

**Re: Becker RHA, Frick AD, Burger F, Scholtz H, Potgelter JH:  
A Comparison of the Steady-State Pharmacokinetics and  
Pharmacodynamics of a Novel Rapid-Acting Insulin Analog,  
Insulin Glulisine and Regular Human Insulin in Healthy  
Volunteers Using the Euglycemic Clamp Technique**  
Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2005; 113: 292 – 297

**Page 1, Abstract, column two**

We would like to make a correction to the Abstract, column two, as follows:

**Previous incorrect paragraph:** At steady state (90 – 120 min), insulin glulisine and RHI had equivalent glucose utilization ( $\text{GIR-AUC}_{\text{ss}}$ , 214  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  for glulisine, 209  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  for RHI) and infusion rates ( $\text{GIR}_{\text{ss}}$ , 1050 and 995  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ). Both insulins also presented equal total glucose disposal ( $\text{GIR-AUC}_{0-\text{clamp end}}$ , 1050 and 995  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ) and onset of activity within 20 min.

**Correct paragraph:** At steady state (90 – 120 min), insulin glulisine and RHI had equivalent glucose utilization ( $\text{GIR-AUC}_{\text{ss}}$ , **209**  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  for glulisine, **214**  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  for RHI) and infusion rates ( $\text{GIR}_{\text{ss}}$ , **7.0** and **7.2**  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ ). Both insulins also presented equal total glucose disposal ( $\text{GIR-AUC}_{0-\text{clamp end}}$ , **995** and **1050**  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ) and onset of activity within 20 min.

**Discussion, column one, paragraph 4**

Bott et al., 2004 should actually refer to **Rave et al., 2005**.

A. Horwarth  
(for the authors)

**Bibliography**

Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2005; 113: 409 © J. A. Barth Verlag in Georg Thieme Verlag KG ·  
Stuttgart · New York · ISSN 0947-7349