The Role of Multimodal Imaging in Differentiating Vasogenic from Infiltrative Edema: A Systematic Review
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Abstract

Background High-grade gliomas (HGGs) are the most prevalent primary malignancy of the central nervous system. The tumor results in vasogenic and infiltrative edema. Exact anatomical differentiation of these edemas is so important for surgical planning. Multimodal imaging could be used to differentiate the edema type.

Purpose The aim of this study was to investigate the role of multimodal imaging in the differentiation of vasogenic edema from infiltrative edema in patients with HGG (grade III and grade IV).

Data Sources A search on PubMed, EMBASE, Scopus, and ISI Web of Science Core Collection up to June 2022 using terms related to (a) multimodal imaging AND (b) HGG AND (c) edema. (PROSPERO registration number: CRD42022336131)

Study Selection Two reviewers screened the articles and independently extracted the data. We included original articles assessing the role of multimodal imaging in differentiating vasogenic from infiltrative edema in patients with HGG. Six high-quality articles remained for the narrative synthesis.

Data Synthesis Dynamic susceptibility contrast imaging showed that relative cerebral blood volume and relative cerebral blood flow were higher in the infiltrative edema component than in the vasogenic edema component. Diffusion tensor imaging revealed a dispute on fractional anisotropy. The apparent diffusion coefficient was comparable between the two edematous components. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy exhibited an increment in choline/creatinine ratio and choline/N-acetyl aspartate ratio in the infiltrative edema component.

Limitations Strict study selection, low sample size of relevant published studies, and heterogeneity in endpoint variables were the major drawbacks.

Conclusions Multimodal imaging, including dynamic susceptibility contrast and magnetic resonance spectroscopy, might help differentiate between vasogenic and infiltrative edema.

* A.H and H.S.M contributed equally to this study.
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Introduction

High-grade gliomas (HGGs) are the most prevalent primary malignancy of central nervous system. HGGs include World Health Organization (WHO) grade III and IV. HGGs have a yearly incidence of 3.68 per 100,000. Grade III HGGs are associated with a median survival of 14 to 36 months, whereas grade IV HGGs are associated with a median survival of only 9 to 15 months.

Although brain tumors have diverse morphologic and biological properties, they are associated with disruption of the blood–brain barrier and thus the development of cerebral edema. Edema related to brain tumors can be categorized into two main types: vasogenic and infiltrative edema. The most common form of edema in brain tumors is vasogenic edema. In vasogenic edema, the blood–brain barrier is locally disrupted leading to elevated capillary permeability and induction of a pressure gradient from the vascular to the extracellular compartment. This chain of events leads to the retention of plasma fluid and protein in the extracellular space. Second type of edema is infiltrative that means edema associated with tumoral cells that are target of surgical excision. Neurosurgical operation plans are chosen very precise as the neurosurgical excisions should be done exactly as necessary as possible with no unnecessary damage to healthy tissues.

Differentiation of these two types of edema is so important as the infiltrating edema in contrast to vasogenic edema should be diagnosed before surgical plan in order to be excised as accurate as possible during surgery. T2 prolongation indicates both vasogenic edema and infiltrating tumor cells outside of contrast-enhancing lesions in primary HGGs. This is while vasogenic edema comprises T2 prolongation surrounding meningeomas and brain metastases.

Various conventional (T1- and T2-weighted sequences) and advanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) modalities such as perfusion-weighted imaging (PWI), diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), and MR spectroscopy (MRS), positron emission tomography (PET)computed tomography (PET/CT) can be used to investigate tumor structure and heterogeneity. These advanced techniques use different approaches to identify tissue composition. PWI measures the tissue microvasculature and indicates the neoangiogenesis and malignancy of the tumor. DWI produces indices, including cerebral blood volume (CBV), mean transit time, cerebral blood flow (CBF), and percentage of signal intensity recovery (PSR). The most reliable and most extensively-used measure of tumor microvasculature is relative CBV (rCBV). DWI produces a measure called apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), which measures the diffusion of water molecules in biological tissues. ADC is generally negatively associated with glioma grade. MRS indicates the histo-

Methods

Information Sources and Search Strategy

This study was performed in concordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) 2020 statement. The protocol of this review was registered in the PROSPERO database (registration number: CRD42022336131) before the search began. We performed a search on PubMed, EMBASE, Scopus, and ISI Web of Science Core Collection by using keywords chosen based on the MeSH thesaurus without language or date restriction on June 1, 2022. Included studies were original publications that studied the role of multimodal imaging in delineating infiltrative edema from vasogenic edema in patients with HGG. Therefore, the terms searched were related to multimodal imaging AND HGG AND edema.

Search Strategy

We included original articles assessing the role of multimodal imaging in differentiating vasogenic from infiltrative edema in patients with HGG (grade III and grade IV). PubMed search terms are presented as follows:

(a) (multimodal imaging[MeSH]) OR (magnetic resonance imaging[Title/abstract]) OR (mri[Title/abstract]) OR (magnetic resonance spectroscopy[Title/abstract]) OR (pet[Title/abstract]) OR (positron emission tomography[Title/abstract]) OR (single photon emission computed tomography[Title/abstract]) OR (diffusion tensor imaging[Title/abstract]) OR (bold[Title/abstract]) OR (blood oxygen level dependent[Title/abstract]) OR (perfusion-weighted imaging[Title/abstract]) OR (diffusion-weighted imaging[Title/abstract]) OR (t1[Title/abstract]) OR (t2[Title/abstract])

(b) (glioma[Title/abstract]) OR (high-grade glioma[Title/abstract]) OR (malignant glioma[Title/abstract]) OR (glioblastoma[Title/abstract]) OR (astrocytoma[Title/abstract]) OR (oligodendroglioma[Title/abstract])
The final search in all databases was as follows: (a) AND (b) AND (c).

Two reviewers screened the titles and abstracts independently. Any disagreements were discussed and resolved by the third reviewer if required. Duplicate articles, nonhuman studies, letters, and reviews were excluded.

**Data Extraction**

EndNote 20 was used to remove duplicate citations and the screening, and predefined Microsoft Word 2016 was used to record variables extracted from the included articles. Two authors independently extracted the data. Any disagreement was resolved through consultation with the senior author. The following variables were collected from studies: design of each study, number of patients, participating, age of patients, female to male ratio, confirmation, imaging techniques, metrics of each study, and their results.

**Risk of Bias**

The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist for the analytical cross-sectional study was used to assess the possible risk of bias among the included studies.

**Results**

A PRISMA flow diagram outlining our search results at each step can be found in Fig. 1. Initial records identified through our literature search yielded 3625 articles. In total, 1685 articles were excluded because they were duplicates. We excluded nonoriginal, nonhuman, or unavailable full texts. One hundred sixteen full texts were assessed for eligibility. After excluding 110 articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria (such as including low-grade glioma, including metastases or meningioma, and not comparing vasogenic from infiltrative edema), only six studies remained for the qualitative analysis. Table 1 shows the main characteristics and outcome data of the included studies.
Table 1 Characteristics of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, Year</th>
<th>n, Male, MA, grade</th>
<th>Imaging techniques</th>
<th>Confirmation</th>
<th>Metrics and results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oh et al, 200527</td>
<td>16, NR, NR, (III:2, IV: 14)</td>
<td>DTI, T₂WI</td>
<td>Radiological segmentation: Immediate-edema: Non-enhancing T2 anomaly within 1-cm margin. Peripheral edema: Non-enhancing T2 anomaly outside the 1-cm margin</td>
<td>The ADC values in the immediate-edema (1436 ± 241) and peripheral-edema (1573 ± 302) regions were significantly higher than those in the tumor (1279 ± 206). No significant differences in ADC values were noted between the immediate- and peripheral-edema regions. The T2 relaxation values in both the immediate-edema (204 ± 33) and peripheral edema (220 ± 42) regions were significantly higher than those of the tumor (160 ± 31). The T2 relaxation values in the immediate- and peripheral-edema regions were not significantly different. The ADC and T2 values correlated significantly (r: 0.95) The ADC and T2 relaxation values in the immediate- and peripheral-edema regions were significantly higher than the NAWM. Vasogenic edema had lower rCBV (0.71 ± 0.20), rCBF (0.66 ± 0.20), EnT₁WI (3.43 ± 3.14), MD (1.29 ± 0.26), ktrans (0.59 ± 1.09), Vp (1.58 ± 1.76), Cho/Cr ratio (1.25 ± 0.27) and increased rFLAIR (1.41 ± 0.13) compared to infiltrative edema (1.68 ± 0.51, 1.64 ± 0.50, 5.22 ± 3.77, 1.20 ± 0.25, 0.84 ± 1.39, 1.63 ± 0.27, 2.48 ± 3.14, respectively) Infiltrative edema had increased rCBV, rCBF, and EnT₁WI compared to NAWM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artzi et al, 201423</td>
<td>14, 8, 52, IV</td>
<td>T₁WI/T₁WI + Gad, T₂WI, FLAIR, DSC, DCE, DTI, MRS</td>
<td>Unsupervised multiparametric classification based on statistical optimization MRS was used for validation of the classification</td>
<td>Vasogenic edema had lower rCBV (0.71 ± 0.20), rCBF (0.66 ± 0.20), EnT₁WI (3.43 ± 3.14), MD (1.29 ± 0.26), ktrans (0.59 ± 1.09), Vp (1.58 ± 1.76), Cho/Cr ratio (1.25 ± 0.27) and increased rFLAIR (1.41 ± 0.13) compared to infiltrative edema (1.68 ± 0.51, 1.64 ± 0.50, 5.22 ± 3.77, 1.20 ± 0.25, 0.84 ± 1.39, 1.63 ± 0.27, 2.48 ± 3.14, respectively) Infiltrative edema had increased rCBV, rCBF, and EnT₁WI compared to NAWM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artzi et al, 201526</td>
<td>19, 7, 53, IV</td>
<td>T₁WI/T₁WI + Gad, FLAIR, DSC, DCE, MRS</td>
<td>Unsupervised multiparametric classification based on statistical optimization MRS was used for validation of the classification</td>
<td>Infiltrative edema had higher rCBV (1.80 ± 0.43), rCBF (1.34 ± 0.32) and Cho/Cr ratio (1.51 ± 0.13) than Vasogenic edema (0.68 ± 0.16, 0.62 ± 0.18 and 1.19 ± 0.09, respectively) Vasogenic edema had the lowest rCBV and rCBF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentini et al, 201725</td>
<td>12, 7, 65, IV</td>
<td>T₁WI/T₁WI + Gad, T₂WI, and FLAIR, DTI, MRS, 18F-FDG PET/CT</td>
<td>Histological analysis Radiological segmentation: Immediate-edema: Non-enhancing T2 anomaly within 1-cm margin. Peripheral edema: Non-enhancing T2 anomaly outside the 1-cm margin</td>
<td>Infiltrative edema had higher rCBV (2.42 (0.89-4.39)), Cho/Cr ratio (1.86 (0.97-2.24)), and Cho/NAA ratio (1.83 (0.75-4.04)) and lower FA values (0.29 (0.10-0.33)) than vasogenic edema (1.23 (0.60-2.40), 1.47 (0.96-2.99), 1.08 (0.74-1.85), and 0.28 (0.10-0.47), respectively). rT₂ FSE and rT₂ FLAIR were not specific for edematous areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molina-Romero et al, 201828</td>
<td>25, NR, NR, IV</td>
<td>DTI</td>
<td>Radiological segmentation</td>
<td>Both infiltration and edema had decreased FA compared to NAWM. Vasogenic edema had lower FA compared to tumor infiltration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al, 201944</td>
<td>44, 27, 64, (III:19, IV: 25)</td>
<td>T₁WI/T₁WI + Gad, T₂WI, FLAIR, DSGPWI</td>
<td>Radiological segmentation</td>
<td>Vasogenic edema had lower rCBV and rCBF compared to infiltrative edema and other tumor parts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: 18F-FDG PET/CT, 18-fluorine-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; Cho/Cr, Choline/Creatine; Cho/NAA, Choline/N-acetyl aspartate; DCE, dynamic contrast enhancement imaging; DSC, diffusion and dynamic susceptibility contrast imaging; DTI, diffusion tensor imaging; EnT₁WI, T₁WI enhancement; FA, fractional anisotropy; FLAIR, fluid attenuated inversion recovery; FSE, fast spin echo; Gad, gadolinium; ktrans, vascular permeability; MA, mean age; MD, mean diffusivity; MRS, magnetic resonance spectroscopy; N, number; NAWM, normal appearing white matter; NR, not reported; PWI, perfusion weighted imaging; rCBV, relative cerebral blood flow; rCBF, relative cerebral blood volume; rFLAIR, relative fluid attenuated inversion recovery; T₁WI, T₁ weighted imaging; T₂WI, T₂ weighted imaging; Vp, plasma volume.
Differentiating Vasogenic from Infiltrative Edema

Hasanzadeh et al.

studies. Given the heterogeneity among the studies, meta-analysis was not possible.

From a total of 130 patients included in this review, only 21 patients had grade III glioma, and the other 109 subjects had grade IV glioma. Original articles were from Israel, China, Italy, Germany, and the United States, and all of them were conducted in a prospective manner.

The JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for analytical cross-sectional study showed that all of the six included studies have a low risk of bias and could be used in data synthesis.

Relative Cerebral Blood Volume and Relative Cerebral Blood Flow

Dynamic susceptibility contrast magnetic resonance imaging (DSC) was performed in four original articles. All these three studies showed that relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV) was higher in the infiltrative edema component than in the vasogenic edema component [0.71 (0.20) vs. 1.68 (0.51), 1.80 (0.43) vs. 1.19 (0.09), and 2.42 (interquartile range: 0.89–4.39) vs. 1.23 (0.60–2.40)].23–26 Similarly, two of the studies revealed that relative cerebral blood flow (rCBF) was higher in infiltrative edema than in vasogenic edema [0.66 (0.20) vs. 1.64 (0.50), 1.34 (0.32) vs. 0.62 (0.18)].23,24

Fractional Anisotropy and Apparent Diffusion Coefficient

Four of the studies implemented diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) to assess edema.23,25,27,28 Fractional anisotropy (FA) was reported to be lower in the vasogenic edema component than in the infiltrative edema component in the study performed by Molina-Romero et al. However, Valentini et al reached the opposite results [0.29 (0.10–0.33) vs. 0.28 (0.10–0.47)]. On the other hand, the ADC was not significantly different between the two edematous components.

Choline/Creatinine Ratio

MRS was done in the studies by Artzi et al and Valentini et al.23,25,26 They found that the Cho/Cr was higher in the infiltrative edema component than in the vasogenic edema parts [1.51 (0.13) vs. 1.19 (0.09), 1.86 (0.97–2.24) vs. 1.08 (0.74–1.85)]. Valentini et al also showed that Cho/NAA was higher in the infiltrative edema component [1.83 (0.75–4.04) vs. 0.28 (0.10–0.47)].

Discussion

The failure of local control of the tumor is a consequence of the invasion capacity of tumor cells since infiltrating tumor cells can spread far from the tumor and thus escape radiation effects. Infiltrating tumor cells are detected in peritumor edema29 and in normally-appearing regions in 20% of glioblastoma.30 MRI is unable to detect these infiltrative cells when occurring in T2 hyperintense or normal T1 or T2 regions. It is vital to recognize tumor infiltration in edematous regions.31 Edematous regions of MRI are histologically characterized by a higher expression of Aquaporin 4.32 The detection of infiltrative tumor cells in these areas depends on their frequency. Edema might confound the MRI variables and the total cell number (regardless of the nature of the cells), and thus, it is harder to identify tumor infiltration when it overlaps with edema.33,34 In edematous situations, cell density (both normal and tumor cells) is reduced and thus tumor infiltration is identifiable when cell density is higher than normal so that it can influence MRI variables.35

Conventionally, it is believed that surrounding edema around tumors like meningioma and brain metastasis are purely vasogenic and the surrounding edema around HGG is infiltrative in nature. However, in the past years, several studies have demonstrated that edema associated with HGG has both vasogenic and infiltrative components. The subsequent studies have tried to use different imaging modalities to differentiate vasogenic and infiltrative components of edema around HGG. This is the first study to systematically review the current imaging evidence for the differentiation of infiltrative and vasogenic edema surrounding grade III to IV glioma. We hypothesized that infiltrative and vasogenic edema have distinct features of different imaging modalities so that they can be correctly differentiated leading to better treatment strategies in patients with HGG.

Our findings showed that most imaging modalities are able to produce measures to differentiate between vasogenic and infiltrative edema. The results from perfusion studies showed that vasogenic edema had lower rCBV23–26 and rCBF23,24 compared to infiltrative edema. rCBV, PH, and PSR are the main perfusion parameters that correlate with tumor microvasculature.15,16,36 Moreover, rCBV variations are a reliable indicator of the microvasculature and histological grade of the tumor.37–39 In Valentini et al’s study,23 rCBV values reduce from contrast-enhancing to noncontrast-enhancing regions with higher values in infiltrated areas with microvascular proliferation indicating neangiogenesis. Regarding the MRS studies, it was shown that Cho/Cr and Cho/NAA ratio values were higher in infiltrative compared to vasogenic edema.23,25,26 Based on previous evidence, a high Cho/NAA ratio in edematous areas is indicative of tumor infiltration.40–42 However, lower absolute values of total NAA appear to be more reliable than Cho to suggest low tumor infiltration.43 In Valentini et al’s study,23 18F-FDG SUVmax, Cho/Cr, and Cho/NAA ratio were the most accurate measure of tumor infiltration in edematous regions.

The results of diffusion studies were inconsistent. One study showed that FA values were lower in edematous areas with infiltration than without infiltration.25 This is while another study demonstrated that tumor edema had lower FA compared to tumor infiltration.44 Moreover, another study reported that vasogenic edema had lower MD compared to infiltrative edema.23 Decreased levels of FA values are found in both CE and NE regions compared to normal brain. Interestingly, this reduction in FA is bolder in infiltrated regions compared to the CE region without necrosis or to infiltrated regions with microvascular proliferations, where the presence of microstructural barriers related to the high cell density and vascular proliferations leads to relatively higher FA values.45,46 Glioblastoma tends to spread along white matter tracts leading to white matter disintegration that can be detected by DTI. Nonetheless, infiltration regions
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In conclusion, it has been shown that edema associated with HGG has both vasogenic and infiltrative components and several studies have been implemented to differentiate between these two. The subsequent studies have tried to use different imaging modalities to differentiate vasogenic and infiltrative components of edema around HGG. In this systematic review, the current imaging evidence for the differentiation of infiltrative and vasogenic edema surrounding grade III to IV glioma was investigated. Our findings demonstrated that multimodal imaging, including DSC, and MRS might be helpful to differentiate between vasogenic and infiltrative edema.
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