the LP group). Overall, the mean recovery was 64.73 ± 18.9%. On analyzing the two groups separately, the mean recovery in the ACDF group was 59.62 ± 24.2, while the mean recovery in the LP group was 66.25 ± 17.3. However, this difference was not statistically significant (P value: 0.39). 30 patients (87%) had good to excellent outcome, 2 patients (6%) had fair outcome while the remaining 3 patients (8%) had poor outcome.

The pre-operative mJOA scores correlated well with the final recovery of the patient. Thirty patients with pre-operative mJOA scores of 10.6 had good-to-excellent improvement in clinical features, while five patients with very low pre-operative mJOA scores had fair-to-poor recovery [Table 4].

Complications
In the ACDF group, one patient developed hoarseness of voice in the post-operative period, which resolved after 8 weeks. One patient developed cerebrospinal fluid leak which was managed conservatively with lumbar drainage. This patient had focal OPLL and a calcified posterior longitudinal ligament which was densely adhered to dura. In the LP group, none of the patients demonstrated any deterioration of symptoms after surgery. One patient developed ipsilateral C5 palsy, which completely resolved in 6 months. One patient required long-term analgesics for constant axial neck pain. There were no implant-related complications in both the groups and none of the patient underwent repeat surgery because of the failure of the previous procedure.

DISCUSSION
Significant debate exists regarding the best surgical approach for treating cervical myelopathy. There are a number of options, including anterior decompression and fusion, laminectomy, and fusion, and LP. Considerations which may favor one approach versus another include: (i) the number of stenotic levels present; (ii) patient factors, such as co-morbidities; (iii) desire to either limit or preserve motion; (iv) cervical sagittal malalignment; and (v) location and extent of disease. [4] In our study, the mean number of segments involved was 2.7 in patients treated with ACDF and 4.05 in the LP group. Anterior compression was present in 53 patients, while 12 patients had evidence of posterior compression. All the 13 patients undergoing ACDF had anterior compression while all the patients with pre-dominant posterior compression (n = 12) as the offending pathology, underwent LP. The patients > 50 years of age and having additional co-morbidities were selected for LP even in the presence of anterior compression. The mean age of patients in LP group was 57.5 years in our study as compared to 49.6 years in the ACDF group.

Emery et al. [1] in a series of 108 patients demonstrated significant improvement in symptoms after ventral decompression and fusion. They concluded that the most significant predictive factor for recovery from myelopathy was the severity of pre-operative myelopathy. In concordance with various studies in the literature, in our study also, the pre-operative mJOA scores very
OPLL, which has fewer surgery-related complications. LP was developed to address multilevel myelopathy for corpectomies and not ACDF in their study, which might have accounts for better results of anterior approach as compared to LP. In a similar study by Masaki et al., the mean recovery rate was 68.4% in the ACDF group and 52.5% in the patients undergoing LP. These results demonstrated that the surgical outcome of ACDF was superior to the surgical outcome of LP. Tani et al. in a retrospective study of patients with multi-segmental OPLL have reported similarly better clinical results with ACDF as compared to LP. Sakaura et al. reported that LP and anterior spinal fusion provided equal neurologic improvement. Goubier et al. concluded that anterior approach is preferred in case of pain or brachialgia; the posterior approach is indicated in the case of poor health status or for bedridden patients. Neurological status improved in 83% of the patients.

Wang et al. retrospectively reviewed the clinical outcomes in 204 cases of MS-CSM treated by open door LP. Post-operatively, Nurick scores improved by 1 point in 78 patients, 2 points in 37 patients, 3 points in 7 patients, and 4 points in 5 patients; 74 patients experienced no improvement and 3 patients deteriorated by 1 point. They concluded that LP is an alternative to anterior surgery that can be accomplished quickly with minimal blood loss, minimizing risks in elderly patients.

George et al. described the technique of multilevel oblique corpectomies (MOC) without fusion in the treatment of MS-CSM. MOC was performed using an anterolateral approach with control of the vertebral artery. The vertebral bodies were drilled obliquely from the lateral side toward the opposite posterolateral corner saving more than half of the vertebral bodies. They reported improvement in 82% of the patients, worsening in 8%, and stabilization in 10%. The authors concluded that MOC is a safe and efficient technique and must be applied for patients with anterior compression and straight or kyphotic axis of the spine. No fusion is required regardless of the number of levels, providing there are no soft discs and there is no pre-operative instability.

In the present study, we have analyzed surgical outcomes of the two most commonly used surgical approaches in the treatment of MS-CSM, namely ACDF (n = 13) and LP (n = 52) in a series of 65 patients. There was statistically significant improvement in the post-operative mJOA scores in both the groups as compared to the pre-operative mJOA scores. The surgical approach was chosen on the basis of certain clinical and radiological parameters. This study shows that if the surgical approach is chosen correctly, the surgery in MS-CSM can result in significant improvement in the clinical outcome of these patients.
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