Bipolar radiofrequency-induced thermotherapy of great saphenous vein: Our initial experience
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Abstract
The incidence of varicose veins in lower limbs is increasing in the Indian subcontinent. With the advent of radiofrequency ablation (RFA), an effective minimally invasive technique is now available to treat varicose veins. RFA can be performed with either unipolar or bipolar probes. We present a simple technique for bipolar radiofrequency-induced thermotherapy of the great saphenous vein. This can be a safe and effective alternative to surgical procedures.
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Introduction
Varicosity of the lower limb veins is a common vascular problem encountered in practice. The most common site of reflux is the great saphenous vein (GSV). The standard management for GSV reflux has been surgical ligation of the sapheno-femoral junction (SFJ), with stripping of the GSV. In the recent past there has been a radical shift towards minimally invasive techniques, one of which is radiofrequency ablation (RFA). RFA operates by resistive heating of the vein wall. It is clearly effective and less painful than surgery and laser ablation, and has established itself as the treatment of choice for varicose veins. Bipolar probes for RFA have only recently been introduced in India. We present a step-by-step approach for the use of bipolar probes in RFA of the GSV.

Materials and Methods
Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Patients with Doppler evidence of an incompetent SFJ and reflux into the GSV (more than 0.5 s) are candidates for RFA. Exclusion criteria include deep venous thrombosis, extremely tortuous GSV identified during preprocedure USG, inability to ambulate, and pregnancy.

Equipment
Bipolar radiofrequency-induced thermotherapy (RFITT) is. The basic equipment consists of a radiofrequency generator unit (CelonLab POWER, Celon AG Medical Instruments, Teltow, Germany) with a maximum power output of 250 watts and a bipolar RFITT applicator (CelonProCurve® 1200-S15, Celon AG Medical Instruments, Teltow, Germany). The applicator’s diameter is 2 mm; it has an active length of 15 mm and a flexible shaft of 115 cm [Figure 1].

Procedure
1. The patient is placed in the reverse Trendelenburg position.
2. Local anesthetic cream is applied along the course of the GSV.
3. The GSV is accessed using the Seldinger technique; a 70-cm long 6F sheath is positioned with its proximal end 4 cm distal to the SFJ [Figure 2].
4. The radiofrequency applicator is inserted through the sheath and positioned 2 cm below the SFJ.
5. Under USG guidance, perivenous tumescent fluid (a mixture of 300 ml of normal saline and 30 ml of 1% Xylocaine®) is injected to reduce treatment-related pain [Figure 3], reduce vein diameter, and protect perivenous tissue from heat damage.[2]
6. Mild intravenous sedation is given.
7. The radiofrequency applicator, along with the sheath, is steadily pulled out (at approximately 0.5 cm/s) [Figure 4].
8. The procedure is terminated when the wider mark on the shaft of the applicator is visible at the puncture site.
9. Post procedure, a single dose of 40 or 60mg low-molecular-weight heparin is injected subcutaneously.
10. Crepe bandage is applied from the foot to the groin immediately after the procedure; this is to be removed the following day and replaced with a type II compression stocking (30–40 mmHg) for a period of at least 3 weeks. All patients are mobilized within 1 hr of the procedure.

Follow-up
All patients are advised to come for follow-up after 7, 21, 90, and 180 days; at these visits, the patient’s symptoms are recorded and clinical and Doppler examinations are done.

Discussion
Endovenous RFA is a minimally invasive technique for the treatment of varicose veins. In bipolar RFITT, the venous wall acts as a conductor between the two poles.[3] The high-
frequency alternating current between the poles heats the vein wall to 85–95°C, causing shrinkage of the vein and adherence of the walls, thus resulting in total occlusion of the vessel. When large veins are involved, intravascular thrombosis is also noted.

Perala et al, reported a study of patients who underwent RFA of GSV where none of the subjects showed recanalization even after 3 years.[5] In a study by Probstle et al, the vein occlusion and reflux-free rate was about 99.6% at 6 months.[6]

The incidence of prolapse of thrombus from the GSV into the deep venous system has ranged from 0% to 16% in various studies.[2] If this is seen at the follow-up scan in the first week, anticoagulant therapy needs to be administered [Figure 5].

Rautio et al, demonstrated less postprocedural pain, shorter sick leave periods, and faster restoration of physical function in RFA patients as compared to patients treated with surgery.[4] Stötter et al, also reported lower pain score in RFA patients than in patients undergoing stripping in their randomized trial.[7]

A randomized controlled trial by Morrison et al, showed better primary obliteration and less postoperative pain and bruising in RFA compared to laser therapy of GSV.[8]

In our initial experience of 52 patients, no recanalization of the GSV has been seen over follow-up periods ranging from 7 to 180 days. None of the patients had any major complication, other than two cases with extension of thrombus into the common femoral vein (treated successfully with a one-week course of low-molecular-weight heparin) and one case of thrombophlebitis (treated with anti-inflammatory medication). Our patients are still being followed up.

In conclusion, endovenous RFA is a safe and effective method and an alternative to surgical procedures in the treatment of varicose veins. Even though RFA requires high doses of thermal energy, it is well tolerated by patients and has only insignificant side effects.
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