Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty and stenting in the management of chronic mesenteric angina: A single center experience
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Abstract

Introduction: The objective of our study was to review the results of percutaneous angioplasty (PTA)/stenting in the treatment of patients who presented with symptoms and angiographic findings of chronic mesenteric ischemia (CMI). Materials and Methods: We performed a retrospective analysis of 13 consecutive patients from a single institution who underwent PTA/stenting for the treatment of symptoms suggestive of CMI. Results: All 13 patients in our study were men, and most common presenting symptoms were weight loss and postprandial pain. Atherosclerosis was the most common cause. PTA and stenting was performed in 9 patients and PTA alone was done in 4 patients. Primary technical success rate was 92% with complete resolution of symptoms within 2 weeks in all patients. No statistical difference was noted in primary clinical success rate based on the number of vessels treated or the method of treatment. However, in patients whom SMA was treated had longer duration of symptom-free survival as compared to other vessels. Conclusion: PTA and stenting are very effective therapeutic options for patients presenting with CMI symptoms. It should be considered as the first-line of management in such patients.
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Introduction

Chronic mesenteric ischemia (CMI) has been a recognized entity since 1936. Among the atherosclerotic ischemic disorders, it accounts for less than 2% of the revascularization procedures.

The disease usually manifests in patients above 60 years of age. It is typically revealed by abdominal pain after consuming food that lasts for 1–4 h. Because of abundant mesenteric collateral circulation, gradual and progressive stenosis of one or more major mesenteric vessels is generally well tolerated; however, when residual mesenteric flow is unable to support the physiologic demand of gastrointestinal (GI) tract, mesenteric ischemia occurs, and if left untreated, can lead to mesenteric infarction, which has a grim prognosis. Early treatment of symptomatic CMI is therefore mandatory.
Conventional treatment options such as open endarterectomy/bypass surgery has high mortality and morbidity rate.\cite{2,3} Endovascular treatment of CMI has yielded good results since it was first performed in 1980.\cite{9}

The low incidence of CMI explains the small number of patients in published series as well as the difficulties encountered in determining the efficacy and defining the role of endovascular treatment.

The purpose of this article is to review our experience with percutaneous angioplasty (PTA) and stenting in the treatment of patients with symptoms characteristic of CMI.

Material and Methods

We performed a retrospective analysis of patients diagnosed with symptoms suggestive of CMI who had undergone endovascular treatment in our institute between January 2006 to December 2014.

The electronic medical record (EMR) and picture archiving and communication system (PACS) were used to identify patients with CMI who had undergone percutaneous intervention. Follow up data was obtained from EMR and in some cases from telephonic interviews of patients.

The clinical symptoms of postprandial abdominal angina and weight loss combined with computed tomography (CT) angiogram or digital subtraction angiogram (DSA) findings of critical stenosis of coeliac axis, superior mesenteric artery (SMA) and/or inferior mesenteric artery (IMA), in the absence of any other cause for abdominal pain on CT was used to make a definitive diagnosis of CMI. We considered more than 70% narrowing of an involved vessel in the absence of collaterals from other splanchnic arteries as critical stenosis.

Patients with discordance between imaging and clinical findings and patients with evidence of acute mesenteric ischemia were excluded from the study.

A high brachial approach was used in most patients to take advantage of the downward angulation of the mesenteric arteries. A 45 cm 6F multipurpose sheath (Cook, Bloomington) was placed in the upper abdominal aorta, and the stenotic vessel was engaged with a 4F vertebral curve Glide (Terumo, Japan) catheter. The stenosis was crossed with an angled 035” Terumo wire. It was then exchanged for an exchange length Rosen wire. In one of the patients, where the stenosis was very tight, we used 014 ”Microwire Microcatheter system (Progreat). Balloon expandable stents [Express (Boston scientific)/scuba (Medtronic)] were deployed in all patients who received stents. In 4 patients, the lesions were only angioplastied. The appropriate dimension of the stent or balloon was determined from the DSA images. Finally, an aortogram was obtained to document the target vessel patency and observe the adequacy of the mesenteric circulation. Angioplasty alone was performed in the early phase of our experience, when balloon expandable stents were expensive and not freely available.

Technical success was defined as a residual stenosis of 30% or less, as estimated on the post-procedure angiogram. Pressure gradient measurements across the lesions were not performed. Technical success was calculated on a per vessel basis. Clinical success was defined as complete reduction or significant improvement in symptoms such that no further invasive treatment was necessary. Clinical success was calculated on a per patient basis.

Statistics

Binomial proportion test was used to test whether proportions were 0 or 0.5; Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate the failure/recurrence rate. Log rank test was used to test the difference in time to failure distribution between angiogram results and recurrence. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software was used for statistical analysis.

Results

All the 13 patients in our study were men whose age ranged from 24 to 69 years [Table 1]. Risk factors included tobacco use (55%), hypertension (40%), and diabetes (30%). Common symptoms were weight loss (100%), with a median weight loss of 7 Kg, and postprandial pain (100%). Other comorbidities were coronary artery disease (40%) and peripheral vascular occlusive disease (PVOD) (30%).

Based on the age and imaging findings, atherosclerosis was the most common cause. Arteritis was encountered in 1 patient and 1 patient had a prothrombotic state.

Celiac artery was occluded in 42.2% and stenosed in 53.8%, SMA was occluded in 69.2% and stenosed in 30.8%, IMA was occluded in 46.2% and stenosed in 46.2%.

A single vessel was treated in 10 patients, and 3 patients had treatment for two vessels each. PTA and stenting was performed in 9 patients (10 vessels) and PTA alone was done in 4 patients. Celiac artery was treated in 5 patients [Figure 1A-D], SMA in 3 patients [Figure 2A-D], IMA in 3 patients [Figure 3A-D], SMA and IMA was treated in 1 patient, and left common iliac artery was treated in 1 patient.

Primary technical success rate was 92% with complete resolution of symptoms within 1 week in 8 patients and within 2 weeks in 4 patients.
The patient who did not have any clinical improvement had Takayasu arteritis. He had near total occlusion of all three mesenteric vessels as well as significant infrarenal aortic stenosis. SMA angioplasty was successfully performed, however, the patient died of cardiac arrest after 2 weeks. Follow-up data was available in all remaining 12 patients. Mean duration of hospitalization was 10 days. No major procedure-related complication occurred. There was no mortality in the follow-up period. Mean duration of follow-up was 20 to 108 months. All these patients experienced marked clinical improvement after revascularization. Four patients had mild recurrent abdominal pain, which could be managed at a local community hospital. None of the patients required re-intervention in the follow-up period.

One patient had occlusion of SMA and celiac axis with a tight stenosis of IMA ostium and a stenosis of the left common iliac artery. Owing to aortic ectasia, the IMA could not be accessed. The IMA and, in turn, the arcade of Riolan were seen to be filling retrograde from the left internal iliac artery. The left common iliac artery was then stented since it was critically stenosed, with the intention of improving the internal iliac flow. The patient’s symptoms resolved and he continues to be asymptomatic.

There was no statistical difference in the primary clinical success rate based on the number of vessels treated. Though the cohort is very small, clinical success appeared to be independent of the method of treatment (PTA vs Stent). Whether the SMA was treated or not, also did not seem to make a difference in the primary clinical success rate. One of the patients who developed recurrent symptoms had fracture of the stent demonstrated on CTA. However,

---

**Table 1: Patients data list**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Clinical presentations and comorbidities</th>
<th>Angiographic findings</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Immediate results</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45/M</td>
<td>Wt loss (4 kg), post prandial pain, smoker, no other comorbidities</td>
<td>Coeliac and SMA short segment occlusion. IMA stenosis</td>
<td>IMA stenting</td>
<td>8 Days hospital admission, symptomatically better within 1 wk</td>
<td>Asymptomatic after 36 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>47/M</td>
<td>Wt loss (6 kg), post prandial pain, smoker, no other comorbidities</td>
<td>Coeliac-stenosis, SMA long segment occlusion, IMA mild stenosis</td>
<td>Coeliac stenting</td>
<td>17 Days stay, better after 3 wks</td>
<td>Asymptomatic after 48 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>52/M</td>
<td>Post prandial pain, CAD - Post PTCA</td>
<td>Coeliac occlusion, SMA focal non osteal stenosis, IMA ostial stenosis</td>
<td>Sma and IMA stenting</td>
<td>9 Days stay, better after 1 wk</td>
<td>Asymptomatic after 48 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45/M</td>
<td>Post prandial pain, smoker, pvod b/l lower limb</td>
<td>Coeliac osteal stenosis, SMA long segment occlusion, IMA occluded</td>
<td>Coeliac angioplasty</td>
<td>7 Days stay better after 1 wks</td>
<td>Totally asymptomatic for 36 months, had a episode of abdominal pain after 3 yrs which was managed conservatively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>58/M</td>
<td>Wt loss (5 kg), smoker, diabetic</td>
<td>Celiac and SMA occluded, IMA occlusion</td>
<td>IMA stented</td>
<td>7 Days stay better after 1 wks</td>
<td>Asymptomatic after 5 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>63/M</td>
<td>Wt-loss (7 kg) pvod cad</td>
<td>Coeliac ostensible stenosis, SMA non osteal stenosis, IMA occluded</td>
<td>Sma plasty</td>
<td>10 Days stay better after 2 wks</td>
<td>Asymptomatic after 9 yrs, has pvod symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>65/M</td>
<td>Wt loss (6 kg), post prandial pain, DM, CAD</td>
<td>Coeliac ostial stenosis, SMA occlusion, IMA stenosis</td>
<td>Coeliac stenting, IMA failed</td>
<td>8 Days stay, better after 1 wk</td>
<td>Asymptomatic for 12 months had abdominal pain, after one year, which was managed conservatively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>64/M</td>
<td>Wt loss (4 kg) post prandial pain, CAD, DM, smoker</td>
<td>Coeliac stenosis, SMA occlusion, IMA occlusion (coronary balloon)</td>
<td>Coeliac stented</td>
<td>7 Days stay, better after 1 wk</td>
<td>Asymptomatic after 3 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>57/M</td>
<td>Wt-loss (14 kg) post prandial pain, pvod smoker</td>
<td>Coeliac occluded, SMA occluded, IMA stenosis - scuba stent</td>
<td>IMA stenting</td>
<td>7 Days stay, better after 1 wk</td>
<td>Asymptomatic after 2 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>63/M</td>
<td>Wt loss (10 kg) pppain, DM, HT</td>
<td>Coeliac stenosed SMA occluded, IMA occluded</td>
<td>Coeliac stented via femoral route</td>
<td>18 Days stay better after 3 wks</td>
<td>Asymptomatic after 14 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>55/M</td>
<td>Wt loss (9 kg) renal stenting, DM, HT</td>
<td>Coeliac stenosis, SMA occlusion, IMA stenosis arch of Riolan was reforming from left iliac with contribution from left</td>
<td>Ima attempted but failed, IMA stenosis was stented</td>
<td>9 Days stay better after 2 wks</td>
<td>Asymptomatic for 7 yrs, admitted in June 2015 with abdominal pain, which was managed conservatively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>33/M</td>
<td>Ppd, wt loss (10 kg), known case of takayasu</td>
<td>Coeliac occlusion, SMA long segment stenosis, IMA and aortic occlusion</td>
<td>Sma plasty</td>
<td>Expired after 1 month</td>
<td>Expired after 2 months of procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>24/M</td>
<td>Ppd, wt loss (5 kg), prothrombotic disorder</td>
<td>Coeliac occlusion, SMA stenosis, IMA normal</td>
<td>Sma plasty</td>
<td>10 Days stay better after 2 wks</td>
<td>Asymptomatic after 3 yrs, on warfarin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the stent was patent and the patient could be managed conservatively [Figure 1E].

**Discussion**

Re-establishment of adequate mesenteric perfusion should be done as early as possible in patients with CMI. Otherwise, it can progress to intestinal infarction which has a very grim prognosis. The primary goal of mesenteric revascularization is relief of abdominal pain, improvement of nutritional status, and prevention of intestinal infarction.[9] In our series, we were able to achieve these objectives in 12 out of 13 patients. Only 4 patients had recurrent mild abdominal pain which could be managed conservatively.

Conventional surgical revascularization including open endarterectomy and bypass surgery have shown very good long-term results in several published series. A 9-year assisted primary graft patency rate of 79% has been reported. However, surgery has a 15–47% morbidity and up to 17% mortality rate.[9,10]

Since it was first reported in 1980,[14] many studies have confirmed the utility and effectiveness of PTA and stenting in treating symptomatic patients with CMI. The reported technical success rate ranges from 82% to 10%. Primary clinical success rate was approximately 95% and primary patency rates observed were 75–85%. Adverse event rate ranges 0–29% in various studies, with puncture site complications being the most common.[12-15]

The technical and clinical success rates observed in our series are comparable to previous studies. We did not encounter any significant complication, and re-intervention was not required in any patient.

Compared to surgical series,[9,10] the mortality/morbidity, days spent in hospital, and recurrence rates were lower in our series. Both the retrospective nature of our study and the reliance on patient symptoms as a surrogate marker for vessel patency on follow-up, limit the reliability of our results. On the other hand, symptomatic CMI is a relatively rare entity and all reported series have these limitations.

In many studies,[13,14] use of stents was restricted to instances where results of angioplasty were unsatisfactory. We preferred to primarily deploy stents in all patients with ostial stenosis and used stents in patients with significant residual stenosis post angioplasty. Post-angioplasty arterial dissection in a patient with a single patent mesenteric vessel can have disastrous consequences while primary deployment of a balloon expandable stent, we feel, is a safer option. In our series, stents were used in 10 vessels. There was no difference between the stent and angioplasty alone groups in terms of both short and long-term clinical success. However, the total number of patients is not sufficiently large to derive any definite conclusions, which can influence treatment decisions in the future.

Majority of patients had occlusion of two vessels and critical stenosis of the third vessel at the time of presentation. It appears that, in many patients, symptoms arise only when
there is significant flow reduction in the last remaining vessel. In this scenario, we simply chose the stenosed vessel for treatment because it is easier to access than an occluded vessel. The IMA was the target vessel in 4 patients. In these patients, the IMA was large and was found to connect to a hypertrophied arcade of Riolan which sustained the entire mesenteric circulation. Our results suggest that adequate revascularization of any one of the three vessels is sufficient to achieve clinical success provided the distal circulation fills via this major collateral.

Treatment of the superior mesenteric artery stenosis or occlusion has been emphasized as essential for clinical success in some studies. In our study, although the vessel treated did not affect the primary clinical success rate, on follow-up, it was found that patients who had undergone SMA plasty/stenting had longer duration of symptom-free survival [Figure 4].

**Conclusion**

In summary, our results show that PTA with or without stent are very effective therapeutic options for patients with symptomatic CMI. The high success rates and the absence of major morbidity compared to surgical techniques makes percutaneous intervention the best first-line therapeutic option in these patients.
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