Abdominal

Case report: Varicosity of the communicating vein between the left renal vein and the left ascending lumbar vein mimicking a renal artery aneurysm: Report of an unusual site of varicose veins and a novel hypothesis to explain its association with abdominal pain

Sandeep G Jakhere, Deepak A Yadav, Gorakhnath R Tuplondhe

Department of Radiology, B Y L Nair Charitable Hospital and T N Medical College, Mumbai Central, Mumbai, Maharashtra - 400 008, India

Correspondence: Dr. Sandeep Gopal Jakhere, 2/13 Government Colony, Near LalaLajpatrai, College, Haji Ali, Mumbai, Maharashtra - 400 034, India. E-mail: drsandeepjakhere@gmail.com

Abstract

A communicating vein between the left renal vein and the left ascending lumbar vein has only rarely been reported in the imaging literature. There are very few reports of varicosity of this communicating vein. Nonetheless, awareness about this communicating vein is of utmost importance for surgeons performing aortoiliac surgeries and nephrectomies as it may pose technical difficulties during surgery or cause life-threatening retroperitoneal hemorrhage. Varicosity of this venous channel may be mistaken for paraaortic lymphadenopathy, adrenal pseudo-mass, or renal artery aneurysm. We report a case of a patient with varicosity of this communicating vein, which mimicked a left renal artery aneurysm. A novel hypothesis is also proposed to explain the relationship with abdominal pain.

Key words: Ascending lumbar vein; communicating vein; renal artery; renal vein; varicosity

Introduction

Dilatation of venous communications in the retroperitoneum is uncommon, with sparse literature about their imaging appearances. Nonetheless, familiarity with these

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	
	Website: www.ijri.org
	DOI: 10.4103/0971-3026.76050

venous communications is important as they can mimic retroperitoneal lymph nodes,^[1] adrenal pseudo-mass,^[2] and aneurysms of the renal vasculature. Preoperative awareness of these veins is also important for surgeons who perform aortoiliac surgeries and laparoscopic live donor nephrectomy as these can produce technical difficulties during surgery as well as cause life-threatening retroperitoneal hemorrhage.^[3,4]

Case Report

A 19-year-old female presented with recurrent abdominal pain in the epigastric region associated with nausea and nonbilious vomiting for the past 1 year. She was treated symptomatically with antacids and pain killers, with

Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging / February 2011 / Vol 21 / Issue 1

temporary relief of symptoms. There was no significant past medical or surgical history. Physical examination and routine laboratory investigations were unremarkable. USG of the abdomen and pelvis was within normal limits. A CT scan of the abdomen (5-mm slice width/2.5-mm slice gap) demonstrated a well-defined isodense structure on the nonenhanced scan, adjacent to the left renal hilum [Figure 1], showing homogeneous enhancement [Figure 2]. This structure was seen overlapping the left renal artery and was presumed to be a renal artery saccular aneurysm. A repeat CT scan was performed with a narrow collimation (slice width: 1 mm/slice gap: 1 mm) for assessment of the left renal artery and vein [Figure 3], which revealed a varicosity of the communicating vein between the left renal vein (LRV) and the left ascending lumbar vein (LALV) [Figure 3]. This was located in close proximity to the left renal artery, but was distinctly separate from it.



Figure 1: Axial nonenhanced CT scan shows a well-defined round structure (arrow) adjacent to the left renal hilum



Figure 2: Axial contrast-enhanced CT scan shows homogeneous contrast enhancement within the structure that was overlapping the left renal artery

This was confirmed on multiplanar reformatted images [Figures 4-6], which revealed this varicosity to be straddling the left renal artery. The patient was managed conservatively.

Discussion

Varicose veins are enlarged and tortuous venous channels commonly caused by retrograde flow due to incompetency of valves or obstruction to flow.^[5] This term commonly refers to the veins of the lower extremities, although varices can occur at any site in the body, e.g. esophagus, stomach, intestines, scrotum, vulva, ovary, kidneys, and the retroperitoneum.^[6-9] A communicating vein between the LRV and the LALV is a rare anomaly, and varicosity of such a communicating vein is extremely rare, with sparse reports in the literature. A normal communicating vein between the LRV and the LALV, or an azygous system, have been reported in 69-91.5% of the autopsy studies.^[10] On phlebographic studies, the incidence of this normal venous communication has been variably found to be between 34 and 75%,^[11,12] whereas the incidence on CT scan is around




Figure 3 (A-D): Narrow collimation, sequential, axial contrast-enhanced CT scans showing the relationships of the various vessels. Arrow 1 - left renal vein, arrow 2 - communicating vein between the left renal vein and the left ascending renal vein, arrow 3 - left ascending lumbar vein, arrow 4 - left renal artery




Figure 4: Coronal reformatted maximum intensity projection CT scan shows the varicosity of the communicating vein between the left renal vein and the left ascending lumbar vein in close proximity to the left renal artery. (The arrows point to the same structures as in Figure 3)



Figure 6: Axial maximum intensity projection CT scan shows the left renal vein, the left renal artery, and the communicating vein as distinct structures. (The arrows point to the same structures as in Figure 3)

35%. The disparity between the radiologic and anatomic incidence of this venous channel may be attributed to the limited resolution of the CT scan images.^[13] Varicosity of this venous communication has been reported in 9% of the patients on phlebographic studies.^[11] The first case report of varicosity of a venous communication between the LRV and the LALV demonstrated on CT scan was by Lien *et al.*,^[1] and anecdotal reports have appeared since, describing similar cases.^[13]

Dilated retroperitoneal venous channels have been confused




Figure 5: Sagittal reformatted maximum intensity projection CT scan shows the varicosity of the communicating vein between the left renal vein and the left ascending lumbar vein straddling the left renal artery. (The arrows point to the same structures as in Figure 3)

with adrenal masses,^[2] paraaortic lymph nodes,^[14] and renal artery aneurysms. The identification of this varicosity as a normal variant is important as it can mimic normal and pathological entities that are located in close proximity to the left renal hilum and left paravertebral region. Awareness of varicosity of this communicating vein is of utmost importance for surgeons performing aortoiliac surgeries and nephrectomies as it may pose technical difficulties during surgery or cause life-threatening retroperitoneal hemorrhage.^[3,4] On CT scan, recognition that this entity is of vascular origin and not an enhancing enlarged paraaortic lymph node is important in patients with testicular tumors as these malignancies tend to disseminate to the paraaortic lymph nodes via the lymphatics.^[14]

With advances in multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) scanners, smaller, vascular structures can be easily imaged with a narrow collimation and contrast enhancement. The enhancement pattern of this varicosity and its contiguity on consecutive sections is usually sufficient to differentiate it from other nonvascular structures in the paraaortic and left renal hilar region; however, it should be noted that with the reduced scanning times on MDCT scans,the CT scan study is often completed even before the contrast can sufficiently opacify this communicating vein.^[13] A definite cause for varicosity of this vein has not been discussed earlier in the literature. However, a mechanism of valvular incompetence similar to that seen in the peripheral veins may be the predisposing factor. Varicosity of this vein may also be caused due to backpressure changes in the renal vein caused by various obstructive lesions, e.g. renal vein thrombosis or postoperative renal vein ligation.^[15] The nutcracker phenomenon is characterized by compression of the LRV between the abdominal aorta and the overlying superior mesenteric artery due to reduction of the aortomesenteric angle.^[16] Compression of the renal vein due to this phenomenon may cause an increase in the left renal venous pressure, which may result in varicosity of the communicating vein between the LRV and the LALV.

Our patient presented with abdominal pain, and no significant abnormality was seen other than a varicosity of this venous channel. The association between anomalous vessels and gastrointestinal complaints is complex and completely conjectural at this point of time. The left lumbar nerve plexus lies in close relation to this venous channel in the left paravertebral region. Dilatation of this vessel may cause compression and irritation of the left lumbar nerve plexus, which in turn may cause abdominal pain and nausea. A similar mechanism, wherein dilated venous channels cause abdominal pain due to nerve irritation, has been proposed with the nutcracker phenomenon^[17] and in cases where inferior vena caval obstruction causes dilatation of the epidural venous plexus and radiculopathy.^[18]

In conclusion, varicosity of the communicating vein between the LRV and the LALV is a rare entity with sparse literature about its imaging appearances. Nonetheless, its recognition is important during presurgical evaluation in renal transplant donors and in those undergoing aortoiliac surgeries. This entity may be commonly confused with paraaortic lymphadenopathy, adrenal pseudo-masses, and aneurysms of the renal vasculature. The proposed hypothesis for the etiology of the abdominal pain and nausea is purely conjectural and needs validation.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Dr. Vipul Chemburkar and Dr. Bhakti Yeragi for reviewing the article for its content and accuracy.

References

1. Lien HH, von Krogh J. Varicosity of the left renal ascending lumbar communicant vein: a pitfall in CT diagnosis. Radiology

1984;152:484.

- 2. Brady TM, Gross BH, Glazer GM, Williams DM. Adrenal pseudomasses due to varices: angiographic-CT-MRI-pathologic correlations. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1985;145:301-4.
- 3. Jiménez Gil R, Morant Gimeno F. Major venous anomalies and abdominal aortic surgery. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2010;10:631-3.
- Lin CH, Steinberg AP, Ramani AP, Abreu SC, Desai MM, Kaouk J, Goldfarb DA, Gill IS. Laparoscopic live donor nephrectomy in the presence of circumaortic or retroaortic left renal vein. J Urol 2004;171:44-6.
- 5. Golledge J, Quigley FG. Pathogenesis of varicose veins. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2003;25:319-24.
- Sundaram B, Robbins JB, Zeglis MD, Scheiman JM, Simeone DM, Francis IR. Mesenteric venous collateral vessels mimicking cystic pancreatic neoplasm. Br J Radiol 2010;83:e175-8.
- 7. Costanza M, Policha A, Amankwah K, Gahtan V. Treatment of bleeding varicose veins of the scrotum with percutaneous coil embolization of the left spermatic vein: a case report. Vasc Endovascular Surg 2007;41:73-6.
- Bell D, Kane PB, Liang S, Conway C, Tornos C. Vulvar varices: an uncommon entity in surgical pathology. Int J Gynecol Pathol 2007;26:99-101.
- 9. Belenky A, Bartal G, Atar E, Cohen M, Bachar GN. Ovarian varices in healthy female kidney donors: incidence, morbidity, and clinical outcome. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2002;179:625-7.
- 10. Pick JW, Anson BJ. The renal vascular pedicle. An anatomical study of 430 body halves. J Urol 1940;44:411-34.
- Lien HH, Kolbenstvedt A. Phlebogarphic appearance of the left renal and left testicular vein. Acta Radiol Diagn (Stockh) 1977;18:321-32.
- 12. Ahlberg NE, Bartley O, Chidekel N, Wahlqvist L. An anatomic and roentgenographic study of the communications of the renal vein in patients with and without renal carcinoma. Scand J Urol Nephrol 1967;1:43-51.
- 13. Yao Y, Okada Y, Yamato M, Ohtomo K. Communicating Vein between the left renal vein and left ascending lumbar vein: incidence and significance on abdominal CT. Radiat Med 2003;21:252-7.
- 14. Ray B, Hajdu SI, Whitmore WF Jr. Distribution of retroperitoneal lymphnode metastases in testicular germinal tumours. Cancer 1974;33:340-8.
- 15. Meancock CI, Ward CS, Williams MP. The left ascending lumbar vein: a potential pitfall in CT diagnosis. Clin Radiol 1988;39:565-66.
- 16. Pilcher JM, Padhani AR. Problem in diagnostic imaging: behind the left renal vein. Clin Anat 1987;10:349-52.
- Hilgard P, Oberholzer K, Hohenfellner R, Gerken G. The patient with intermittent abdominal pain and no renal disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1999;14:2953-6.
- Paksoy Y, Gormus N. Epidural venous plexus enlargements presenting with radiculopathy and back pain in patients with inferior vena cava obstruction or occlusion. Spine 2004;29:2419-24.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.