Post-treatment imaging of high-grade gliomas
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Abstract
Current standard of care for treatment of newly diagnosed high grade gliomas is surgery followed by concomitant radiotherapy (RT) and chemotherapy (CT) with temozolomide (TMZ). Recently, bevacizumab, an anti – angiogenic agent has also been approved for treatment of recurrent gliomas. Baseline imaging after excision is optimally obtained in the first 24 hours. When baseline postoperative imaging is delayed beyond 24 hours, subacute hemorrhage, subacute ischemia and inflammation at the resection margins render differentiation from residual tumor challenging. Radiation necrosis is a well recognized entity and is differentiated from recurrence based on morphology on structural imaging, presence of lipid – lactate complexes with lack of choline on spectroscopy and low normalized cerebral blood volume (CBV) ratios at perfusion imaging. Novel chemotherapies have lead to the occurrence of interesting but sometimes confusing post treatment imaging appearances including the phenomena of ‘pseudoprogression’ and ‘pseudoresponse’. Pseudoprogression refers to transient, self resolving focal enhancement mediated by TMZ-induced increased vascular permeability and local inflammatory response. Pathologically, these lesions do not have viable tumor. The lesions stabilize or regress without further treatment and are usually clinically asymptomatic. Pseudoresponse refers to rapid regression of enhancement, perfusion, mass effect and midline shift caused by the anti – angiogenic effect of bevacizumab. It is termed pseudoresponse since biological tumor persists as non-enhancing altered signal. It is important for radiologists to be aware of these entities seen on post treatment imaging of gliomas, as misinterpretation may lead to inappropriate management decisions and prognostication.
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Introduction
As novel therapies for high-grade gliomas (HGGs) emerge, “Macdonald criteria,”[1] representing the traditional guidelines for treatment response evaluation, are being challenged. Recently established standard of care for newly diagnosed HGG consists of surgery followed by concomitant radiotherapy (RT) and chemotherapy (CT) using temozolomide (TMZ).[2] Bevacizumab[3] is another chemotherapeutic agent with antiangiogenic properties that has been recently approved for treatment of recurrent gliomas. Changes in enhancement on follow-up imaging of gliomas treated with postoperative RT–TMZ and bevacizumab include the phenomena of pseudoprogression and pseudoresponse, respectively. Pseudoprogression is a transient, self-resolving increase in enhancement mediated by the chemotherapeutic agent, TMZ. Pseudoresponse is a regression in enhancement mediated by the antiangiogenic chemotherapeutic agent, bevacizumab. These changes in enhancement on post-treatment imaging reflect CT-related alteration in the stability of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) and not true tumor progression or regression, respectively.

The Macdonald criteria are based on measurement of enhancing lesion on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), steroid dose, and clinical assessment to stratify treated gliomas into four categories: (1) Complete response: disappearance of enhancing lesion, neurologically stable/improved, and no steroids; (2) partial response: ≥50% reduction of enhancing lesion, neurologically stable/improved, and stable steroids; (3) progressive disease: ≥25%
increase in enhancing lesion or new tumor, increased steroids, and neurologically worse; and (4) stable disease: all other situations.

A critical pitfall in these criteria is reliance on measurement of only the enhancing component of the tumor. In view of recently described non-tumoral changes in enhancement mediated by concomitant RT-CT, the Macdonald criteria are increasingly recognized as inaccurate by the neuro-oncology and neuroradiology communities. Based on observation of this pitfall, updated guidelines for Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) have been established. The aim of the RANO working group, a collective transnational, interdisciplinary committee, is to establish unanimous guidelines of response criteria for treated brain tumors.

Taking into consideration advances in chemoradiation and antiangiogenic therapy, this article reviews the role of conventional contrast MRI as well as explores the role of quantitative imaging biomarkers in the post-treatment imaging of HGGs.

Post-surgical Imaging

The first or baseline postoperative imaging is optimal within 24-48 hours of surgery. When baseline imaging is delayed beyond 72 hours, subacute hemorrhage/ischemia or reactive post-surgical enhancement may be confused with residual tumor. On baseline imaging, mild enhancement at the periphery of the resection cavity is likely postoperative granulation tissue. However, larger areas of nodular and mass-like enhancement, similar in morphology to preoperative imaging, indicate residual tumor.

When baseline imaging is obtained more than 72 h after surgery, postoperative blood with T1 shortening is difficult to separate from enhancing residual tumor; this concern may be addressed by comparison of pre-contrast and post-contrast T1 images in the same plane.

Diffusion imaging is the key to distinguish enhancing subacute ischemia from enhancing residual tumor follow-up imaging. Perioperative ischemia due to microvascular compromise is common at the resection margins. Acute ischemia displays restricted diffusion on early postoperative images and subacute ischemia displays enhancement on follow-up images; the latter is often misinterpreted as tumor. In these cases, comparison of areas of enhancement on follow-up imaging with diffusion images obtained at 24-48 h is recommended.

Enhancing subacute ischemia at follow-up shows restricted diffusion on review of 24-48 h scan; this is not seen in the case of enhancing tumor residue.

Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) imaging of partially resected gliomas is sensitive to signal alteration of fluid in the postoperative cavity. Studies have shown that increasing FLAIR signal in the resection cavity has high specificity for progression, even before the size of tumor increases.

Post-radiation Imaging

Side effects of RT are stratified into three types: acute (during RT), subacute/early-delayed (up to 3 months post-RT), and late (months to years post-RT). In the acute stage, MRI is usually normal, though sometimes diffuse parenchymal edema is observed. In subacute radiation injury, the MRI findings include non-enhancing white-matter signal alteration and occasionally focal new or increased enhancement. As opposed to acute–subacute post-radiation effects, late changes that occur months or even decades after radiation are usually progressive and irreversible. Late radiation effects in the brain include leukoencephalopathy, meningioma formation, radiation necrosis, and vascular changes such as telangiectasias, large vessel occlusion, Moya Moya syndrome, and lacunar infarcts. Stroke-like migraine attacks after radiation therapy (SMART) syndrome occurs 2-10 years following RT; patients present with headache and neurological deficit, similar to recurrence. The characteristic imaging in SMART syndrome consists of transient gyral thickening, restricted diffusion, and enhancement in the parieto-occipital parenchyma, reflecting a disturbance in the BBB of the more vulnerable posterior circulation.

Radiation necrosis appears as a ring-enhancing lesion with characteristic stellate margins and surrounding vasogenic edema. It usually occurs at the site of maximum RT dose in and around the tumor bed and within the margins of the irradiation field. The pattern of enhancement (Figures 1 and 2) has been described as “Swiss cheese” or “soap bubble” appearance. Perfusion, magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), diffusion, and diffusion tensor imaging are used to distinguish radiation necrosis from recurrence. Unlike tumor, the ring-enhancing lesions of radiation necrosis are hypoperfused with presence of lipid–lactate complexes and decrease in other metabolites including choline on MRS. Apparent diffusion co-efficient (ADC) and fractional anisotropy (FA) values in radiation necrosis are higher, as compared to tumor recurrence. Low ADC reflects higher cellularity of HGG. Table 1 demonstrates the role of advanced MRI techniques in differentiating tumor from treatment necrosis. However, often, recurrent tumor and radiation necrosis co-exist (Figure 2A and B).

Pathophysiology of radiation toxicity in the brain includes vascular insult, white matter and glial damage, and activation of the fibrinolytic enzyme and immune systems. However, it is vascular damage that is critical in radiation neurotoxicity. Radiation-mediated vascular insult includes disruption of the BBB. Histologic findings are characterized by endothelial injury with fibrinoid necrosis.
of small vessels and vessel wall hyalinization [Figure 2G] resulting in occlusive vasculopathy, thrombosis, and ischemia. At histology, areas of necrosis are frequently intermixed with tumor cells of doubtful viability. In contrast, the histological characteristic of tumor necrosis is palisading of necrosis by the tumor cells [Figure 2H] with microvascular proliferation [Figure 2I] and angiogenesis without vascular luminal obliteration.

Post-CT Imaging

Pseudoprogression refers to self-resolving, focal, new enhancement presenting most commonly in the first 3 months after RT–TMZ. This imaging entity has been recognized after the 2005 randomized Phase 3 trial that validated the addition of TMZ CT to RT in newly diagnosed malignant gliomas by demonstrating increase in mean survival from 12.1 to 14.6 months.

Abnormal enhancement in these patients is due to TMZ-induced cell hypoxia that leads to expression of hypoxia-regulated molecules from the tumor. These molecules mediate local inflammatory response including breakdown of the BBB and increased vascular permeability seen on imaging as new areas of enhancement. Pathologically, pseudoprogression corresponds to gliosis and reactive treatment-related changes with no evidence of viable tumor. Radiation sensitizes the neuroparenchyma to the effects of TMZ.

Pseudoprogression is seen in about 20% of patients on concomitant RT–TMZ and accounts for nearly half of the cases of new enhancement seen at the end of therapy. Cases with methylation of O6-methyl guanine-DNA methyl transferase (MGMT) gene have a higher incidence of pseudoprogression. Patients with pseudoprogression are often asymptomatic despite the presence of enhancing lesions. Interestingly, presence of pseudoprogression is correlated with better response to CT and even better survival. Recognition of this entity is important since misdiagnosis as true tumor progression may lead to inappropriate discontinuation of effective CT and unnecessary change to second-line therapy for recurrence.

When new enhancing lesions appear at 3-6 months after TMZ, differentiation between true progression and pseudoprogression is not possible on the initial MRI. The

<p>| Table 1: Advanced MRI techniques for differentiation of tumor recurrence from treatment necrosis (modified from an analysis of literature by Alexiou et al.) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Tumor</th>
<th>Treatment necrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectroscopy</td>
<td>Plotkin et al.</td>
<td>Cho/NAA &gt; 1.17</td>
<td>Cho/NAA &lt; 1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfusion</td>
<td>Sugahara et al.</td>
<td>rCBV &gt; 2.6</td>
<td>rCBV &lt; 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRM&lt;sub&gt;rCBV&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Tsien et al.</td>
<td>PRM&lt;sub&gt;rCBV&lt;/sub&gt; &gt; 1.5</td>
<td>PRM&lt;sub&gt;rCBV&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion</td>
<td>Zeng et al.</td>
<td>ADC ratio (1.42 ± 0.10)</td>
<td>ADC ratio (1.69 ± 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion-Tensor</td>
<td>Sundgren et al.</td>
<td>FA ratios mean = 0.74 ± 0.14</td>
<td>FA ratios mean = 0.89 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

definite diagnosis of pseudoprogression is made with resolution of the lesion on follow-up imaging [Figure 3]. The only useful sign for true progression on initial MRI is subependymal enhancement; although the specificity of this finding for the diagnosis of true progression is high (93.3%), it has low sensitivity (38.1%) and modest negative predictive value (41.8%). According to the modified RANO recommendations, true progression on initial MRI must be reported only if there is new enhancement outside the radiation field (beyond the high-dose region or 80% isodose line) or if tumor is seen on histopathological sampling. \[22\]

Recent studies have shown that perfusion, capillary permeability imaging, diffusion and diffusion tensor imaging and sodium imaging may have a future role in differentiation of progression from pseudoprogression on early scans. Some studies have shown that pseudoprogression has lower rCBV (relative cerebral blood volume) ratios,\[24\] as compared to true progression. However, subsequent studies have shown that change in rCBV ratios on serial imaging is more sensitive than normalized rCBV ratios on a single study. These studies use parametric analysis map (PRM), a voxel-wise analytical method applied to perfusion maps to quantify hemodynamic changes following treatment. PRM\(_{CBV}\) is defined as the measure of the difference between serial CBV maps for each voxel in the target volume. These studies have shown that PRM\(_{CBV}\) can be considered a potential biomarker to distinguish tumor recurrence from pseudoprogression.\[17,25\] Capillary permeability imaging\[60\] with MRI measures contrast leakage from intravascular to extravascular compartment. The rate of contrast leakage from the intravascular to the extravascular compartment, defined as contrast transfer co-efficient (K\(_{\text{trans}}\)), is another biomarker whose role in post-treatment imaging is being investigated. Sodium (\(^{23}\)Na) MRI shows promise in confirming true progression by demonstrating increased total tissue sodium concentration (TSC) as a result of depolarization of the cell membrane prior to cell proliferation in malignant glioma.\[26\] Finally, amino acid-positron emission tomography (AA-PET) using \(^{18}\)fluoro-O-(2) fluoroethyl-l-tyrosine ([\(^{18}\)F] FET) and \(^{11}\)C

---

**Figure 2B (A-I):** Mixed radiation necrosis and recurrence. Three years after surgery and RT–CT for grade 2 astrocytoma, patient presented with new multifocal mass. (A) Contrast (B) perfusion images show hypoperfused enhancing lesion (arrow) near the tumor bed, suggestive of radiation necrosis. (C and D) Caudal section in the same patient shows hyperperfused enhancing (arrows) tumor recurrence. (E and F) Postoperative MRI shows excision. (G) Histology from the tumor bed mass (H and E, x40) shows RT necrosis comprising fibrinoid material with extravasated RBCs, inflammatory cells, and fibrinoid vasculopathy (arrow). No palisading of the necrosis is seen. (H) Histology from caudal lesion (H and E, x40) shows recurrence with palisading of tumor necrosis by tumor cells forming wreath rosettes (arrows). (I) Histology from caudal lesion (H and E, x40) shows recurrence with increased vascularity and endothelial proliferation giving glomeruloid appearance (arrow).

**Figure 3 (A-C):** Pseudoprogression. (A) Postoperative MRI demonstrates resection cavity and complete excision of a malignant glioma. (B) 3 months post RT–TMZ MRI shows new enhancing lesions (arrows) at the margins of the resection cavity. (C) 6 months post RT–TMZ MRI shows spontaneous resolution of enhancing lesions.
methionine (MET) has shown to be superior to MRI in studies to differentiate tumor from treatment necrosis.

In spite of the developments in physiologic and metabolic MRI techniques described above, at present, there is not enough evidence to incorporate the same into the RANO guidelines. Hence, follow-up imaging with conventional contrast MRI continues as the standard of practice.

The terms “pseudoprogression” and “radiation necrosis” overlap as both display tumor bed enhancement without true tumor. Pseudoprogression likely represents a self-limiting and milder variant. Radiation necrosis is classically seen between 18 and 24 months after treatment. Pseudoprogression is seen much earlier than radiation necrosis, usually in the first 3 months after treatment.

Pseudoprogression refers to unique and sometimes confusing post-treatment imaging findings in recurrent gliomas treated with the new antiangiogenic agent, bevacizumab (Avastin; Genentech). In 2009, on the basis of two Phase 2 trials, bevacizumab monotherapy was approved for patients with recurrent glioblastoma.

Bevacizumab attaches to vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and stabilizes the BBB resulting in decreased neovascularity. This is manifested on imaging as reduction of enhancement, perfusion, edema, and mass effect, soon after initiation of therapy [Figures 4 and 5]. This radiological response corresponds to reduced symptoms (decrease in mass effect), reduced steroid dependence (decrease in edema), and better quality of life (QOL). Although there is modest effect on overall survival, the 6-month progression-free survival increases.

Since biological tumor persists, this phenomenon is referred to as pseudoprogression. Biological tumor is seen as non-enhancing hyperintense signal on FLAIR images, often with restricted diffusion [Figure 4]. New areas of non-enhancing hyperintense FLAIR signal, remote from the primary lesion, representing multifocal tumor progression in patients on antiangiogenic medication may be due to vascular co-option leading to development of another invasive non-enhancing phenotype.

**Conclusion**

HGG is the most common malignant tumor of the brain and remains a challenge for both the oncology and radiology communities. It portends a bleak prognosis related to innate cellular, genetic, and histological complexity. Most patients have recurrence within 12 months. Five-year survival is dismal.

The recent approval and subsequent clinical use of novel therapies for malignant gliomas has marginally improved survival, but has led to the recognition of unusual treatment-related phenomena on follow-up imaging. Radiation necrosis, pseudoprogression, and pseudoprogression have been described in this article. Interestingly, both pseudoprogression and pseudoprogression are associated with favorable patient outcome. Both are conclusively diagnosed on follow-up imaging as no radiological technique is currently capable of providing a categorical diagnosis of true tumor versus enhancement change due to treatment-mediated BBB alteration.

Perfusion, diffusion, and diffusion tensor imaging show promise, but at present are work-in-progress and require further validation, technical consistency in image acquisition and post processing, and further experience in interpretation. Meanwhile, it is important for the clinical radiologist to recognize these entities. In addition to influencing individual patient care, appropriate
interpretation of these imaging changes also has an effect on clinical trials of newer therapies.
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