Fetal intra abdominal umbilical vein varix: Case series and review of literature
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Abstract

Fetal intraabdominal umbilical vein varix (FIUV) is focal dilatation of the intrabdominalumbilical vein of thefetus. It appears as a round or fusiform cystic structure in thefetal abdomen, which shows continuity with the umbilical vein ongrayscale andcolor Dopplerimaging. The diagnostic criteria include the FIUV varix diameter at least 50% wider than the diameter of the intrahepatic umbilical veinand an intraabdominal umbilical vein diameter exceeding 9 mm orgreater than twostandard deviations above the mean for gestational age. We report three cases, two cases with isolated FIUV and favorable outcome and the third case with FIUV and atrioventricular septal defect, where trisomy 21 (Down syndrome) was diagnosed.
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Introduction

Fetalintraabdominal umbilical vein varix (FIUV) is an uncommon but easily detectable ultrasonographic finding.[1,2] Counselling for outcome is a challenge becauseoutcomes are variable. Though the outcome may be satisfactory, cases with fetal structural anomalies, chromosomal anomalies, orfetal hydrops with adverse pregnancy outcomes have been reported.

We report our experience with three cases of FIUV varix and review the available literature.

Case Report

Three cases of umbilical vein varix were identified at our referral centre from 2012 to 2015. The first patient was a 32-year-old, fifth gravida, with 32-week pregnancy who presented with intrauterine growth restriction; she reported three previous intrauterine deaths in late third trimester (cause unknown). FIUV was identified with a diameter of 14.2 mm (normal diameter of umbilical vein: 7–8 mm). ColorDoppler analysis showed turbulent flow in the varicose segment. There were no other structural abnormalities in the fetus. The umbilical artery Doppler was normal. Weekly serial sonographic and Doppler monitoring of pregnancy was performed. Patient delivered a healthy female at 37 weeks by elective caesarean section. The child is now 2 years old and is developmentally normal [Table 1; Figure 1].

The second patient was a 28-year-old, 21-week pregnant, second gravida who referred with triple test showing high
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risk for neural tube defects (>1:50 on triple test, AFP of more than 2.5 MoM). On ultrasonography, isolated FIUV varix measuring 9.7 mm was identified with no other abnormalities. Patient did not opt for invasive testing. Follow-up ultrasound at 31 weeks showed varix size of 9.8 mm with normal Doppler study. She delivered a healthy male after elective caesarean section at term. The child is now 8 months of age and is developmentally normal.

The third patient was a 26-year-old, 19-week pregnant, second gravida who referred with high risk of trisomy 21 on triple test (1:214). On ultrasonography, fetus was found to have a FIUV of diameter 9 mm. The FIUV showed turbulent flow on colour Doppler. An atrioventricular canal defect was also detected in the fetus. Amniocentesis was done and trisomy 21 was detected on fetal karyotyping. The pregnancy was terminated.

Discussion

Of the three cases with FIUV, two cases with isolated FIUV had a normal outcome. In the third patient with atrioventricular canal defect and FIUV, fetal karyotyping showed trisomy 21 (Down syndrome). Umbilical vein varix corresponds to approximately 4% of the malformations of the umbilical cord. FIUV represents focal dilatation of the extrahepatic intraabdominal part of the fetal umbilical vein. It appears as a round or fusiform cystic structure in the fetal abdomen between the inferior part of the liver and the anterior abdominal wall. Among the intraabdominal umbilical vein varices, extrahepatic intraabdominal varices are more common than intrahepatic intraabdominal varices, probably due to lack of liversupport in the extrahepatic region. The diameter of the umbilical vein increases linearly from 3 mm at 15 weeks to 8 mm at term. The diameter of most umbilical vein varices is between 6 and 12 standard deviations (SD) above the mean umbilical vein diameter for the patient’s gestational age.[2,3] Extremely large varices of up to 85 mm have been reported.[4]

Till date more than 200 cases have been reported in the literature [Table 2].[5-8] The results of four large case series on FIUV by Rahemtulla et al., Byers et al., Fung et al., and Lee et al. are compiled in Table 2. Out of 218 FIUV cases, 170 had normal outcome (78%). Eighteen fetuses (8.3%) had major malformations. Five cases with FIUV had trisomy 21 and one had triploidy. Except one case, all fetuses with trisomy 21 had ultrasonographically detected major abnormalities, as was the situation in our case. Intrauterine deaths were reported in 7 cases, one of these was trisomy 21. Approximately 18% of the pregnancies had obstetrical complications. Twin-to-twin transfusion and twin-reversed arterial perfusion (TRAP) and three cases of isoimmunization need special mention because FIUV may be the effect of hemodynamic manifestation of these causes.

The complications of FIUV are rupture, thrombosis, compression of the umbilical artery and other veins, and cardiac failure due to vascular stealing by the varix and increased preload. Hence, close serial ultrasonography and Doppler monitoring is required.[9]

Conclusion

Detection of FIUV calls for careful screening of malformations by ultrasound. Monitoring for growth and wellbeing is required. The incidence of chromosomal abnormalities is approximately 2.8% in fetuses with FIUV.[3-5,8] In absence of malformations, usually the prognosis is favorable. Fetal karyotyping needs to be offered if there are other abnormalities observed on ultrasound. Isolated FIUV does not warrant fetal karyotyping.

Table 1: Ultrasound findings and neonatal outcome in fetuses with FIUV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal age (years)</th>
<th>Gestational age at diagnosis (weeks)</th>
<th>Gravida</th>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Indication for US at first diagnosis</th>
<th>Other sonographic findings</th>
<th>FIUV diameter at detection (mm)</th>
<th>Pregnancy Complications, Pregnancy outcome</th>
<th>Follow up, age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>G5P4</td>
<td>IUGR</td>
<td>IUGR</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>None, Term LSCS, 2 kg female</td>
<td>Female child developmentally normal at 8 months of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>G2P1</td>
<td>High risk of neural tube defects on triple test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>None, Term LSCS, 2.5 kg Male</td>
<td>Male child developmentally normal at one year of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>G2P1</td>
<td>High risk of trisomy 21 on triple test</td>
<td>AV canal defect</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Trisomy 21, pregnancy terminated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: FIUV measuring 14.2 mm and showing normal color flow on Doppler
Table 2: Larger case series of FIUV fetuses and their outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Total No. of Cases</th>
<th>Normal outcome</th>
<th>Minor USG findings</th>
<th>Major malformation</th>
<th>Chromosomal abnormality</th>
<th>Obstetrical complication</th>
<th>IUD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mahony et al., 1992.[3]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4 (44.4%)</td>
<td>1-Non Immune Hydrops at 34 weeks  resolved uneventfully</td>
<td>Trisomy 21-1(no other USG abnormalities)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahemtullah et al., 2001.[5]</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11 (47.8%)</td>
<td>7 (2- Multiple anomalies 2-Isolated cardiac defect, 1-Ellis van creval syndrome 1-22q11.2 deletion, 1-Diaphragmatic hernia)</td>
<td>Triploidy-1</td>
<td>8 (oligohydramnios-4, polyhydramnios-2, preterm delivery-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byers et al., 2009.[7]</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>37 (71.2%)</td>
<td>4 (1-Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome 1-Right pelvic-kidney and single umbilical artery, 1-Right renal agenesis, 1-Bilateral pylectasis with right renal cyst)</td>
<td>Trisomy 21 - Total-3[1]. IUD- 1(cardiomegaly, shortened left humerus, an absent nasal bone, macrogllosia and an atrioventricular canal defect) [2]. significant bilateral renal pylectasis [3]. 1 - a ventricular septal defect, hyperpochogenic bowel loops, bilateral renal pylectasis and ventriculomegaly</td>
<td>18 (Oligohydramnios -5, IUGR-1, Pre-eclampsia 2, Pyelonephritis-1, Gestational diabetes mellitus- 4 Complete placenta previa-1, Twin-twin transfusion syndrome-1 Twin Reversed Arterial Perfusion -1, Anti-E isoimmunization-1, Rhesus isoimmunization-1)</td>
<td>1 (Trisomy 21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fung et al., 2005.[6]</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9 (69.2%)</td>
<td>1 (polydactyly)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (preterm delivery)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al., 2014.[8]</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>109 (90.1%)</td>
<td>6 (2-cryptorchidism, 1-Renal pelvis dilatation, 2-Cerebral mild ventriculomegaly, 1-Single umbilical artery)</td>
<td>5 (1-Hydrops fetalis, 1-Atrial septal defect, 1-Pulmonary sequestration, 1-Incomplete unilateral duplex kidney, 1-Non-lethal skeletal dysplasia)</td>
<td>16 (Oligohydramnios -6, IUGR-4, Preeclampsia-1, Gestational diabetes mellitus - 4, Placental previa - 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cases</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>170 (78%)</td>
<td>17 (7.7%)</td>
<td>17 (7.8%)</td>
<td>6 (2.8%)</td>
<td>42 (19.3%)</td>
<td>7 (3.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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