Factors Associated with Intradiscal Vacuum Phenomenon after Traumatic Thoracolumbar Fracture
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Abstract

Introduction  Posttraumatic kyphosis of the thoracolumbar spine is a possible cause of deterioration of activities of daily living. Thus, postoperative kyphosis is an important issue in treating traumatic thoracolumbar fractures. The intradiscal vacuum phenomenon (IVP) after a traumatic thoracolumbar fracture is considered an important predictor of severe kyphosis after implant removal. However, the associated factors are not yet clear.

Methods  The study included data from 94 intervertebral discs on the cephalocaudal side of 47 fractured vertebrae of 45 patients for traumatic thoracolumbar fracture due to high-energy trauma. We assessed the demographics of patients (age, sex, cause of injury, location of injured vertebra, fracture type, cephalocaudal side), imaging finding (kyphosis angle of fractured vertebra at the injury, endplate fracture on computed tomography [CT], intervertebral injury on magnetic resonance image [MRI]), and IVP on CT conducted more than 6 months after surgery. We divided the intervertebral discs into an IVP group and a non-IVP group. To identify factors associated with an IVP, univariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression analysis were conducted.

Results  IVP was observed in 27 (29%) of 94 intervertebral discs on CTs conducted at an average of 14.0 months postoperatively. In univariate analysis, the IVP group (n = 27) had a significantly more cephalic side of the injured vertebra, endplate fracture on CT, and disc injury on MRI compared with the non-IVP group (n = 67). A multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify factors associated with IVP. The cephalic side (odds ratio [OR] = 4.183, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.269–13.785) and endplate fracture on CT (OR = 9.564, 95% CI = 1.940–47.143) were identified as independent factors associated with IVP.

Conclusions  IVP was observed in 27 (29%) of 94 intervertebral discs. The cephalic side and endplate fracture on CT were identified as independent factors associated with IVP.
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Introduction

Posttraumatic kyphosis of the thoracolumbar spine is a possible cause of deterioration of activities of daily living. Severe posttraumatic kyphosis requires highly invasive corrective surgery. Thus, postoperative kyphosis is an important issue in treating traumatic thoracolumbar fractures. The intradiscal vacuum phenomenon (IVP) is an imaging finding indicative of disc degeneration. IVP after a traumatic thoracolumbar fracture is considered an important predictor of severe kyphosis after implant removal. Therefore, predicting at the time of injury whether IVP will occur is important in determining a treatment plan. However, the associated factors are not yet clear. We sought to investigate the factors associated with IVP after a traumatic thoracolumbar fracture.

Materials and Methods

The medical ethics committee of our hospital approved the present retrospective study, and informed consent to use patient data was obtained from all patients. The study included data from 94 intervertebral discs on the cephalo-caudal side of 47 fractured vertebrae of 45 patients who underwent posterior spinal stabilization between December 2012 and March 2022 for traumatic thoracolumbar fracture due to high-energy trauma, such as a fall from a height, traffic accident, sports, or blow from a heavy object. Exclusion criteria included age over 70 years, osteoporotic or pathological vertebral fracture, vertebral fracture with adjacent vertebral fracture, dislocation fracture, and lack of data. Surgery was indicated for fractures associated with a neurological deficit, more than 5 points of load sharing classification score, posterior tension band disruption, or polytrauma. We assessed the demographics of patients (age, sex, cause of injury, location of injured vertebra, fracture type [AO classification], cephalo-caudal side), imaging finding (kyphosis angle of fractured vertebra at the injury, endplate fracture on computed tomography [CT], intervertebral injury on magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]), and IVP on CT conducted more than 6 months, but less than 36 months after surgery (Fig. 1). The kyphosis angle of the fractured vertebra was measured as the angle between the superior and inferior endplates of the vertebral body. The endplate fracture on CT was defined as a gap or step of more than 2 mm, or irregularity in the endplate (Fig. 1). Intervertebral injury on MRI was measured using the methodology described by Sander et al.

Fig. 1 Illustrative computed tomography findings of endplate fracture and intradiscal vacuum phenomenon (IVP). (A) Illustrative case with endplate fracture. (B) Illustrative case without endplate fracture. (C) Illustrative case with IVP. (D) Illustrative case without IVP.

Fig. 2 Classification of traumatic intervertebral disc lesions on magnetic resonance imaging. (A) Grade 0 is defined as an uninjured disc. (B) Grade 1 is defined as a disc with edema. (C) Grade 2 is defined as a disc rupture with intradiscal bleeding. (D) Grade 3 is defined as an infarct of the disc into the vertebral endplate.
is defined as an infract of the disc into the vertebral body, annular tears, or herniation into the endplate. Because the timing of postoperative CT may affect IVP, the time between CTs was also investigated. We divided the intervertebral discs into an IVP group and a non-IVP group. We compared the two groups for age, sex, cause of injury, location of the injured vertebra, fracture type, cephalocaudal side, kyphosis angle of the fractured vertebra, endplate fracture on CT, and intervertebral injury on MRI. To identify factors associated with an IVP, a multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted in which the presence or absence of an IVP was used as a dependent variable, and items that were significantly different by univariate analysis were independent variables.

**Statistical Analyses**

All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. A Mann–Whitney U test, chi-squared test, or Fisher’s exact test were used to compare each item. A multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to identify the factors associated with IVP. Differences with p-value less than 0.05 were considered significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 22; IBM Corp, Armonk, New York, United States).

**Results**

There were 30 men and 15 women with a mean age of 43.1 ± 16.7 years (range, 12–69 years). The cause of injury was a fall from a height in 27 cases, a traffic accident in 10 cases, sports in 5 cases, and blows by a heavy object in 3 cases. The level of fractured vertebrae was 1 vertebra in T6, 1 in T11, 3 in T12, 22 in L1, 12 in L2, 2 in L3, 5 in L4, and 1 in L5. The AO classification was A1 with 2 vertebrae, A3 with 17, A4 with 8, B1 with 4, and B2 with 16. The kyphosis of the fractured vertebra at the time of injury averaged 13.9 ± 8.7 degrees. In 94 intervertebral discs, endplate fracture on CT was observed in 47 (50%), and intervertebral injury on MRI in 78 (83%). Of the 78 intervertebral discs with intervertebral injury on MRI, 20 discs were classified as grade 1, 34 were grade 2, and 24 were grade 3. IVP was observed in 27 (29%) of 94 intervertebral discs on CTs conducted at an average of 14.0 months postoperatively. IVP (n = 27) and non-IVP (n = 67) groups were compared using univariate analysis. The IVP group had a significantly more cephalic side of the injured vertebra, endplate fracture on CT, and disc injury on MRI compared with the non-IVP group (►Table 1). A multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify factors associated with IVP. The cephalic side (odds ratio [OR] = 4.183, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.269–13.785) and endplate fracture on CT (OR = 9.564, 95% CI = 1.940–47.143) were identified as independent factors associated with IVP (►Table 2).

**Discussion**

IVP is the collection of gas within an intervertebral disc and is mainly perceived as a sign of degenerative disc disease. The presence of IVP represents one of the signs of disc ruptures with a significant structural change. IVP occurs significantly more often in traumatic thoracolumbar fractures and may lead to disc degeneration due to nutritional supply disturbances via the vertebral endplate.

In this study, we found the rate was 29%, as consistent with previous reports. In traumatic thoracolumbar fractures, the presence of IVP causes severe kyphosis at the intervertebral

### Table 1 Demographic data and the imaging findings between the IVP group and non-IVP group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>IVP group (n = 27)</th>
<th>Non-IVP group (n = 67)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>20/7</td>
<td>44/23</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>47.5±15.7</td>
<td>42.4±17.0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between CTs (months)</td>
<td>13.4±6.0</td>
<td>14.0±7.5</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall from height/traffic accident/sports/blow by a heavy object</td>
<td>16/7/2/2</td>
<td>42/13/8/4</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of the injured vertebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6/T11/T12/L1/L2/L3/L4/L5</td>
<td>0/1/1/14/7/1/1/2</td>
<td>2/1/5/30/17/3/9/0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture type (AO classification)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1/A2/A3/A4/B1/B2</td>
<td>1/0/10/6/1/9</td>
<td>3/0/24/10/7/23</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalocaudal side</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalic/caudal</td>
<td>22/5</td>
<td>25/42</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyphosis angle of the fractured vertebra</td>
<td>12.2±11.0°</td>
<td>14.6±7.5°</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endplate fracture on CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>24/3</td>
<td>23/44</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervertebral injury on MRI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>26/1</td>
<td>52/15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; IVP, intervertebral vacuum phenomenon; MRI, magnetic resonance image.
Furthermore, in the non-IVP group, 78% also had disc injury present in 71% of patients. Ed et al reported that traumatic disc injury occurrence is considered inevitable. Disc degeneration is attributed to the injury, and kyphosis recurrence. At the time of injury, posttraumatic disc degeneration is considered to have more extensive damage than the intervertebral discs at the time of injury, the cephalad endplate. At the time of injury, posttraumatic disc degeneration is considered to be more prone to degeneration than the caudal endplate. The endplate fracture may result in more extensive damage than the cephalad disc, which is more vulnerable to damage at the cephalic side and endplate fracture on CT as independent factors associated with IVP.

Generally, disc degeneration after thoracolumbar fracture is more likely to occur in the cephalad disc.13 We found the cephalad side of the injured vertebra was also an independent factor associated with the occurrence of IVP. In thoracolumbar fractures, mechanical stress is more likely to be applied on the cephalad side of the vertebra.14 Similarly, in the intervertebral discs at the time of injury, the cephalad endplate is considered to have more extensive damage than the caudal endplate. At the time of injury, posttraumatic disc degeneration is attributed to the injury, and kyphosis recurrence is considered inevitable.15 In other words, the cephalad disc, which is more vulnerable to damage at the time of injury, is thought to be more prone to degeneration and the occurrence of IVP.

Endplate fractures are associated with disc degeneration.13,16 Annulus tears and endplate fractures are common lesions in damaged intervertebral discs. Przybyla et al reported that outer annulus tears have fewer effects on intradiscal compressive stresses than endplate fracture and concluded that endplate fracture provides a greater stimulus for disc degeneration.17 In this study, an endplate fracture was an independent factor associated with IVP. There exists an opinion that endplate degeneration is responsible for the occurrence of IVP.18 The endplate fracture may result in degeneration of the endplate, suggesting that it is involved in IVP occurrence. Based on the results of this study, because CT is commonly used for the initial evaluation of trauma and clearly depicts endplate fracture, it is a useful modality in predicting IVP.

In this study, cases in which CT and MRI were obtained at the time of injury were considered. We found the presence of endplate fracture on CT was an independently associated factor, while disc injury findings on MRI were not an independently associated factor. MRI findings of disc injury are not associated with eventual disc degeneration.19 Sander et al reported that traumatic disc injury findings on MRI were present in 71% of patients.11 Consistently, the present results showed MRI findings of disc injury in 83% of the patients. Furthermore, in the non-IVP group, 78% also had disc injury findings on MRI. In other words, disc injury findings on MRI were not an independently associated factor of IVP because the imaging would have depicted disc injuries that did not lead to degeneration as abnormal findings. Based on the results of this study, CT is more useful at the time of injury than MRI disc findings to predict the occurrence of IVP, and is more likely to reveal endplate fractures.

There are some limitations to this study. Because this study included patients who had CT and MRI at the time of injury and CT 6 months or later after the injury, the sample size was small, and there is a possibility of selection bias. Some patients did not have implants removed, so it is not possible to determine any association between IVP and the kyphosis angle or back pain after implant removal. Further study is necessary to understand further the factors associated with IVP after a thoracolumbar fracture.

**Conclusions**

IVP was observed in 27 (29%) of 94 intervertebral discs. The cephalic side and endplate fracture on CT were identified as independent factors associated with IVP.
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