Sir,

The report on “ventriculoperitoneal shunting” is very interesting.\(^1\) Nigim \textit{et al}. noted that “laparoscopic ventriculoperitoneal shunting (LVPS)-placement results compare similarly to open ventriculoperitoneal shunting (OVPS) placement in most aspects.”\(^2\) In fact, LVPS-placement is a new alternative that is considered minimally invasive. In Chinese experience, it is reported that “this method has fewer traumas, quicker recovery and a lower ratio of pipe end obstruction.”\(^3\) Similar finding is also reported from USA.\(^4\) Nevertheless, there are also some considerations on this technique. First, the technique required experience neurosurgeon and good case selection. According to recent reports by Bani \textit{et al}., the important complication of LVPS-placement over OVPS placement is shunt infection.\(^5\) Hence, the case with risk of infection should not be considered to use this technique.
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