Effect of Zofenopril on regeneration of sciatic nerve crush injury in a rat model
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Abstract

Background: Zofenopril is an antioxidant agent which has been shown to have beneficial effects in hypertension and heart failure. The aim of this study was to test the effects of Zofenopril on nerve regeneration and scarring in a rat model of peripheral nerve crush injury.

Methods: Twenty-one adult Sprague-Dawley rats underwent a surgical procedure involving right sciatic nerve crush injury. 15 mg/kg Zofenopril was administered orally to seven rats in group Z for seven days. Seven rats in group S received saline orally for seven days. Seven rats in the control group C received no drug after crush injury. Fourteenth and 42nd days after injury, functional and electromyography assessments of nerves were performed. Functional recovery was analyzed using a walking track assessment, and quantified using the sciatic functional index (SFI). After these evaluations, all rats were sacrificed and microscopic evaluations were performed.

Results: The Sciatic functional Index (SFI) in group Z on 14th day is different significantly from group S and group C (p = 0.037). But on 42nd day there was no difference between groups (p = 0.278). The statistical analyses of electromyelographic (EMG) studies showed that the latency in group Z is significantly different from group S (p = 0.006) and group C (p = 0.045). But on 42nd day there was no difference between groups like SFI (p = 0.147). The amplitude was evaluated better in group Z than others (p < 0.05). In microscopic evaluation, we observed the highest number of nerve regeneration in the group Z and the lowest in the group C. But it was not significant statistically.

Conclusion: Our results demonstrate that Zofenopril promotes the regeneration of peripheral nerve injuries in rat models.
**Introduction**

Nerve injuries in extremity surgery occur usually by crush or tension type rather than incision or rupture. Orthopedic surgeons strive these type problems while treating long bone fracture and some times after surgical operations. Demyelination and remyelination, axonal degeneration and regeneration, focal, multifocal or diffuse nerve fiber loss and endoneural edema may be encountered due to crush injury [1-3]. It is also known that free oxygen radicals increase and cause tissue damage due to the tissue destruction after the injury [3,4].

There is an extensive degeneration of the distal segment, known as Wallerian Degeneration after an axonal lesion [1]. The proximal stump that is connected to the cell body can regenerate to reinnervate the target organs especially in the peripheral nervous system. Although this process is often facilitated by a permissive environment in the periphery, some factors can impede normal return to function, such as the distance from injury site, metabolic disturbances, age and type of lesion [5-8]. Experimentally, a lot of medications were used in rat crush injury models such as steroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and vitamins [9-11]. Some antioxidants such as Acetyl-L carnitine (ALCAR), FK506, polyethylene glycol (PEG) are used experimentally in treatment of nerve crush injuries [12-14].

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors are drugs with different structures and activities used to treat heart failure and hypertension [15]. Zofenopril and captopril are the only ACE inhibitors with sulphhydryl groups (SH) and consequent potential antioxidant activity [16]. This activity may contribute to the notable cardio- and endothelium protective effects of Zofenopril [17].

In this study, we have evaluated the effect of Zofenopril on functional recovery following sciatic nerve crush injury in rats.

**Methods**

The experimental protocols have been reviewed and approved by our University Animal Care and Ethic Committee. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their distress. 21 adult Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 250–275 g underwent unilateral (right) sciatic nerve crush. Test animals in group Z received Zofenopril (15 mg/kg/day for 7 days) (n = 7), group S received normal saline for 7 days following surgery (n = 7), and group C control animals (n = 7). The animals were kept in standard room conditions and fed with standard rat diet and water ad libitum.

All of the operations were performed under the microscope by same surgeon. The right lateral thigh was operated by same surgeon. The right lateral thigh was operated by same surgeon.
potentials were recorded from the intrinsic foot muscles with surface electrodes. Finally, the distance between the two sets of stimulating electrodes was measured on the skin with a ruler to the nearest 1 mm, and the conduction velocity was calculated. Both experimental (right) and normal (left) nerves were measured.

**Morphological analysis**
The crushed sciatic nerves were immersed immediately just after sacrifice in a drop of fixation solution, containing freshly prepared, ice cold 4% paraformaldehyde for an hour. Then, they were incubated at 0.5% saccharose solution in PBS buffer overnight and embedded on cryomatrix (Shandon). 10 μm thick transverse frozen sections were cut using a cryomicrotome (Leica, CM1900). Sections were kept in a humidified chamber with wet gauze. 10 μL blocks solution, including 0.1% Triton-X, was added to each section. Panaxonal marker NE 14 (anti-nfh antibody) is used for immunohistochemical staining as primary and anti Mouse IgG 488 antibody as secondary. Macroscopical nerve evaluation has been performed according to regenerated axon number by immunofluorescent technique. The sections were analyzed using confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 510 Meta). Crushed, proximal and distal to crushed area of the sciatic nerve were sectioned two times and the averages used for evaluation. They were compared for immunoreactivity with image analysis. Staining intensity of the crushed, proximal, distal regions were recorded as percentile. Each group of experimental rats analyzed statistically.

**Statistical Analysis**
The data were expressed as means ± SD. Distributions of the data of the groups were assessed with one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z test and were found normal (P > 0.05). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on the data to examine differences among groups. If a significant group effect was found, a Tukey HSD test was used to identify the location of differences between groups. A p value less than 0.05 was statistically significant. Independent Student t test was used to compare EMG values of intact extremity and operated extremity.

**Results**

**Walking-track analysis**
The SFI was greatly decreased for both control and experimental groups 14 days post-injury, and began showing signs of recovery on day 42nd. The SFI values of group Z and S (p = 0.037) and C (p = 0.034) were significantly higher degree in the second week (Figure 1). At sixth week SFI values were close to each other in all groups. There was not a statistical difference between groups (p = 0.278). SFI values for 2nd and 6th weeks are given in Table 1 and Table 2.

The EMG studies of the Subjects on the 14th day showed that right sciatic nerve has a severe injury according to left (intact) side that is statistically different (paired t test) (Τ = -3.31 P = 0.016).

The EMG measurement of rats in the second week for the latency significant degree between the groups are different (p = 0.007). The latency in the 2nd week of the group Z was significantly lower than group S (p = 0.006) and C (p = 0.045) (Figure 2). But this difference disappeared in the 6th week (p = 0.147). EMG results for 2nd and 6th weeks are given in Table 1 and 2. The amplitude values are examined, similar to the latency, there was a significant difference between the groups (p < 0.001) at 2nd week, but not on the 6th week (p = 0.374) (Figure 3).

**Morphological analysis results**
In all groups, lesion area, the proximal and distal parts of the lesion were estimated microscopically. The number of the fibrils found decreased in the distal to lesion nerve in all groups (Figure 4). The lowest regenerated fibril number estimated in group C, and highest in group Z.

**Discussion**
Severe anatomical and functional disorders can be seen after peripheral nerve injury. This type of injury frequency is increasing with technology in industrialized societies. Nerve injuries in extremity represent usually by crush or tension type rather than incision or rupture in surgery or trauma. Spontaneous regeneration through the distal nerve stump with good functional return can be expected.

**Table 1: EMG results for 2nd week, *: Group Z is significantly different.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zofenopril (Group Z) (n = 7)</th>
<th>Saline (Group S) (n = 7)</th>
<th>Control (Group C) (n = 7)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFI (mean ± sd, range)</td>
<td>-12.84 ± 2.86</td>
<td>-22.88 ± 5.03*</td>
<td>-23.02 ± 10.53*</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency (msec, mean ± sd, range)</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.28 (1.10–1.90)</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.23* (1.80–2.50)</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.36* (1.40–2.40)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude (mV, mean ± sd, range)</td>
<td>8.77 ± 2.08 (5.70–11.50)</td>
<td>5.12 ± 1.39* (3.60–7.90)</td>
<td>4.94 ± 1.34* (3.80–7.50)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
after this type of injury [20,21]. This type of nerve injuries are treated pharmacological agents instead of surgery.

For this purpose, many pharmacological agents are tried experimentally and successful results were reported [9-14]. However, these studies did not go beyond the experimental studies. The healing process after nerve injury is reduced mainly free oxygen radicals rather than inflammation and edema [2]. Therefore, in recent years many researchers started to stand on the antioxidant mechanism. Antioxidant materials contribute nerve regeneration via free oxygen radicals scavenging effect [22]. Antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase and catalase and GSH-Px are found in mammalian organisms and protect cells from toxic effects of free radicals. While free radicals production, lipid peroxidation develops on cell membrane and this can lead to final cell death. The protective antioxidant enzyme activity increases in response to free radical formation. There are many experimental studies available showing free radicals production and importance of lipid peroxidation on cell membrane injury in nervous system injuries. Free radicals induced traumatic cell damage is basic mechanism of cell death. Nevertheless, catalase and GSH-Px traumatic damage such as the FOR cleaners provide partial improvement. [23]

Studies using the photo-oxidation of riboflavin sensitized by dianisidine to generate active oxygen species have clearly defined the remarkable difference in the antioxidant action of SH-containing compared with non-SH-containing, ACE inhibitors [24]. The SH-containing, ACE inhibitors zofenopril, captopril, epicaptopril (the stereoisomer of captopril, which is devoid of ACE inhibitory properties) and fentiapril were found to be effective scavengers of non-superoxide free radicals, while four non-SH-containing ACE inhibitors were inactive. The protective effects from free radical-induced cell damage of SH-containing ACE inhibitors have also been assessed in cultured endothelial cells exposed to a superoxide anion and hydroxyl radical generating system [25]. Pre-incubation of the cells with captopril, epicaptopril or zofenopril produced a concentration dependent (10 – 200 μM) inhibi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>Control (Group C) (n = 7)</th>
<th>Saline (Group S) (n = 7)</th>
<th>Zofenopril (Group Z) (n = 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCIATICA FUNCTION INDEX (SFI)</strong></td>
<td>-12.20 ± 8.90 [(-31.74)-(-5.67)]</td>
<td>-9.50 ± 3.35 [(-14.11)-(-5.53)]</td>
<td>-7.19 ± 2.38 [(-12.28)-(-5.67)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LATENCY (msec, mean ± sd, range)</strong></td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.46 (1.20–2.40)</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.31 (1.40–2.20)</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.23 (1.00–1.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMPLITUDE (mV, mean ± sd, range)</strong></td>
<td>10.31 ± 2.88 (6.90–14.40)</td>
<td>11.03 ± 3.52 (6.30–17.00)</td>
<td>12.61 ± 2.69 (9.10–16.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1
Sciatic function index (SFI) results for 2nd week, Cl. Confidence intervale.

Figure 2
EMG results for 2nd week, (latency), Cl. Confidence intervale.
Figure 3
EMG results for 2nd week, (amplitude), CI. Confidence interval.

Figure 4
NFH immunoreactivity in the sections of proximal, middle (crush site) and distal parts of the sciatic nerves from animals in control (C), saline (S) and zofenopril (Z) groups. The lowest regenerated fibril number estimated in group C, and highest in group Z.
tion of malonyldialdehyde formation. Both loss of cell viability and membrane blebbing were reduced by SH-containing ACE inhibitors at concentrations as low as 10 μM. In contrast, lisinopril and enalaprilat were ineffective at concentrations up to 200 μM.

Because of known antioxidant and free oxygen radicals scavenging effect of Zofenopril; it is used in experimental studies on ischemia-reperfusion damages in brain, kidney, heart and liver tissue [26,27].

It has higher lipophilic effect than other ACE inhibitors with the long-term tissue penetration features tissue. Thus the long duration of effect is provided. In this way, and vascular tissue ACE myocardium and other drugs inhibition effects last much longer and has been shown to be effective [16].

Sunderland second-degree injury or axonotmesis means a breakdown of the axon and distal Wallerian degeneration but keeping of the continuity of the endoneural sheath. Spontaneous regeneration through the distal nerve stump with good functional return can be expected after this type of injury [20,21]. As the restored pattern of innervations is identical to the original, the study of this nerve lesion provides a good model for establishing the ontogeny of functional nerve recovery.

Electrophysiological, morphological and histologic studies were employed for evaluation of experimental peripheral nerve regeneration [1-5]. But none of them was enough to determine the nerve recovery. MedinaCELL at al. reported walking gait analysis for rat sciatic nerve. Later this method is modified and named as sciatic functional index [3].

The SFI increased and normal values were achieved at week 7 after sciatic nerve injury. Several authors reported nearly same results whose studies have also shown normal walking patterns only after the first month of post crush [28,29]. In contrast to these experiments, some authors reported a full recovery at the third and fourth weeks [30]. The difference in the rate of motor functional recovery may relate to the pathophysiologic response of peripheral nerves to the magnitude of different crushing loads [31].

In this study, the SFI in Zofenopril group was significantly higher than other groups in 2nd week. We believe that this medication accelerates nerve crush injury healing in rats. Our findings in SFI and EMG studies in 2nd week support this improvement. In the second week after injury and the EMG test results done in six weeks on the morphological analysis results support these findings.

Conclusion
As a result, Zofenopril has been found effective in promoting nerve regeneration in sciatic nerve crush injury rat model. These molecules can be used also for the human injured nerve but additional work is needed.
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