Introduction

There has been a gradual shift in the paradigm of stroke management over the last decade. Mechanical thrombectomy has slowly evolved from being a complementary treatment to mainstream intervention in the management of acute onset ischemic stroke (AIS), especially where intra-arterial or intravenous thrombolysis is ineffective or marginally effective in large vessel occlusions, or associated with high re-occlusion rates.\(^1\) The MERCI, multi-MERCI, Penumbra Pivotal trial and SWIFT studies have further strengthened the use of mechanical devices for large vessel occlusions.\(^6\) Merci and Penumbra can be classified as the first generation mechanical thrombectomy devices.

ABSTRACT

**Background:** Mechanical thrombectomy devices are gaining popularity in large vessel occlusions where chemical thrombolysis is usually futile. MERCI, Multi-MERCI, Penumbra and SWIFT trails have elevated the status of mechanical thrombectomy from being a complementary treatment modality to mainstream stroke intervention. The aim of this study was to compare our immediate recanalization rates with available mechanical devices.

**Materials and Methods:** A retrospective review from March 2009 to August 2012 was performed on patients who underwent mechanical thrombectomy for large vessel occlusion. Cases where IATPA and/or balloon angioplasty was performed without mechanical thrombectomy were excluded from the study. Recanalization rates were assessed immediately post-procedure by follow-up angiography. TICI scores were used to quantify the extent of recanalization and the residual clot burden.

**Results:** Twenty two procedures were performed on 20 patients using Merci (MER):5; Penumbra (PEN):11; Solitaire-FR (SOL):6. Two patients underwent intervention using both Merci and Penumbra devices. The M:F ratio was 1.2:1. The most common vascular territory involved was the right MCA (9/20) followed by left MCA (5/20), left ICA (2/20), basilar (3/20) and vertebral arteries (1/20). The average door to needle time was 210 minutes [MER: 184.4; PEN: 249.2; SOL: 162]. Additional procedures were performed in 63.4% (14/22) of the patients [MER: 80% (4/5); PEN: 72.7% (8/11) and SOL: 33.3% (2/6)]. Vasospasm was observed in MER: 20% (1/5); PEN: 9.1% (1/11); SOL: 0% (0/6). Complete recanalization was achieved in 59.1% (13/22) [MER: 40% (2/5); PEN: 45.5% (5/11); SOL: 100% (6/6)]. The rate of complete recanalization was statistically significant for the Solitaire group vs. the MERCI group (\(P=0.0062\)) as well as the Penumbra group (0.0025). The average pre-procedure TICI was 0.4 [MER: 0.6; PEN: 0.3; SOL: 0.3], while the average post-procedure TICI was 2.5 [MER: 2.4; PEN: 2.3; SOL: 3.0].

**Conclusions:** The study reveals a higher rate of angiographic recanalization using the Solitaire-FR device, requiring a lesser number of passes and other associated procedures as compared to MERCI and Penumbra. Thus, Stentriever (Solitaire-FR) are advantageous in faster device delivery and quick flow restoration. However, future prospective randomized large trials are required to confirm these early results.
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devices, whereas the stentriever like Solitaire and Trevo can be included as a second generation thrombectomy devices.

Materials and Methods

A retrospective chart review was conducted in patients who had undergone mechanical thrombectomy for AIS at a single institution. The study was conducted after approval from the IRB. The study period was from March 2009 to August 2012. Cases where IATPA and/or balloon angioplasty was performed without mechanical thrombectomy were excluded from the study. Duke stroke center guidelines for endovascular management in patients with acute ischemic stroke were followed for intervention. Non-contrast CT scan of the head and CT-Angiogram were obtained prior to every intervention to confirm the diagnosis of large vessel occlusion and to rule out intra-cranial hemorrhage. Interventional treatment was initiated within 8 hours from onset of stroke symptoms, and all procedures were performed under general anesthesia. The ‘time of symptom onset’ was defined as the acute onset of symptoms as observed by the family members or when the patient was last seen at baseline, as in wake-up or post-procedure strokes. The ‘door time’ was defined as the time when the patient showed up in the emergency room and the ‘needle time’ was the actual groin puncture time. The extent of recanalization was assessed immediately post-procedure by follow-up angiography. Post-procedure, all patients were managed in the neuro critical care unit by an experienced team of neuro-intensivists. Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) scores were used to evaluate the extent of pre-procedure clot burden, the post-intervention residual clot burden and the success rate of the procedure.

Results

Between March 2009 and August 2012, 20 patients presented to Duke University hospital with stroke symptoms and had CTA evidence of large vessel occlusion, subsequently undergoing mechanical thrombectomy. A total of twenty thrombectomy procedures were performed on these 20 patients. Two patients underwent intervention using both MERCI and Penumbra devices. Thus, the MERCI device was used in five cases, the Penumbra device was used in 11 cases and the Solitaire-FR device was used in 6 cases. Patient demographics are presented in Table 1. Overall, the average age of the patient population was 67.8 ± 11.2 years with a male to female ratio (M:F) of 1.2:1. Several of the patients were already hospitalized with significant co-morbidities

Distribution of vascular occlusion is presented in Table 2. In the case of multiple sequential occlusions (i.e., basilar and posterior cerebellar artery), the proximal vessel was used as a data point. The most common vascular territory involved was the right MCA (9/20) followed by left MCA (5/20), left ICA (2/20), basilar (3/20) and vertebral arteries (1/20).

The average time for intervention from symptom onset was 274.6 min [MER: 379; PEN: 257.1; SOL: 218.6] [Table 3]. All the ‘groin puncture time’ was recorded within 8 hours of symptom onset with the exception of one patient who had basilar artery occlusion and a symptom onset to needle time of 12 hours. The average door to needle time was 210 min [MER: 184.4; PEN: 249.2; SOL: 162]. In cases involving inpatients, the time of symptom onset was used as the arrival time. For the patient who underwent C-spine surgery, the anesthesia induction time was used as symptom onset time as this was when he was last seen at baseline.

Table 1: Baseline patient profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>MER</th>
<th>PEN</th>
<th>SOL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years±SD)</td>
<td>67.8±11.2</td>
<td>67.4±15.1</td>
<td>63.8±8.1</td>
<td>75.5±10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>55 (11/20)</td>
<td>40 (2/10)</td>
<td>66.7 (8/11)</td>
<td>33.3 (2/6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| MER–Merci, PEN–Penumbra, SOL–Solitaire-FR, SD–Standard deviation

Table 2: Site of vascular occlusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>MER</th>
<th>PEN</th>
<th>SOL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L ICA</td>
<td>10 (2/20)</td>
<td>0 (0/5)</td>
<td>9.1 (1/11)</td>
<td>16.7 (1/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R MCA</td>
<td>45 (9/20)</td>
<td>40 (2/5)</td>
<td>45.5 (5/11)</td>
<td>50 (3/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L MCA</td>
<td>25 (5/20)</td>
<td>0 (0/5)</td>
<td>27.3 (3/11)</td>
<td>33.3 (2/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basilar</td>
<td>10 (3/20)</td>
<td>0 (0/5)</td>
<td>9.1 (1/11)</td>
<td>0 (0/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertebral</td>
<td>5 (1/20)</td>
<td>60 (3/5)</td>
<td>9.1 (1/11)</td>
<td>0 (0/6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| LICA–Left internal carotid artery, R MCA–Right middle cerebral artery, L MCA–Left middle cerebral artery, MER–Merci, PEN–Penumbra, SOL–Solitaire-FR

Table 3: Time to treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>MER</th>
<th>PEN</th>
<th>SOL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO to Needle</td>
<td>274.6 (93-720)</td>
<td>379 (270-720)</td>
<td>257.1 (93-360)</td>
<td>218.6 (145-431)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door to Needle</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>184.4</td>
<td>249.2</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior IV rtPA</td>
<td>10 (2/20)</td>
<td>0 (0/5)</td>
<td>9.1 (1/11)</td>
<td>16.7 (1/6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| SO–time of symptom onset, MER–Merci, PEN–Penumbra, SOL–Solitaire FR, IV rtPA–intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator
Two out of 20 patients received IV tPA prior to intervention, while the others met exclusion criteria and were directly referred for intervention. One patient received IV tPA at an outside institution prior to transfer to Duke for intervention, while the other patient had received IV tPA due to left ventricular assist device thrombus prior to onset of stroke symptoms. During intervention, additional procedures (IATPA, Balloon angioplasty, or alternate thrombectomy device) were performed in 63.4% (14/22) of the procedures [MER: 80% (4/5); PEN: 72.7% (8/11) and SOL: 33.3% (2/6)]. Pre-thrombectomy [Table 4] TICI was 0 or 1 in 18/22 cases, and 2/22 had a TICI of 2a. Post-procedure TICI [Table 4 and Figure 1] was 2b or better in 80% of patients overall, with complete recanalization achieved in 63% (14/22) [MER: 40% (2/5); PEN: 54.5% (6/11); SOL: 100% (6/6)]. The rate of complete recanalization was statistically significant for the Solitaire group vs the MERCI group (P=0.0062) as well as the Penumbra group (0.0025). The average pre-procedure TICI was 0.4 [MER: 0.6; PEN: 0.3; SOL: 0.3], while the average post-procedure TICI was 2.5 [MER: 2.4; PEN: 2.3; SOL: 3.0].

Intra-procedural vasospasm was observed in 2 patients [MER: 20% (1/5); PEN: 9.1% (1/11); SOL: 0% (0/6)]. Post-procedure ICH was seen in 18.2% (4/22) overall [MER: 20% (1/5); PEN: 18.2% (2/11); SOL: 16.7% (1/6)]. ICH resulted in death in one of the cases involving a Penumbra device. A fractured Penumbra wire was encountered during one procedure. This was not retrievable and was subsequently left in the intracranial ICA.

Clinical outcomes are presented in Table 5. The mRS scores were assessed at 90 days when possible, with some assessed at 30 and 60 days as noted. One patient was lost to follow-up. Overall, 31.6% (6/19) of patients had good outcomes with mRS of 3 or better [MER: 20% (1/5); PEN: 60% (6/10); SOL: 16.7% (1/6)]. A mRS score of 4 or 5 was seen in 31.6% (6/19) of patients overall [MER: 40% (2/5); PEN: 10% (1/10); SOL: 50% (3/6)]. In total, 36.8% (7/19) of the patients expired within 14 days after recanalization [MER: 40% (2/5); PEN: 30% (3/10); SOL: 2/6 (33.3%)].

Discussion

The treatment of acute ischemic stroke focuses on vessel recanalization with subsequent blood flow restoration. The various methods used to achieve this goal comprise thrombolysis by intravenous plasminogen activation (IVTPA), thrombolysis by intra-arterial plasminogen activation (IATPA), mechanical clot destruction or thrombectomy (MT), and a combination of any of the aforementioned methods applying the ‘bridging’ concept. The main limitation of IVTPA is the inadequate recanalization of large vessel occlusions with some studies showing the overall recanalization rate of IVTPA to be less than 50%.\(^{[1-3,13-15]}\) The Prolyse in acute cerebral thromboembolism (PROACT) trial\(^{[16]}\) was an attempt to improve successful reperfusion above that achieved with IVTPA using intra-arterial thrombolysis. The success rates of localized arterial thrombolysis prompted further attempts at other mechanical ways to achieve clot removal. Multiple studies and trials are available on clot destructing and retrieving devices.

The MERCI and Multi-MERCI trials\(^{[6,7,9]}\) focus on the Merci retriever, a corkscrew shaped device made with a flexible...
nitinol wire in five helical loops. This is designed for distal placement to the thrombus for en bloc removal. Multi-MERCI Part I trial established a higher rate of revascularization (69%) when treatment with the Merci retriever system was combined with IATPA than when the Merci retriever system was employed alone (54%). The Multi-MERCI Part II trial was conducted to provide proof that the system was equally safe and efficacious in patients who did or did not receive IATPA.

The Penumbra Pivotal Stroke Trial,[8] a prospective multicentric study was done to establish the safety of Penumbra Thrombus Perturbation and Aspiration system, which in contrast to the Merci retriever works proximal to the thrombus by first disrupting and then aspirating the thrombus. Since the device also employs a separator, the fear of perforation limits its use to straight arterial segments despite high vessel revascularization rates (>80%), quoted in the literature.[5,17-22]

Self-expanding stents (SES) are the next logical step in establishing revascularization once recanalization has been achieved. They have obvious advantages, the principle being immediacy of response and a high reported rate of recanalization. The SARIST[23,24] (Stent Assisted Recanalization in acute Ischemic Stroke) pilot study evaluated the performance of the Wingspan stent in patients who had no improvement or had a contradiction to IV thrombolysis. The study showed achievement of recanalization in 100% of patients. However the major disadvantages with this stent system were a high (11%) percentage of in-stent stenosis and the need for an aggressive anti-platelet therapy with resultant higher rates of hemorrhages.

The SWIFT trial (Solitaire FR with the Intention for Thrombectomy) is a randomized, blinded, multi-center trial evaluating the effectiveness of the Solitaire-FR Revascularization Device, an intracranial stent delivered by a standard microcatheter technique with the unique property of being fully retrievable. In addition, the deployment of the stent causes the clot to be pushed against the wall of the artery leading to immediate intraluminal flow restoration.[12,25,26] Major advantages of Stentriever are navigability and quick flow restoration without the need and associate disadvantage of a permanent intracranial stent.

Some of the important factors that contribute to successful recanalization are the appropriate patient selection, age of the patient and onset of symptoms. Other factors that favor favorable outcomes are the extent and sit of large vessel occlusion and duration of neurological insult. Our results are comparable to other studies published in the literature. Figure 2 is a case illustration using Solitaire mechanical thrombectomy device. The most common vascular territory to be involved in the anterior circulation was the MCA [Right (9/20); Left (5/20)] followed by ICA, while it was the basilar artery followed by the vertebral artery in the posterior circulation.

During intervention, additional procedures were performed in 63.4% (14/22) of the procedures. Of these, 4 out of 5 cases of Merci device required additional procedures in the form of IATPA, Balloon angioplasty, or alternate thrombectomy device. Similarly Penumbra required additional procedures in 8 of the 11 cases. However, Solitaire device required additional procedures in 2 of the 6 cases. Although there was no statistical difference between the pre-procedures TICI among the devices, there was a definitive difference among the post-procedures TICI between Solitaire and Penumbra/Merci devices. This can be attributed to the fact that the Stentriever combines the ability to retrieve the clot and also temporarily bypass the occluded vessel to restore blood flow.

**Limitations**

Some of the limitations in the current study are the retrospective nature of this study along with small number of cohorts in each group. Our results are limited to immediate post-procedure angiography; and do not compare the angiographic outcome with long-term clinical outcomes. Nonetheless, the literate is scarce with studies comparing various first and second generations’ mechanical thrombectomy devices. We hope that our data offers a skeletal framework for future randomization of studies comparing the first and second generation mechanical thrombectomy devices.
Conclusions

Our limited single center experience proved technical safety and feasibility of mechanical clot retrieval device, namely stent-retriever along with superior angiographic outcomes when compared to conventional thrombectomy devices like Merci and Penumbra. Further large single or multicenter prospective and randomized studies are required to validate the advantage of one device over the other.
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