It Is Necessary to Pay Attention to Where the Pcom Originate from the Aneurysm to Treat with FD
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Abstract

Objective With the expansion of indications of flow diverter (FD) for cerebral aneurysms, FD placement for posterior communicating artery (Pcom) aneurysms has been approved. However, it is controversial whether Pcom aneurysms should be treated with FD or not. In this study, we report the outcome of FD treatment for Pcom aneurysms in Japan.

Materials and Methods We retrospectively analyzed 36 patients with 38 aneurysms treated with FD placement for Pcom aneurysms between 2015 and 2021 in our hospital. We divided our cases into complete occlusion (CO) and non-CO groups. And we extracted contributing factors to CO using multivariate analysis. We also compared the complications rate among the three types of FDs.

Results CO was obtained in 29 cases (79.3%), and complications were observed in 3 cases (7.9%). Multivariate analysis revealed that the type of Pcom branch from the aneurysmal dome was a significant factor contributing to CO (odds ratio: 0.0052, 95% confidence interval 0.00048–0.584, p = 0.029). In terms of complications, complication rate was significantly higher in the Flow-Redirection Endoluminal Device (FRED) group (p = 0.0491).

Conclusion The outcome for Pcom aneurysms treated by FD was acceptable. When treating, we must pay attention to where Pcom originates. Achieving CO with FD is difficult for aneurysms where the Pcom branches from the dome. Furthermore, when treating Pcom aneurysms with FRED, it is necessary to be careful about thromboembolic complications.
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Introduction

Ruptured cerebral aneurysm causes subarachnoid hemorrhage, and although the prognosis has improved with recent developments of treatments, the mortality rate is still high.1 Large-scale studies have shown that the posterior communicating artery (Pcom) bifurcation is a common site of cerebral aneurysm and it has high rupture rate.2,3 Therefore, Pcom aneurysms should be treated even if they are found in
unruptured. In recent years, the flow diverter (FD) has been developed as a novel device for aneurysm, and its efficacy has been established.\(^6\)\(^7\) In the early days of FD treatment, its indication was the proximal vessel of the Pcom bifurcation in the internal carotid artery to avoid the risk of perforator occlusion. In recent years, it has been revealed that fewer ischemic complications in the perforating branch after FD placement\(^8\)\(^9\) and its indication have been expanded to distal vessels including Pcom. On the other hand, the orifice or size of Pcom is more important to treat Pcom aneurysm with FD than the size or shape of aneurysm itself. There are many reports that fetal Pcom aneurysm, which is defined thicker Pcom than P1,\(^10\)\(^11\) is difficult to achieve complete occlusion (CO) with FD.\(^12\)\(^\text{–}\)\(^14\) However, there are various outcome of reports for Pcom aneurysm with FD and there is no consensus whether to treat Pcom aneurysm with FD or not. In this study, we retrospectively summarized outcome of Pcom aneurysm treated with FD in single center. We focused on anatomical characteristics such as the shape or origin of the Pcom and extracted the factors that contribute to CO.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patient Selection**

A total of 38 aneurysms in 36 patients who underwent placement with three types of FDs, Pipeline (Covidien/Medtronic, Irvine, California, United States) Flex or Pipeline Shield, and Flow-Redirection Endoluminal Device (FRED; Micro-Vention, Tustin, California, United States), and Surpass (Stryker Neurovascular, Fremont, California, United States) for Pcom aneurysms at our hospital between 2015 and 2020 were included in this analysis. Age, sex, history, and presence or absence of complications were reviewed from the electronic medical records. Complication was defined as National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score deterioration more than 3 points. This was a retrospective study conducted in a single center.

**Antiplatelet Therapy**

All patients were administered dual antiplatelet therapy with a daily dose of aspirin 100 mg and clopidogrel 50 to 75 mg, depending on body weight, at least 14 days before the day of surgery. Platelet aggregation was assessed within 1 day before the surgery. The platelet aggregation test was considered effective if there was a decrease in platelet aggregation compared with the control before antiplatelet medication. Patients whose platelet aggregation was judged to be ineffective, prasugrel 20 mg was loaded on the day of surgery. Subsequently, 3.75mg doses of prasugrel were administered as an alternative drug for clopidogrel. If the 1-year follow-up radiological examinations showed CO without significant in-stent stenosis, clopidogrel was discontinued, and aspirin was maintained for as long as 1.5 to 2 years. Patients with non-CO and/or significant in-stent stenosis should continue with aspirin until angiographic confirmation is made of CO and/or there is no further deterioration of in-stent stenosis.

**Endovascular Procedure**

All operations were performed under general anesthesia. General heparinization was performed to achieve that the activated clotting time was more than 300 seconds. Basically, pipeline was used most of the case because it had the most experience using it, but other FDs were also used in cases what it was judged to be relatively easy to deploy FD. One operator (HO) made the decision as to which of the three types of FDs to use. We also investigated whether there were differences in outcomes depending on the types of FDs. The method of FD deployment was performed in the same manner as in previous reports.\(^6\) And we performed adjunctive coiling for the cases that were likely to occur delayed aneurysmal rupture such as symptomatic case, and that with inertia-driven flow or high aspect ratio.\(^15\)

**Radiographical Assessment**

Angiography was performed every 6 months to 1 year after the operation. Aneurysm morphologies including dome-size and neck-width were evaluated with the images made with a biplane angiographic system (Siemens Artis Q BA Twin System, Siemens, Munich, Germany) with three-dimensional rotational angiography. Embolic status was evaluated using O’Kelly-Marotta (OKM) scale. The assessment for embolic status was performed independently by two of the authors. Discrepancies were resolved by another neurosurgeon. The embolic status was classified as OKM scale D for CO and OKM scale A, B, and C for incomplete occlusion.

In addition to embolic status, Pcom was also evaluated and classified as fetal type or nonfetal type according to the Pcom diameter. Fetal-type Pcom was defined as Pcom larger than ipsilateral P1.\(^10\)\(^11\) Furthermore, the origin of Pcom was classified into three types, which are 1. No branch, 2. Neck branch, and 3. Dome branch groups. “No branch type” was defined as an aneurysm without Pcom, “Neck branch type” as an aneurysm with Pcom originating from the neck, and “Dome branch type” as an aneurysm with Pcom originating from dome, respectively. The presence and absence of P1 were also evaluated.

We divided patients into two groups: those who achieved CO after FD placement for Pcom aneurysms and those who did not. Then, factors contributing to CO were extracted using univariate and multivariate analysis. The period from the date of surgery until angiography was performed was defined as the follow-up period.

**Statistical Analysis**

Binary variables showed presented as number and percentage. Continuous variables showed presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Differences between the two groups for the binary variables were performed using the Fisher’s exact test. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for differences between the two groups for continuous variables. Multivariate logistic analysis was performed to identify contributing factor to CO, using all candidate variables in which p-values are less than 0.05 in univariate analyses. p-Values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The data were obtained using statistical software, GraphPad Prism 9.
(San Diego, California, United States) or EZR version 1.55 (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Omiya, Saitama, Japan).

Results

Patients Characteristics
The patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean $\pm$ SD age of the patients who underwent FD placement was 67.3 $\pm$ 10.3 years, and the male to female ratio was 6:32. The mean aneurysmal dome size was 10.9 $\pm$ 5.6 mm and the mean neck size was 5.8 $\pm$ 2.1 mm. Fourteen patients (36.8%) had hypertension and eight patients (21.1%) had dyslipidemia. Platelet aggregation tests showed that collagen (COLL) and adenosine diphosphate (ADP) were 46.5 $\pm$ 14.0 and 46.3 $\pm$ 10.6, respectively. Fetal-type Pcom was with 15 aneurysms (39.5%), Pcom branch type was 7 (18.4%) in no branch type, 24 (63.2%) in neck branch type, and 7 (18.4%) in dome branch type, respectively. Six aneurysms (15.8%) had no P1. Twelve cases (31.6%) had history of treatment. The mean ($\pm$SD) follow-up period was 24.7 $\pm$ 16.8 months.

Radiological and Clinical Outcomes
The radiological and clinical outcome results are shown in Table 2. CO was obtained in 29 cases (79.3%). There were 32 cases (84.2%) that required the use of adjunctive coiling. Regarding FD types, 22 cases (57.9%) were Pipeline Shield, 8 cases (21.1%) were Pipeline Flex, 6 cases (15.8%) were FRED, and 2 cases (5.3%) were surpass. One case (2.6%) required additional treatment after FD treatment. Complications were observed in three cases (7.9%).

Univariate and Multivariate Analysis
Table 3 showed the results of univariate and multivariate analysis based on the results in Tables 1 and 2. When comparing the two groups by dividing the results into CO and non-CO groups, univariate analysis revealed statistically significant differences in age ($p = 0.021$) and aneurysmal dome size ($p = 0.00281$) and neck size ($p = 0.028$), and Pcom branch type ($p = 0.000227$). Multivariate analysis using these factors revealed that the only type of Pcom branch from the aneurysmal dome was a statistically significant factor contributing to incomplete occlusion (odds ratio: 0.0052, 95% confidence interval 0.00048–0.584, $p = 0.029$). Furthermore, when comparing the treatment results by FD type, no significant difference was found in the CO rate (Supplementary Table S1, available in the online version). On the other hand, in terms of complications, complication rate was significantly higher in the FRED group ($p = 0.0491$).

Discussion
In this study, the treatment results after FD treatment for Pcom bifurcation aneurysm were found to be acceptable, with CO in 76.3% and complication rate in 7.9%. In terms of complications, complications were significantly higher in cases using FRED. From the perspective of CO, it was suggested that the aneurysm in which the Pcom bifurcation branches from the dome is a factor that significantly lowers the occlusion rate, rather than whether the Pcom is fetal (Table 3, Figure and Supplementary Table S2, available in the online version).
Acceptable Outcome for Pcom Aneurysm with FD Treatment

The results of this FD treatment for Pcom were good compared with past reports.\textsuperscript{12–14} The high rate of adjunctive coiling (84.2%) may cause this good outcome. Looking at past reports of FD treatment for Pcom, there are few cases with adjunctive coiling. Furthermore, although it has been reported that it takes longer to achieve CO for fetal Pcom aneurysms,\textsuperscript{8} this may be a problem that can be overcome by using an adjunctive coiling.

Furthermore, when we looked at factors contributing to CO with multivariate analysis, we found that, unlike previous reports,\textsuperscript{8,9,12} fetal Pcom was not a contributing factor to CO. On the other hand, from the perspective of the origin of Pcom, the origin of Pcom from aneurysmal dome was found to be the only contributing factor to non-CO in multivariate analysis. Looking at previous reports, fetal Pcom and P1 absence are factors that contribute to non-CO, so when we summarize CO from these points of view, we find that the non-CO rate is highest at the origin of dome Pcom branch (\textsuperscript{►Fig. 1}). Even if you think about it theoretically, in the case of a Pcom that originates from dome, blood flows out to the Pcom, so to achieve CO, a thrombosed or endothelialized corridor must be created within the aneurysm, or because

---

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate analysis of factors contributing to CO for Pcom aneurysm after flow diverter placement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO (n = 29)</td>
<td>Non-CO (n = 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>65.1 ± 10.8</td>
<td>74.3 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n, %)</td>
<td>26 (89.7)</td>
<td>6 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm dome size (mm, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>9.4 ± 4.7</td>
<td>15.8 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm neck size (mm, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (n, %)</td>
<td>10 (34.5)</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia (n, %)</td>
<td>5 (17.2)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VerifyNow (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>464.0 ± 82.4</td>
<td>442.3 ± 40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARU</td>
<td>464.0 ± 82.4</td>
<td>442.3 ± 40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRU</td>
<td>222.3 ± 34.3</td>
<td>211.3 ± 18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet aggregation test (mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLL</td>
<td>45.4 ± 13.8</td>
<td>53.2 ± 15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>45.9 ± 10.7</td>
<td>48.6 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal-type Pcom (n, %)</td>
<td>9 (31.0)</td>
<td>6 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pcom branch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonbranch (n, %)</td>
<td>6 (20.7)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck branch (n, %)</td>
<td>22 (75.9)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dome branch (n, %)</td>
<td>1 (3.4)</td>
<td>6 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 absence (n, %)</td>
<td>3 (10.3)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of treatment (n, %)</td>
<td>8 (27.6)</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up duration (months)</td>
<td>8.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td>11.8 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjunctive coiling</td>
<td>23 (79.3)</td>
<td>9 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow diverter device type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline Shield</td>
<td>17 (58.6)</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline Flex</td>
<td>5 (17.2)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRED</td>
<td>5 (17.2)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surpass</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional treatment (n, %)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication (n, %)</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ARU, Aspirin reactivity unit; CI, confidence interval; CO, complete occlusion; FRED, Flow Redirection Endoluminal Device; NA, not applicable; Pcom, posterior communicating artery; PRU, P2Y12 activity unit; SD, standard deviation.

---
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the Pcom itself needs to be occluded, it is presumed that it is difficult to achieve CO without symptoms.

Complication of Pcom Aneurysm after FD Placement

This study found that complications were more common in cases using FRED. Regarding complications, all cases were thromboembolic complications that occurred early postoperatively. After FRED placement, the patient’s abnormalities were quickly discovered during intensive care unit management, and fortunately there was almost no effect on modified Rankin scale, but the use of FRED for Pcom aneurysms was hesitant. We thought that this result may be due to the special structure of FRED’s double layer. In cases involving areas with strong vascular tortuosity, such as Pcom, the possible mechanism is that a thrombus is formed in the gap between the double layers and flows distally. However, no such analysis results were obtained from this study. On the other hand, in our own institution’s experience, no thrombotic complications have occurred in cases where FRED was placed in the posterior circulation, which is different from the anterior circulation, which has strong tortuosity. Further analysis of the mechanism of FRED’s thrombotic tendency is awaited.

From the above, while the results of FD treatment for Pcom are not worse than what has been reported in the past, several tips must be considered when performing FD treatment. To obtain CO, it is necessary to pay particular attention to the type of Pcom, and when treating Pcom originating from the dome with FD, it is important to consider whether the Pcom can be sacrificed, the presence or absence of P1, and whether it is a fetal type Pcom. It will be necessary to evaluate the situation and switch to surgical treatment if necessary. However, there are only a few types of aneurysms with Pcom originate from the dome (18.4%), and we believe that most Pcom aneurysms can be treated using FD.

Limitations

There are limitations to our study. First, the number of cases is small. Only recently has FD been covered by insurance for Pcom in Japan. Partly due to this influence, our cases have a relatively high number of recurrence cases (31.6%). We are looking forward to further case collection, including initial treatment cases. Second, it is the bias of FD type. As for FD, pipeline has been used for a long time, so from the point of view of the surgeon, pipeline is familiar and used at a high rate. Both FRED and surpass have less experience with use than pipeline due to the small number of cases. It is also possible that the lack of experience in using FRED is the reason for the high number of complications with FRED.

Conclusion

The outcome for Pcom aneurysms treated by FD was acceptable. When treating, we must pay attention to where Pcom originates. Achieving CO with FD is difficult for aneurysms where the Pcom branches from the dome. Furthermore, when treating Pcom aneurysms with FRED, it is necessary to be careful about thromboembolic complications.
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