Bone marrow lesions: A systematic diagnostic approach
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Abstract
Bone marrow lesions on magnetic resonance (MR) imaging are common and may be seen with various pathologies. The authors outline a systematic diagnostic approach with proposed categorization of various etiologies of bone marrow lesions. Utilization of typical imaging features on conventional MR imaging techniques and other problem-solving techniques, such as chemical shift imaging and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), to achieve accurate final diagnosis has been highlighted.

Key words: Bone marrow lesion; chemical shift imaging; diffusion; magnetic resonance imaging

Introduction
Bone marrow lesions are a common and non-specific magnetic resonance imaging finding associated with various pathologies. Unless a systematic approach is followed, it may cause confusion in the differential diagnosis. Based on the type and relative proportion of signal alterations on conventional T1-weighted (T1W) and T2-weighted (T2W) MR images, various etiologies of bone marrow lesions can be divided into three categories [Table 1]. This article outlines a systematic approach using this categorization, typical imaging features of various pathologies based on available literature, and prudent use of problem-solving imaging techniques, such as chemical shift imaging (CSI) and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI). We believe that this approach could help the radiologist to better diagnose the etiology of bone marrow lesions, confirm the clinical diagnosis, and aid in appropriate patient management.

Normal Bone Marrow
The normal bone marrow is constituted in different proportions by red (hematopoietic bone marrow) and yellow marrow (hematopoietic inactive marrow), which have different MRI characteristics due to the different content of hematopoietic and fat cells. Red marrow contains 40% fat cells, 40% water, and 20% hematopoietic cells, whereas yellow bone marrow is composed of 80% fat cells, 15% water, and 5% hematopoietic cells.[1] After infancy, red marrow to yellow marrow conversion progresses from the periphery (appendicular skeleton) to the center (axial skeleton) and from the diaphysis to the metaphysis in long bones.[1] Epiphysis and apophysis are the first to convert to yellow marrow, which usually happens in the first decade of life itself. In long bones, such as humerus and femur, crescentic subchondral area of residual red marrow is commonly present. In an adult, the red marrow is mainly located in the appendicular skeleton in the metaphysis and near the vertebral endplate (the metaphyseal equivalent), due to well-developed vascularity.[2,3]

Imaging Technique
The initial evaluation may include radiography; however, many bone marrow lesions are occult on conventional radiography and MR imaging might be the first modality on which they show up for the first time. Attention to appropriate MR imaging technique is important. The
imaging can be performed on 1.5 T or 3 T MR scanners. The conventional techniques include T1W (keep echo time, TE <8 ms on 1.5 T and <10 ms on 3 T) and T2W, fat-suppressed T2W, or short tau inversion recovery (STIR; for STIR, keep TE ~25-35 ms to maintain good signal to noise ratio) pulse sequences. Post-contrast (intravenous gadolinium) fat-suppressed T1W imaging should always include pre-contrast baseline fat-suppressed T1W imaging in at least one plane, with subtraction manipulation if possible. Problem-solving techniques are performed in a suspected or known marrow lesion in a short period of time. These include DWI (diffusion moments, single-shot spin-echo echo-planar imaging, b values 50, 400, 800 s/mm², axial plane acquisition, frequency selective fat suppression), CSI (in- and out-of-phase imaging, CSI or two-point Dixon technique using gradient echo imaging), and single-voxel or multivoxel spectroscopy (MRS). Two-point Dixon technique (~5 min) takes longer than CSI (2-3 min); however, one can obtain a number of images from the same acquisition, “water only,” “fat only,” and “both water and fat” images. Good shimming is essential before DWI or MRS to obtain the best imaging possible. To avoid ghosting artifacts from eddy current distortions and poor fat suppression, tighter echo spacing, avoiding motion and frequency selective fat suppression are useful on diffusion imaging.

On conventional radiography, the normal marrow and red marrow reconversion areas do not exhibit any alterations in density, reflecting poor sensitivity of radiography in marrow lesions. As a general rule, the normal marrow (red or yellow marrow) in adult is hyperintense on T1W MR image as compared to the adjacent muscle or, in the spine, as compared to the intervertebral disc/paraspinal muscle. Moreover, the red marrow shows signal intensity less than that of fat on T2W MR images. STIR or fat-suppressed T2W MR imaging techniques are most sensitive for the detection of bone marrow lesions due to increased dynamic range of contrast. With increasing use of proton density sequence instead of T1W sequence in routine joint imaging, it should be noted that the normal or reconverted red marrow may appear isointense to the muscle. Additionally, sometimes, the residual/reconverted red marrow may show patchy distribution mimicking lesions. In those cases, simple looking back at the planning scout images (generally performed as gradient echo images) may be helpful. The focal islands of normal marrow always have some amount of microscopic fat and, therefore, tend to lose signal on these images (technically out-of-phase). However, if the scout image is performed as an in-phase image or if the lesion is not covered on the scout image, additional CSI would confirm that the lesion does not replace the bone marrow by demonstrating 20% signal loss on the out-of-phase images. It is important to emphasize that CSI does not differentiate between benign and malignant lesions but between bone marrow replacing and non bone marrow replacing lesions. In fact, a benign bone marrow replacing lesion, such a bone cyst would show a drop of signal in out-of-phase images less than 20% compared to in-phase images. Yellow marrow follows the same signal intensity as the subcutaneous fat. The enhancement of the normal marrow in adults is usually less than 35% from baseline on intravenous gadolinium administration.[3] According to Disler and colleagues, a relative signal intensity ratio of 0.81 using CSI has a sensitivity and specificity of 95% to detect bone marrow neoplasm.[6] Zampa and co-workers found sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of 88.8, 80.5, 84.9, 83.3, and 86.4%, respectively, using opposed phase gradient techniques and a signal intensity ratio cut-off of 1.2 to differentiate between benign and malignant vertebral lesions.[7] It is important to remember that a normal marrow appearance on MR imaging does not definitely exclude marrow infiltration. Since the MR imaging appearance of the bone marrow depends on the proportion of fat cells and hematopoietic cells, in cases of early/minimal infiltrations that do not significantly change this equilibrium, the MR signal may not be altered.[3] On DWI, diffusion restriction is noted in focal or diffuse marrow lesions due to increased cellularity with cell membrane restrictions with these lesions. It has proven to be a useful method in identification of marrow pathology. Lower apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) lower than 1.1-1.2×10-3 mm²/s values are usually seen with high cellularity or malignancy.[8] Baur and colleagues studied 39 vertebral compression fractures and concluded that DWI is a good technique to differentiate between benign and malignant vertebral lesions. Benign compression fractures were iso- to hypointense to normal vertebral body, whereas malignant fractures were hyperintense.[9]

**Categorization of Bone Marrow Lesions**

Based on the type and relative proportion of signal alterations on conventional T1W and T2W MRI images, various etiologies of bone marrow lesions can conveniently be divided into three categories [Table 1]. Category I includes bone marrow lesions related to trauma, insufficiency injury, aseptic necrosis, biomechanical, disuse, complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS), transient osteoporosis of hip, or

| Table 1: Etiologies of bone marrow lesions-proposed categorization |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category I      | Category II     | Category III    |
| Traumatic       | Neoplastic      | Metabolic/hematopoietic disorders |
| Insufficiency/stress fractures | Infection | Neuropathic disorders |
| Complex regional pain syndrome | | Chemotherapy- and radiotherapy-related marrow changes |
| Inflammatory arthropathy | | Paget’s disease |
| Degenerative osteoarthritis | | Antiretroviral therapy in HIV |
| Active bone infarct/ischemia | | patients/serous marrow lesions |
| HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus | | |
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Degenerative/inflammatory etiologies. Category II includes aggressive processes, such as infections or neoplastic conditions. Category III includes pathologies with complex/mixed phenomena, such as metabolic, hematopoietic, treated infiltrative malignancies, HIV treatment associated lipodystrophy, serous marrow lesions, and Paget’s disease. Further discussion will be focused on general MR imaging features of these categories on conventional sequences, typical MR imaging features of various entities within these categories, and the role of problem-solving techniques aiding in their definitive diagnosis [Tables 2 and 3].

Category I Lesions

In this category, the high signal intensity alteration on fluid-sensitive sequences (STIR/fsT2-W/fat-saturated proton density-weighted (fs PD-W)) is much more pronounced than the low signal intensity on T1W sequence. The etiologies are usually benign and, in some part, encompass a component of stress reaction/hyperemia. The lesions show homogenous bone marrow edema and usually they do not have associated soft tissue component or solid enhancement. Focal lesions are generally absent, unless there is associated intraosseus hematoma related to a recent fracture, focal half-moon-shaped or serpiginous lesion of aseptic necrosis, or subchondral degenerative/subcortical avulsive cystic changes. Problem-solving techniques, such as CSI and DWI, are rarely needed for diagnosis. Conventional radiography is usually negative in marrow-centric stress or microtrabecular injuries. However, more significant or larger lesions may show up as fractures, avascular necrosis (AVN), or osteopenia from disuse/CRPS. Probably, the most common pattern in this category is acute or chronic traumatic injury, which may range from bone contusion, sometimes referred as “bone bruises,” to a real fracture. Histologically, the traumatic bone marrow lesion is the result of hemorrhage and inter-trabecular microfractures. MR imaging plays an important role in the assessment of stress injuries/fractures [Figure 1A-D] due to the relative low sensitivity of radiographs, ranging from 15-35% on initial examination to 30-70% on follow-up examination. Stress fractures are of two types, fatigue and insufficiency. Fatigue fractures are the result of abnormal stress on normal bone structure, whereas insufficiency fractures are the result of a normal stress on an abnormal bone, such as in osteoporosis and osteomalacia. The former are more common in young athletes and military recruits and the latter in older patients. Stress injuries were classified by Fredericson et al. into four grades based on the conventional MR imaging characteristics. Grade I injury represents periosteal edema, Grade II represents mild bone marrow edema visible only on fsT2-W sequence but not on T1W sequence, Grade III (inter-trabecular

Table 2: Normal and abnormal bone marrow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MR technique</th>
<th>MR sequence</th>
<th>Normal marrow or RMR</th>
<th>Pathologic marrow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>T1-W SE</td>
<td>SI&gt; muscle/disc</td>
<td>SI=or &lt; muscle/disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PD-W/T2-W</td>
<td>Minimally increased SI&lt;fat</td>
<td>SI approaching or similar to fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STIR/fsT2-W/fsPD-W</td>
<td>Minimally increased SI. Less commonly focal islands of red marrow</td>
<td>Increased SI approaching vessels/epi-apophysys involvement/focal lesions/fost tissue mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1-W IV Gad</td>
<td>&lt;35 % Enhancement</td>
<td>&gt;35 % Enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem solving techniques</td>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>&gt;20 % SI loss on out-of-phase imaging</td>
<td>&lt;20 % SI loss/ increased SI on out-of-phase imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DWI</td>
<td>No diffusion restriction</td>
<td>Diffusion restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MRS</td>
<td>No Choline peak</td>
<td>Choline peak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: MRI characteristics of various categories of bone marrow lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MR technique</th>
<th>MR sequences</th>
<th>Category I</th>
<th>Category II</th>
<th>Category III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>T1-W SE</td>
<td>SI&gt; muscle/disc</td>
<td>SI= or &lt; muscle/disc</td>
<td>Heterogeneous SI=, &gt; or &lt; muscle/disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PD-W/T2-W</td>
<td>SI approaching or similar to fat</td>
<td>SI approaching or similar to fat</td>
<td>Heterogeneous increased or decreased SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STIR/fsT2-W/fsPD-W</td>
<td>Increased signal approaching vessels/epi-apophysys, generally no focal lesions</td>
<td>Increased signal approaching vessels/epi-apophysys involvement, focal lesions</td>
<td>Heterogeneous increased or decreased SI with or without focal lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1-W IV Gad</td>
<td>Minimal enhancement</td>
<td>Intense enhancement</td>
<td>Minimal to none enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem-solving techniques</td>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>&gt;20 % SI loss on out-of-phase imaging</td>
<td>Neoplasm: &lt;20 % SI loss/no SI</td>
<td>&gt;20 % SI loss on out-of-phase imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DWI</td>
<td>Usually no diffusion restriction</td>
<td>Neoplasm/ps: Diffusion restriction</td>
<td>Usually no diffusion restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MRS</td>
<td>No choline peak</td>
<td>Neoplasm; choline peak</td>
<td>No choline peak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, According to Crema D Zanetti B These fractures Depending upon the Insufficiency fracture of the knee, Osteoporotic vertebral fractures and insufficiency fractures. The fracture line is commonly visible as a linear, solid or broken T1 and T2 hypointensity surrounded by a cloud of edema. Several reports on stress fracture in athletes are available and virtually every bone can be involved depending on the type of activity. Typical location for stress fractures are the pelvis with lesser trochanter, femoral neck, tibia, and sacrum from running; and shoulder in athletes involved in throwing and lifting, such as in baseball players. Rarer locations are: rib fractures in weightlifters, olecranon in baseball players, hook of the hamate in golf players, tennis players, or baseball players, and proximal ulna in throwing athletes. Insufficiency fractures affect mainly elderly patients with osteoporosis. A survey of 60 cases showed that the mean age of the patients was 72.5 years for women and 59.5 years for men. Osteoporotic vertebral fractures and insufficiency fractures of the sacrum are a well-known cause of low back pain in elderly women. Insufficiency fracture of the knee, previously known as spontaneous osteonecrosis of the knee (SONK), was thought to be the result of osteonecrosis, but more recent data suggests that these injuries, in most cases, represent subchondral insufficiency fracture of the medial femur condyle or medial tibial plateau in the setting of meniscal tears/recent meniscectomy. These fractures are commonly seen as a subtle focal subchondral area of T2 hypointensity in the background of extensive bone marrow edema and fascial edema in the acute stages and subsequently convert into subchondral sclerosis/cystic change in subacute/chronic stages. Most subjects with subchondral insufficiency injuries experience sudden pain and do not, in general, have any systematic disease, whereas patients with osteonecrosis present with insidious onset of pain and have underlying systemic disease. Moreover, the imaging features of osteonecrosis (AVN) are different. AVN is commonly seen as a half-moon-shaped lesion or a serpiginous lesion with a “double line” sign on T2W images, which is characterized by a peripheral low-intensity rim and inner high-intensity line. Additionally, the marrow inside the lesion may be isointense to the normal bone marrow or may sometimes contain fat/edema or hemorrhage. Finally, osteonecrosis shows relatively mild bone marrow lesions and fascial edema, as compared to insufficiency fractures. CRPS is another condition associated with bone marrow edema. The pattern in these patients is mainly localized in the foot, tibia, and femur in descending order. The appearance is patchy/reticular and more diffuse compared to the bone marrow edema in stress reaction. Depending upon the stage of disease, soft tissue edema (acute/subacute stage) and soft tissue atrophy (chronic stage) may be associated. However, two important pitfalls should be kept in mind. In children and adolescents, patchy/reticular appearance of the marrow may be normally observed with patchy red marrow conversion. In those cases, an asymmetric larger confluent area of more T2 brightness should be looked for the diagnosis of pathologies such as superimposed bone contusion or fracture or infection. Also, disuse osteoporosis can give similar marrow appearance, e.g. foot and ankle of a person with previous cast placement in the proximal leg or knee may show marrow signal abnormality identical to the CRPS. Therefore, the findings should be clinically correlated for appropriate diagnosis.

Bone marrow edema is very common in osteoarthritis (OA) as well as in both seropositive and seronegative inflammatory arthropathies. While OA results in asymmetric findings (unicompartment/single joint), inflammatory arthropathies frequently involve multiple joints, or may produce relatively symmetric disease. Several studies have demonstrated that in OA patients, bone marrow edema is related to damage of overlying cartilages, with subchondral edema-like changes that progress into cysts over time. Zaho et al. in their study found a correlation between bone marrow edema and more advanced cartilage lesions at 12 months follow-up of 19 patients with knee OA. A 30-month follow-up of 1344 OA patients with knee MRI revealed that meniscus pathology correlates with occurrence as well as worsening of bone marrow edema. These findings were confirmed by another study that found a correlation between bone marrow edema and ipsilateral medial and lateral meniscus lesions. According to Crema et al. who studied 1283 knee MRI exams, subchondral cyst-like lesions develop in the region of bone marrow edema in individuals who have or are at risk to have OA. Zanetti et al. studied the histological...
Transient osteoporosis of hip is a much less common condition than previously thought/reported. Most of these patients have an underlying subchondral insufficiency fracture or stress response. Histologically, it may correlate with edematous changes, mild fibrosis, vascular congestion, and/or interstitial edema without osteonecrosis in femoral head.[33] On MR imaging, the lesion homogenously involves the femoral head and, sometimes, may extend to the femoral neck.[34‑36] There may also be associated minimal joint effusion and fascial edema. Similar to other category I lesions, the bone marrow edema is more pronounced on T2W imaging than on T1W imaging.[36] According to Vande Berg et al., lack of subchondral changes in T2W sequence and/or on post‑contrast T1W sequence has 100% positive predictive value for the diagnosis of transient osteoporosis.[37]

### Category II Lesions

This category includes important pathologies which usually show aggressive behavior and poorer prognosis, if not treated appropriately. The two major entities include infection and tumor. Conventional radiography plays an important role in the identification and characterization of these lesions, especially the presence of benign or malignant periostitis, focal lytic or sclerotic lesions, and cortical destruction or permeation. These pathologies are generally associated with soft tissue masses and/or fluid collections and have more pronounced lower signal intensity than muscles on T1W sequence, compared to the lesions in category I. Focal lesions are commonly seen with neoplastic etiology. Multiple/multifocal lesions may be observed with primary malignancies associated with skip lesions, or with bony metastases/lymphoma. In infectious cases, Brodie’s abscess may be seen as a confluent oval or elongated lesion approaching the physeal plate. Two layers of the abscess wall may be apparent similar to layers of granulation tissue (outer)/mineralized (inner) layer, as observed with organized abscesses in other solid soft tissue viscera, such as liver. Additionally, extensive soft tissue edema in the adjacent fascial planes/fat pads and fluid collections are important markers of infection as opposed to malignancy.

Diffusely infiltrative malignancy, such as leukemia [Figure 2A‑E], lymphoma, and breast metastases [Figure 3A‑F], could be sometimes difficult to differentiate from diffuse red marrow reversion. Problem‑solving techniques, such as CSI [Figures 2C, D and 3E, F] and DWI [Figure 3G], are prudently used for diagnosis to differentiate tumors from focal islands of normal marrow or other benign bone marrow lesions. The use of in‑phase and out‑of‑phase imaging is probably the best known problem‑solving technique for bone marrow pathologies. Disler et al. found that in‑phase and out‑of‑phase imaging was helpful to predict the malignancy in a bone lesion.[40] Several other articles confirmed the data and substantiated the utility of CSI to distinguish benign from malignant vertebral lesions.[7,38,39] Recently, Zajick et al. proposed a cut‑off decrease of more than 20% of the signal intensity in out‑of‑phase imaging to predict malignancy in vertebral body abnormality.[40] DWI is another technique which may help differentiate malignant from benign lesions. Several research articles have documented that restrictive diffusion with high signal intensity on DWI is observed in neoplastic (pathologic) fractures as compared to osteoporotic fractures.[41‑43] To our knowledge, Bauer et al. were the first authors to address the utility of DWI to differentiate benign from malignant spine lesions.[9] The authors analyzed 39 compression fractures with DWI. According to their study, malignant vertebral fractures showed high signal intensity on DWI, whereas benign lesions showed low signal intensity. On the contrary, literature on osteomyelitis and tumors of the peripheral skeleton is very scant compared to that on spine. Yasumoto et al. found that DWI had a high specificity to detect bone marrow infiltration with
malignant lymphoma. Herneth et al. reported several cases of peripheral osteomyelitis with increased tissue diffusivity. These findings were confirmed by some other studies on skull base osteomyelitis that did not show diffusion restriction either. Therefore, it seems plausible that ADC lower than 1.1-1.2x10\(^{-3}\) mm/s\(^2\) values can aid in distinguishing osteomyelitis from neoplasm. On the contrary, Pui et al. reported 69 tuberculous, 9 pyogenic, and 50 malignant marrow lesions that showed similarly restricted ADC values. However, more studies are needed to explore the role of fractionated ADC values rather than mean ADC values using multiple \(b\) values (diffusion moments) to assess the utility of this novel technique. MRS is an experimental technique, although Choline peak seems to be a reliable indicator of increased cellularity. To the best of our knowledge, there is no data exploring MRS in osteomyelitis, except for an isolated case among 36 patients reported by Wang et al. In this series, the patient with tuberculosis arthritis did not show a Choline peak. MRS has been reported to be useful in bone marrow lipid assessment in osteoporosis.

Having discussed both conventional and advanced MR techniques, one of the most important MRI indications in this category is the assessment of osteomyelitis in the adult population with diabetic foot. Diabetic pedal osteomyelitis is almost always the result of spread of infection from a skin ulcer and occurs most frequently around the fifth and first metatarso-phalangeal joints and calcaneocuboid joint. Confluent areas of low signal intensity on T1W sequence are an important feature used to differentiate osteomyelitis from reactive bone marrow edema. Reactive edema shows bone marrow edema similar to category I lesions, with predominantly high signal intensity on fluid-sensitive sequence and either a normal bone marrow or a hazy subcortical reticular distribution of hypointensity on T1W sequence. One should not overlook cortical destruction (loss of expected T1 hypointensity of the cortex) as an important sign of osteomyelitis on MR imaging, similar to the one used on radiographs. This sign is particularly well seen on gadolinium-enhanced images, similar to fluid collections/sinus tract associated with infections. One challenging differential diagnosis for the radiologist is to distinguish osteomyelitis from neuropathic joint. In the acute stage, the imaging findings of the neuropathic joint are very similar to those of osteomyelitis, with features such as subchondral T1 low signal intensity, T2 high signal intensity, and joint effusion. In the subacute and chronic stages, there is progressive bone erosion, subchondral cyst formation, bone destruction, debris, disorganization, dislocation, and decreasing bone marrow edema. The most reliable criteria that favor neuropathic arthropathy over osteomyelitis are predisposition for tarso-metatarsal joints, bilateralism, and the lack of soft tissue findings of infection such as skin ulcer, abscess, and/or sinus tract. Moreover, the disappearance of previously present subchondral cysts.

![Figure 3 (A-G): Coronal T1W (A) and coronal 3D STIR (B) images through both thighs. There are diffuse and inhomogeneous bone marrow lesions with hypointense signal intensity of the femurs on T1W and moderately hyperintense on STIR images with bilateral epiphysial involvement. Corresponding coronal pre- (C) and post-gadolinium (D) 3D T1W images show diffuse enhancement of the lesions. The drop of signal intensity SI on out-of-phase image (E) is less than 20% (F). DWI (G) image at the level of the proximal right femoral shaft shows restricted diffusion (arrow). Bone marrow lesions category II.](image)
favors osteomyelitis. Additionally, “ghost sign,” referring to a low signal intensity bone structure on T1W sequence that “reappears” as high signal intensity on fluid-sensitive sequence, suggests superimposed osteomyelitis.\(^{[58]}\)

**Category III lesions**

Category III lesions show complex and more heterogeneous alterations of density on conventional radiography and signal intensity on T1W and T2W MR images. There may be associated focal lesions, but usually there are no associated soft tissue masses and/or fluid collections, except areas of extramedullary hematopoesis, which can be seen in hematopoietic disorders [Figure 4A-G]. This category encompasses pathologies such as, metabolic/hematopoietic disorders including Gaucher’s disease, thalassemia, and sickle cell disease, in which marrow MR signal alterations are frequently complicated by aseptic necrosis/infections/blood transfusion related blood product deposition. Other conditions include infiltrative malignancy treated by chemo-radiation/bone marrow transplant, Paget’s disease in acute-subacute phases, and serous marrow lesions observed in HIV-infected patients having lipodystrophy syndrome treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy.\(^{[57-59]}\) These conditions can be highly heterogeneous and difficult to distinguish from active malignancy. However, there are usually small islands of microscopic fatty marrow in successfully treated lesions.\(^{[58]}\)

Therefore, imaging findings should be correlated with clinical findings and other available biochemical results, and problem-solving techniques such as CSI [Figure 4F-G] and DWI [Figure 4E] should be frequently employed in these cases for exclusion of malignancy. Additionally, DWI may also be used to assess treatment response in tumors, as increasing ADC values (reflecting necrosis/apoptosis) usually correlate with good treatment response.\(^{[60]}\)

Finally, a unique pattern of diffusely hypointense marrow on all MR imaging sequences may be observed in a limited number of conditions such as hemosiderosis, sclerotic bone conditions such as renal osteodystrophy/osteopetrosis/pyknody sostosis/fluorosis, and myelofibrosis. MR imaging is helpful in finding superimposed complications such as infection/stress reaction/fracture by demonstrating T2-hyperintense edema and/or enhancement at the respective site(s) of insult.

To conclude, bone marrow lesion can be seen as a non-specific finding in a variety of conditions. A systematic approach to its evaluation by categorization is essential with prudent use of both conventional and problem-solving techniques, such as CSI and DWI, for accurate diagnosis and appropriate patient management.
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