

ERRATA

Permanent Erb's Palsy: A Lack of a Relationship with Obstetrical Risk Factors

Joseph G. Ouzounian, M.D.,¹ Lisa M. Korst, M.D.,¹ and Jeffrey P. Phelan, M.D.¹

The publisher regrets an error in Table 4 of the above article in the *American Journal of Perinatology*, Volume 15, Number 4, p. 223. In the Levine et al⁹ reference, only 6 of the 36 cases of Erb's palsy reported were associated with shoulder dystocia, and 30 cases were not. The data in the original table stated that only 8 cases were *not* associated with shoulder dystocia. In addition, the Lipscomb et al data in the original table is listed as reference 24, but the correct listing is reference 25. The corrected table appears below.

Table 4 Studies Describing Cases of Erb's Palsy that Occurred in the Absence of Shoulder Dystocia

Authors	Reference	Cases of Erb's Palsy (n)	Erb's Palsy Without Shoulder Dystocia (n [%])
Levine et al.	9	36	30 (83%)
Lipscomb et al.	25	12	5 (42%)
McFarland et al.	1	4	4 (100%)
Nocon et al.	8	33	5 (15%)
Current study	—	63	4 (6%)

Delivered by Cesarean section.

American Journal of Perinatology, Volume 20, Number 5, 2003. Address for correspondence and reprint requests: Joseph G. Ouzounian, M.D., Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kaiser Permanente Medical Center, 1011 Baldwin Park Blvd., Baldwin Park, CA 91706. ¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Southern California School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California, and Pomona Valley Hospital Medical Center, Pomona, California. Copyright © 2003 by Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10001, USA. Tel: +1(212) 584-4662. 0735-1631,p;2003,20,05,275,276,ftx,en;ajp39999x.

