Positional Relationship between Two Microcatheters according to the Navigation Sequence within the Curved Vessel in Neuroendovascular Procedures
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Abstract
In neuroendovascular treatment, there are many opportunities to guide multiple catheters into the intracranial blood vessels. We report the relationship between two microcatheters according to the guiding order with experimental in vitro studies. We hypothesize that in a bent blood vessel such as a paraclinoid region of the internal carotid artery, the catheter that was first guided tended to pass through the inside, and the catheter that was guided later tended to pass through the outside. The in vitro verification was performed using a microcatheter and a balloon catheter in a silicone vascular aneurysmal model. As a result, it was found that the two catheters were arranged according to our hypothesis. This finding was also observed during the actual operation of balloon-assisted coil embolization. The positional relationship between the two catheters according to the navigation order is very important, in particular during balloon-assisted coiling and stent-assisted coiling via a jailed microcatheter.
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Introduction
With the recent development of endovascular treatment technology and devices, there are more opportunities to treat intracranial aneurysms in previously challenging areas. Paraclinoid aneurysms are one of the anatomically difficult aneurysms for endovascular coil embolization. The paraclinoid aneurysms are generally defined as aneurysms that arise from an ophthalmic segment of the internal carotid artery (ICA) between the roof of the cavernous sinus and the origin of the posterior communicating artery.¹ Due to the sharp curve from the carotid siphon and the large caliber of the ICA lumen, it is not always easy to maintain a stable microcatheter position while continuously delivering the detachable coils into the aneurysm. Therefore, simple coiling of the paraclinoid aneurysm using only microcatheter shaping can result in incomplete packing or protrusion of the coils.²

Balloon- and stent-assisted coiling via a jailed microcatheter has been widely applied in paraclinoid aneurysms. Both assist techniques are required to navigate two catheters inside and beyond the aneurysm. We hypothesize that in a bent blood vessel, such as a paraclinoid ICA, the catheter that was first guided tended to pass through the inside, and the catheter that was guided later tended to pass through the outside. When the microcatheter for coil insertion is placed outside the balloon or stent, the tip of the microcatheter
easily escapes from the aneurysmal dome to the ICA due to the balloon inflation or stent deployment. We describe the results of experimental verification and clinical experience regarding the order in which catheters are guided and the positional relationship of catheters in the curved vessel.

**Materials and Methods**

Our hypothesis is shown in Fig. 1. When the balloon is first navigated around the aneurysmal neck and the microcatheter navigated next into the aneurysm, the microcatheter tends to place outside the balloon. Therefore, the tip of the microcatheter easily escapes from the aneurysmal dome to the parent artery due to balloon inflation. On the other hand, if the order of navigating the microcatheter and balloon is changed, the positional relationship tends to be reversed. Therefore, inflating the balloon stabilizes the tip of the microcatheter within the aneurysm.

A silicone vascular aneurysmal model (FAIN Biomedical, Okayama, Japan) was prepared (Fig. 2). The diameter of the parent artery was 4 mm, the aneurysm was 5 × 5-mm, and the neck size was 4 mm. The microcatheter was Phenom 17 preshaped 45 degrees (Medtronic, Irvine, California, United States), and the balloon catheter was Hyperform 4 × 7 mm (Medtronic). Colored water was used for balloon inflation to improve visibility. The positional relationship was observed five times by exchanging the guidance order of the microcatheter and the balloon catheter.

**Results**

When the balloon was guided ahead of the microcatheter, the microcatheter passed outside the balloon. After the inflation of the balloon, the tip of the microcatheter escaped from the aneurysm to the parent artery (Fig. 2A). On the other hand, when the microcatheter was guided before the balloon, the microcatheter passed inside the balloon. After the inflation of the balloon, the tip of the microcatheter was pushed up into the aneurysm and stabilized (Fig. 2B). Similar results were obtained in all five sessions.

**Representative Case**

A 64-year-old woman was referred to our hospital due to incidentally found a right ICA aneurysm. Cerebral angiography revealed an aneurysm (height: 5.4 mm, length: 4.1 mm, and width: 4.0 mm) at the right ICA paraclinoid portion projected posteriorly from the inside of the curved ICA (Fig. 3). Under general anesthesia, a 6-F guiding catheter...
Fig. 2 Photographs of experiment. The black arrows indicate the aneurysm. The white arrowheads indicate the tip of the balloon. The white arrows indicate the tip of the microcatheter. (A) The balloon first, and then the microcatheter was guided. The microcatheter was kicked out by the inflated balloon. (B) The microcatheter first, and then the balloon was guided. The microcatheter was pushed up into the aneurysm by the inflated balloon.

Fig. 3 Intraoperative images. The black arrows indicate the aneurysm. The white arrows indicate the tip of the microcatheter. (A) The balloon first, and then the microcatheter was guided. The microcatheter was kicked out by the inflated balloon. (B) The microcatheter first, and then the balloon was guided. The microcatheter was pushed up into the aneurysm by the inflated balloon.
was advanced into the right ICA through the right common femoral artery. At first, a TransForm SC occlusion balloon catheter (Stryker Neurovascular, Kalamazoo, Michigan, United States) was navigated distally through the aneurysm, and then a Headway 17 microcatheter (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan), which was shaped with a hot airgun was navigated into the aneurysm. When the balloon was inflated during coil delivery into the aneurysm, the tip of the microcatheter was pushed out from the aneurysm by the balloon (Fig. 3A). The balloon was placed inside the parent artery rather than the microcatheter. Therefore, the balloon catheter and its inside guidewire were once withdrawn at the petrous portion of the ICA and navigated distally again. This time, the balloon was placed outside the parent artery rather than the microcatheter. When the balloon was inflated during coil delivery into the aneurysm, the tip of the microcatheter was pushed up into the aneurysm by the balloon (Fig. 3B). Complete obliteration of the aneurysm was achieved with four detachable coils.

**Discussion**

Balloon-assisted coil embolization has been used for aneurysms in various locations and has also been applied in paraclinoid aneurysms.\(^3\)\(^5\) Inflation of the balloon for the neck-remodeling might have a mechanical conflict with the microcatheter in the aneurysm resulting in its displacement, especially in the tight curve of the paraclinoid ICA. When the balloon is placed between the aneurysmal neck and microcatheter, the balloon inflation may result in unexpected protrusion of the microcatheter and coils, thereby leading to incomplete or impossible coiling of the aneurysm. On the other hand, when the balloon is placed outside of the coil-delivering microcatheter, the balloon inflation may result in stabilization of the microcatheter for continuous coil insertion, thereby leading to complete coiling of the aneurysm. Needless to say, more than one loop of the coil has to be deployed into the aneurysm, before the balloon inflation to prevent unexpected aneurysmal injury by the microcatheter movement.

If the aneurysm’s neck is very wide, a neck-bridging stent may eventually be required. The neck-bridging stent placement requires preceding microcatheter navigation to the distal artery beyond the aneurysmal neck. When the stent delivery catheter is placed between the aneurysmal neck and jailed coil inserting microcatheter, the stent deployment may result in an unexpected kick out of the microcatheter. Once the microcatheter in the aneurysmal dome is escaped to the parent artery, a subsequent trans-stent cell approach may often be difficult. Unlike balloon-assisted coiling, stent-assisted coiling has the disadvantage that it cannot be enforced repeatedly. On the other hand, when the stent delivery catheter is placed outside of the coil-delivering microcatheter, the stent deployment may result in stabilization of the microcatheter as well as the balloon-assisted coiling.

This phenomenon is more likely to occur with aneurysms in which the parent artery is flexed and the neck orifice is located inside it. The reason is that the later-guided coil delivery microcatheter passes through the out-course side of the aneurysm rather than the earlier-guided balloon catheter. The size of the aneurysm and the length of the neck are irrelevant. One limitation of this phenomenon is the difficulty in predicting the sequence and location of catheter guidance when the aneurysm is located outside the bend of the parent artery.

In this study, there are some limitations. First, the number of our experiments was quite small in the limited situation. The in vitro evaluation had been done only five times using the same model. Our clinical experience was confirmed in only four cases, including the presented representative cases. We had to evaluate in various in vitro circumstances and various patients. Shaping of the tip of the microguidewire and catheter may affect the course of tracing in curved vasculature. We believe that guiding the coil-delivering microcatheter into the aneurysm first and then the balloon catheter or stent delivery catheter distally involves the risk of aneurysmal rupture. Therefore, it is safe to guide the coil delivery microcatheter to the site beyond the aneurysm, and then guide the balloon or stent delivery catheter distally, and then pulls back the microcatheter to navigate into the aneurysm (Fig. 4).

**Fig. 4** Schematic drawing of our ideal procedure. (A) The coil delivery microcatheter to the site beyond the aneurysm, (B) then guides the balloon catheter distally, (C) and then pulls back the microcatheter to navigate into the aneurysm.
We found the positioning of microcatheters changes depending on the order in which the catheter is navigated to the curved vessel under both experimental and clinical situations. It was considered extremely important for safe and reliable coil embolization to realize the positional relationship of the catheters during the procedure.
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