Facial functional outcome in monitored versus not-monitored patients in vestibular schwannomas surgery
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Even though advances in surgical techniques have improved facial nerve outcomes, functional preservation is still an issue because injury to the facial nerve has significant physical and psychological consequences for the patient. We retrospectively review our data in VS surgery to compare the facial outcome in intraoperative facial monitored versus not-monitored patients.

Materials and Methods: 51 consecutive patients with unilateral vestibular schwannoma in the period from 2005 to 2010 were treated in our Institution. In accordance with the type of neurophysiological tool used during surgical procedures, two patient groups were identified: Group 1 (facial stimulator only) and Group 2 (stimulator and facial monitoring). Statistical comparison of the two groups was made with the t-test, and facial function results were evaluated with the Fisher’s exact test.

Results: In the Group 1, of the 22 patients with anatomically preserved facial nerves, 3 (13.6%) showed excellent facial nerve function, 14 (63.6%) showed intermediate function, and 5 (22.7%) showed poor function. In the Group 2, all the 27 patients got anatomically preserved facial nerves, and 18 (66.7%) showed excellent facial nerve function, 9 (33.3%) showed intermediate function, and no one showed poor function.

Conclusions: We found that retrosigmoid approach associated with continuous EMG facial monitoring combined with the use of bipolar stimulation is a safe and effective treatment for vestibular schwannomas.
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Introduction

Vestibular schwannoma (VS) is a benign tumor that arises from one or more constituent nerves comprising the eighth cranial nerve complex.¹⁻³ The first objective of its treatment is patient safety, that is, removing the life-threatening risks of an expanding mass in the posterior fossa. The second objective is functional preservation that is, preserving facial function and, if possible, hearing.⁴ Even though advances in surgical techniques have improved facial nerve outcomes, functional preservation is still an issue because injury to the facial nerve has significant physical and psychological consequences for the patient.⁵⁻⁷ Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring plays an important role in modern skull base surgery and in vestibular schwannoma surgery. Facial nerve electromyography (EMG) does not only help to identify the nerve anatomically, but can also preserve the functional integrity and helps to predict the postoperative functional outcome of the nerve.⁸ In this study, we retrospectively review our data in VS surgery to compare the facial outcome in intraoperative facial monitored versus not-monitored patients.

Materials and Methods

Patient population

51 consecutive patients with unilateral vestibular schwannoma were treated in our Institution in the period from 2005 to 2011. The mean age at the time of surgery was 50.1 years (range 26-73 years), with 21 men and 30 women.
The extrameatal diameter of the tumors was >3 cm in 15 of the 51 tumors (large; 29.4%), and <3 cm in 36 (small to medium; 70.6%). Patients with neurofibromatosis type 2 or with a recurrent tumor were excluded from the study.

In according to the type of neurophysiological tool used during surgical procedures, two patients groups were identified: Group 1 (facial stimulator only) and Group 2 (stimulator and facial monitoring) [Table 1].

Surgical procedure
All operations were done by single neurosurgeon (RJG), using the retrosigmoid suboccipital transmeatal approach in the lateral position. A S-shaped skin incision of 12 cm length was placed 3-4 cm posterior to the mastoid. Irrespective of the tumor size, a retrosigmoid suboccipital craniotomy of 4 cm length and 3 cm width was made to expose the posterior part of the sigmoid sinus and the inferior part of the transverse sinus. The foramen magnum was not usually opened.

The microsurgical removal of the tumors comprised three steps: Cytoreduction of the large extrameatal cerebello-pontine angle portion of the tumor, the removal of the intrameatal tumor, and the removal of the last lump of tumor remaining in the extrameatal region just outside the porus acusticus. Internal decompression of the large extrameatal tumor was undertaken with an ultrasonic surgical aspirator (Sonopet, Synergetics USA Inc.). The aspirator was so cautiously used as not to rupture the tumor capsule or injure normal structures on the capsule. Dissection of the reduced cerebello-pontine angle tumor from the brainstem in a caudal to rostral direction identified the root entry/exit zone of the facial and acoustic nerves. Resection of the posterior wall of the internal auditory meatus was minimized to prevent related complications, including cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak and facial nerve injury. The last lump of tumour in the extrameatal region just outside the porus acusticus adhered to the facial nerve most tightly. The tumour and facial nerve were dissected bidirectionally. The last piece was resected by sharp dissection in a piecemeal fashion using microscissors and a knife, while confirming the maintenance of facial nerve function with the stimulator. No bipolar coagulation was applied for hemostasis in this region. After watertight dural closure, the bone flap was secured with microplates. During all the procedures, the facial nerve was identified by intraoperative bipolar stimulator (Athis, Newmedic Systems). In 27 patients (Group 2), EMG responses from mimic muscles were recorded using steel needle electrodes inserted subdermally into the orbicular muscles of the eyes and mouth. In this patients group also trigeminal and brainstem auditory evoked potentials (BAEP) monitoring were used.

Follow up, outcome measures, and statistical analysis
After tumour removal, all patients were followed up regularly every six months and underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) once a year. In second postoperative day and one year after the tumour removal, facial nerve function and functional outcome were assessed. Facial nerve function was evaluated using House-Brackmann (H-B) grades, and was categorized as excellent (H-B grade 1/2), intermediate (H-B grade 3/4), or poor (H-B grade 5/6). Data were retrospectively obtained by reviewing office records, hospitalization records, operative reports, and discharge summaries. Statistical comparison of the two groups was made with the t-test, and facial function results were evaluated with the Fisher's exact test.

Results
Table 1 summarizes the clinical characteristics of two study groups. There were no significant differences in the age, size, and side of tumour. All patients underwent preoperative MRI before and after gadolinium administration. The extrameatal diameter of the tumors ranged from 11.9 to 42.4 mm (mean 24.8 mm). The completeness of tumour removal was judged by surgical records and postoperative MRI. Tumour removal was complete in all patients.

Facial nerve outcome
The facial nerve was anatomically preserved during the tumour removal in 49 patients (96.1%). Of two patients (both in Group 1) in whom the facial nerve was divided one underwent primary nerve anastomosis using a nerve graft (vestibular not-functional nerve) and one underwent hypoglossal-facial nerve anastomosis. These facial nerve reconstructions resulted in intermediate and poor facial nerve function, respectively. In the Group 1, of the 22 patients with anatomically preserved facial nerves, 3 (13.6%) showed excellent facial nerve function, 14 (63.6%) showed intermediate function, and 5 (22.7%) showed poor function. In the Group 2, all the 27 patients got anatomically preserved facial nerves, and 18 (66.7%) showed excellent facial nerve function, 9 (33.3%) showed intermediate function, and no one showed poor function. The statistical comparison shows a very significant difference in the excellent

Table 1: Characteristics of the two patients groups in our series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (not ‑monitored)</th>
<th>Group 2 (monitored)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pts. number</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>50, 5 (min 26; max 72)</td>
<td>49, 8 (min 26; max 73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean dimensions (mm)</td>
<td>26.80 (min 11.90; max 42.38)</td>
<td>23.10 (min 14.65; max 32.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-B grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative (%)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative (%)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The t-test shows not significant differences in the two groups for age (P=0.9892), side (P=0.9033), and size (P=0.8568)
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and intermediate grade of facial nerve function between the two groups ($P = 0.0021$).

**Postoperative complications and long term follow up**

There were no postoperative deaths. One patient developed CSF leak from nose trough a very pneumatic mastoid due to the internal meatus opening for surgical tumor removal, which were treated by surgical repair [Figure 1]. The same patient developed a meningococcal meningitis witch resolved with antibiotic therapy.

The follow up period after the tumour removal was 6-60 months (mean 33 months). There were no deaths relating to the vestibular schwannoma or tumour recurrence during the follow up period.

**Discussion**

The risk of facial nerve palsy after microsurgical removal of VS cannot be entirely eliminated, even with refinements in surgical techniques. In a previous clinical study, Bloch et al. found that tumor size is the most important predictor of facial nerve outcome, and that age, extent of resection and surgical approach do not independently predict facial palsy.$^[1]$ This may be explained by the greater tension of facial nerve due to the tumor growth in patients with large VS.

**Retrosigmoid approach in the lateral position**

All the operations were performed by small retrosigmoid suboccipital craniotomy, with the patient in the lateral position. Many surgeons prefer the sitting/semisitting position for retrosigmoid removal because it is more comfortable for the operator.$^[10,12-14]$ However, the semisitting position risks air embolism irrespective of anaesthetic monitoring to prevent this complication.$^[15,16]$ In addition, Samii and Matthies. reported a high incidence of haematoma after retrosigmoid removal of cystic tumors in the semisitting position.$^[10]$ In our series no hematomas developed postoperatively. However, in the semisitting position, the reduced intracranial venous pressure shrinks the peritumoral vein, which prevents troublesome intraoperative bleeding. Thus the vein is likely to be overlooked until a postoperative haematoma develops. In the lateral position, the peritumoral veins cause troublesome intraoperative bleeding, and thus require hemostasis. Finally, it is reported that retrosigmoid approach yields a high rate of facial function preservation$^[17]$ and we were able to remove 100% of the tumors completely.

**Facial nerve preservation**

Various monitoring techniques have been routinely used in the treatment of cranial base lesions.$^[18]$ EMG is widely used in many neurosurgical centers for identification and monitoring of the facial nerve during operations.$^[19-21]$ The facial nerve was anatomically preserved in 96.1% of the patients in our series. The reported anatomical facial nerve preservation rate is 80-90% with the removal of VS by the retrosigmoid approach.$^[10,22,23]$ Preservation of facial nerve function has been reported to be 70-80% after the removal of VS by the retrosigmoid approach.$^[10,22-24]$ The definition of a functional facial nerve includes both excellent (H-B grade 1/2) and intermediate (H-B grade 3/4) function. The reported preservation rate of excellent function after the removal of VS is 42-52.6%.$^[25,26]$  

### Figure 1:
(a) Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); (b) preoperative TC showing high pneumatization of mastoids; (c) postoperative MRI; (d) Postoperative TC showing the drilled internal meatus; (e) postoperative MRI showing cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) into the left mastoid (white arrow); (f) MRI after the correction of the fistula
Comparing our own results with and without monitoring of nerve VII (Group 1 vs Group 2), an important functional improvement was achieved with monitoring: Facial nerve functional preservation rate was 77.3% in Group 1 (not-monitored) and 100% in Group 2 (monitored).

The high rate of preserved facial nerve function in our series (45 of the 51 patients; 88.2%) can be attributed to our use of intraoperative monitoring with the facial nerve stimulator-monitor associated to some surgical techniques as described below. In our experience, in fact, the bipolar stimulation of facial nerve during surgery enables the surgeon to localize the nerve at an early stage of the surgical procedure and allows the accurate localization of the unrecognizably distorted nerve. However, functional EMG monitoring is an essential tool when used in a combined step-by-step approach with the bipolar stimulator to achieve the goal of high preservation of functional facial nerve in VS surgery.

Finally, some surgical tricks for preserving facial nerve function must be known. They include the early identification of the root entry/exit zone and do not use the bipolar electrocoagulation when dealing with the tumor in the internal acoustic meatus.

Postoperative complications

CSF leaks and meningitis are the most common complications after the removal of acoustic neurinomas.[21] However, the incidence of CSF leaks was 2% in our series. To prevent CSF leaks it is important to evaluate the pneumatization of the temporal bone using preoperative bone window computed tomography and to perform a watertight dural closure with the absence of an epidural or subcutaneous drain.

There was one case of meningitis in our series, but it is to bring back to the presence of CSF leaks through the nose in the same patient.

Conclusion

Facial palsy is a debilitating and psychologically devastating condition for the patient. The VS surgery should achieve the goal of remove the lesion with minimal morbidity, that mean facial functional preservation. We found that retrosigmoid approach associated with continuous EMG facial monitoring and with the use of bipolar stimulation is a safe and effective treatment for vestibular schwannomas. As shown above, our step-by-step technique combining the bipolar nerve stimulator and continuous EMG facial monitoring during VS surgery allowed us to achieve a high rate of preserved facial nerve function in our series.
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