


## Endoscopic transmural hydro-dissection as a rescue therapy for rectal fibrotic adenoma

The rectum is considered a feasible and safe area in which to perform endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) [1, 2]. Therefore, ESD is a suitable approach for the treatment of high risk rectal adenomas. However, scarred and fibrotic polyps have recently been described as the only preoperative predictor of failed ESD in the rectum [2, 3]. Transanal endoscopic microsurgery (TEM) has been shown to be an effective treatment for lower rectal carcinomas staged as T1 or T2 [4], owing to the depth of the resection.




► **Fig. 1** Intensely scarred adenoma located 3 cm away from the dentate line.


We present the case of a 25 mm 0-Is type adenoma with wide scarred areas caused by two previous failed TEMs, located 3 cm away from the dentate line (► **Fig. 1**), in a 75-year-old man without any relevant medical history.

The pocket creation method was adopted because of the expected submucosal


fibrotic tissue [5]. The first stage of the tunnel was created without any drawbacks using an Erbejet-2-HybridKnife (Erbe Elektromedizin GmbH, Tübingen, Germany). However, when the area below the lesion was reached, dramatic fibrotic tissue became visible. This finding made it extremely difficult to identify



► **Video 1** Endoscopic transmural hydro-dissection by pocket creation method of a sessile scarred polyp located in the lower rectum.



► **Fig. 2** Transmural dissection planning diagram. **a** Different layers exposed during the procedure. **b** Final result. Red line indicates the previous location of the adenoma. SM, submucosal layer; ML, muscular layer.




► **Fig. 3** Detailed endoscopic transmural hydro-dissection procedure. **a – c** Initial step: tunnel creation across the submucosal layer. **d** Submucosal and muscular layer fusion without a feasible traditional cutting line. **e** Cutting of transverse and longitudinal muscular layer. **f** Dissection phase between transverse and longitudinal layer. **g** Remaining longitudinal muscular layer and perirectal fatty tissue exposed inside the tunnel. **h** Endoscopic submucosal dissection in retroflex position across the submucosal layer. **i – k** Final dissection steps. **l** Specimen mounted onto cork.

a feasible cutting line between the submucosal and muscular layers. At this point (stage 2), we decided to carry out a transmural dissection between the transverse and longitudinal muscular layers, in order to reach a feasible cutting line inside the submucosal layer (► **Fig. 2**, ► **Fig. 3**, ► **Video 1**). Consequently, we

successfully achieved en bloc resection of the lesion (stage 3). Subsequently, the muscular defect was closed using endoclips (Resolution; Boston Scientific, Marlborough, Massachusetts, USA). The patient was discharged 72 hours after the procedure.

The histopathological analysis revealed a transmural specimen with high grade dysplasia (R0 resection), intense fibrotic submucosal tissue, and superficial muscular propria layer (► **Fig. 4**).

In conclusion, the pocket creation method performed in fibrotic and scarred lesions located in the lower rectum,



► **Fig. 4** Microscopic analysis of the dissected specimen, focusing on the different stages of the procedure. SML, submucosal layer; ML, muscular layer.

allowed a safe and deep dissection across muscular layers. This approach might support ESD as a rescue therapy following failed TEM.

Endoscopy\_UCTN\_Code\_TTT\_1AO\_2AG

### Competing interests

None

### The authors

**Joaquín Rodríguez Sánchez<sup>1</sup>, Eva de la Santa Belda<sup>1</sup>, Lucía González López<sup>2</sup>, Carlos Sánchez García<sup>1</sup>, Bartolomé López Viedma<sup>1</sup>, José Olmedo Camacho<sup>1</sup>**

- 1 Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Unit, Hospital General Universitario de Ciudad Real, Ciudad Real, Spain
- 2 Pathology Department, Hospital General Universitario de Ciudad Real, Ciudad Real, Spain

### Corresponding author

**Joaquín Rodríguez Sánchez, MD, PhD**

Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Unit, Hospital General Universitario de Ciudad Real, C/Obispo Rafael Torija s/n, Ciudad Real 13005, Spain  
 Fax: +34-926-278000  
 joakinrodriguez@gmail.com

### References

- [1] Pimentel-Nunes P, Dinis-Ribeiro M, Ponchon T et al. Endoscopic submucosal dissection: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) guideline. *Endoscopy* 2015; 47: 829–854
- [2] Iacopini F, Saito Y, Bella A et al. Colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissection: predictors and neoplasm-related gradients of difficulty. *Endosc Int Open* 2017; 5: E839–E846
- [3] Hong SN, Byeon JS, Lee BI et al. Prediction model and risk score for perforation in patients undergoing colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissection. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2016; 84: 98–108
- [4] Sajid MS, Farag S, Leung P et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of published trials comparing the effectiveness of transanal endoscopic microsurgery and radical resection in the management of early rectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis* 2014; 16: 2–14

- [5] Sakamoto H, Hayashi Y, Miura Y et al. Pocket-creation method facilitates endoscopic submucosal dissection of colorectal laterally spreading tumors, non-granular type. *Endosc Int Open* 2017; 5: E123–E129

### Bibliography

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0044-101598>  
 Published online: 21.2.2018  
*Endoscopy* 2018; 50: E121–E123  
 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG  
 Stuttgart · New York  
 ISSN 0013-726X

### ENDOSCOPY E-VIDEOS

<https://eref.thieme.de/e-videos>



*Endoscopy E-Videos* is a free access online section, reporting on interesting cases and new

techniques in gastroenterological endoscopy. All papers include a high quality video and all contributions are freely accessible online.

This section has its own submission website at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/e-videos>