Minimally Invasive Surgery for Managing Grade IV and V Spondylolisthesis
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Abstract

Surgical treatment of high-grade spondylolisthesis is controversial and aims at restoring the spinopelvic sagittal balance through complete or partial reduction of the listhesis. Nerve decompression and interbody fusion are necessary for patients presenting with neurological deficit, severe pain, lower limb asymmetry, or deformities. We present the case and the results of a patient with high-grade spondylolisthesis, in whom minimally invasive management was performed. A narrative review in this topic is also provided.

We performed a literature review of high-grade spondylolisthesis to compare our technique to current surgical alternatives. We included articles from PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Ovid, and Science Direct published between 1963 and 2022 that were written in English, German, and Spanish. The terms used were the following: “high grade spondylolisthesis,” “spondyloptosis,” “surgical management,” “interbody fusion,” and “arthrodesis.” In all, 485 articles were displayed, from which we filtered 112 by title and abstract. At the end, 75 references were selected for the review.

Different interbody fusion techniques can be used to correct the lumbosacral kyphosis and restore the spinopelvic parameters. A complete reduction of the listhesis is not always required. The surgical procedure carried out in our patient corresponds to the first known case of minimally invasive circumferential arthrodesis with iliac screws and sacral fixation in a high-grade dysplastic spondylolisthesis. This approach guarantees the correction of the lumbosacral kyphosis and a complete reduction of the listhesis. Further studies are required to determine whether the results of this case can be extrapolated to other patients with high-grade spondylolisthesis.
Introduction

Spondylolisthesis, from the Greek spondyllos (vertebrae) and olisthesis (slide), refers to the displacement of one vertebral body over the other, causing instability of the segment. The most common cause is degenerative spondylolisthesis, which affects older adults, has a prevalence of 19.1 to 43.1%, affects L4–L5, and has a higher female preponderance. On the other hand, isthmic spondylolisthesis involves defects in unilateral or bilateral pars interarticularis, affects adolescents or younger adults, has an incidence of 5 to 7%, most commonly affects the L5–S1 level, and tend to occur more in males. The incidence is much higher in people who develop activities that involve hyperextension stress forces, such as gymnastics, weightlifting, diving, football, and volleyball.

Spondyloptosis represents 5 to 15% of spondylolisthesis, affects almost exclusively the lumbosacral junction, and corresponds to dysplastic spondylolisthesis with some exceptions due to a traumatic nature. Spondyloysis, facet, or laminar aplasia, wedging of L5, and dome deformation of the upper surface of S1 can be seen in traumatic spondyloptosis. On the other hand, congenital spondyloptosis occurs due to a dysplasia of the upper articular process of the sacrum, which decreases the diameter of the foramina and triggers compression of the corresponding roots, producing a mixed pain that is very difficult to manage.

Most individuals with isthmic spondylolisthesis are asymptomatic, and sliding is often discovered incidentally on plain radiographs. Symptomatic patients complain of activity-dependent low back pain that may be accompanied by radicular pain, which usually corresponds to the exiting nerve root at the level of the pars interarticularis defect. In some cases, when the sliding is significant, the patient can present with cauda equina syndrome. There is no established age for the onset of symptoms; however, some patients with dysplastic forms may have a gradual onset of symptoms in adolescence.

Surgical treatment of high-grade spondylolisthesis aims at restoring the spinopelvic sagittal balance through complete or partial reduction of the listhesis. There is no consensus regarding the ideal surgical approach or technique; nevertheless, nerve decompression and interbody fusion are necessary in patients presenting with neurological deficit, severe pain, lower limb deep tendon reflex asymmetry, or deformity progression. We present the case and the results of a patient with high-grade spondylolisthesis, in whom minimally invasive management was performed. Also, a narrative review in this topic is also provided.

Methods

We performed a literature review of high-grade spondylolisthesis to compare our technique to current surgical alternatives. We included articles from PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Ovid, and Science Direct published between 1963 and 2021 that were written in English, German, and Spanish. The terms used were the following: “high grade spondylolisthesis,” “spondyloptosis,” “surgical management,” “interbody fusion,” and “arthrodys.” After removing duplicates, 485 articles were filtered, from which we selected 112 based on their title and abstract. Other articles were included using a snowballing search approach. At the end, after reading the 112 articles, 70 were included and 8 others were included from the snowballing search. A total of 75 references were selected for the review.

Illustrative Case

A 38-year-old woman, nursing assistant, with no medical or traumatic history, presented to the outpatient clinic due to an 8-year history of back pain and neuropathic symptoms in the lower extremities, predominantly in the left lower extremity, associated with bilateral neurogenic claudication and pain exacerbation with back extension and prolonged fixed posture while standing. In the physical examination, pain was worsened with lumbar extension. Initially, physical therapy and analgesics were offered with poor response to these interventions. A lumbosacral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a grade IV L5–S1 isthmic spondylolisthesis, severe bilateral foraminal stenosis, and mild thoracolumbar scoliosis. In addition, the panoramic X-ray films of the spine revealed a slip angle of 25.5 degrees, a lumbosacral angle of 86.4 degrees, and a Spinal Deformity Study Group (SDSG) angle of 4.85 degrees. The dynamic X-ray films of the lumbar spine showed signs of spinal instability.

In 2006, Mac-Thiong and Labelle proposed a surgical classification for dysplastic spondylolisthesis, taking into account the degree of slippage, the degree of dysplasia, and the sagittal spinopelvic balance from the measurement of the pelvic incidence (PI), sacral slope (SS), and pelvic tilt (PT) observed in anteroposterior and lateral preoperative radiographs of the lumbosacral spine. Our patient was classified as Mac-Thiong type 7 (high grade, high dysplastic, balanced pelvis), given the trapezoid-shaped L5, high lumbosacral angle, SS 50 degrees or more, and PT 35 degrees or less.

Based on these findings, a circumferential lumbar arthrodesis was performed in two stages. We performed on the same surgical day a minimally invasive L5–S1 360-degree instrumentation, with anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF), and posterior percutaneous L4–S2–iliac instrumentation. The estimated blood loss was less than 100 mL, and the surgical time for the two stages was 190 minutes. No intraoperative or postoperative complications were seen. She was discharged on her third postoperative day. Three months after surgery, the patient referred a 70% improvement in neuropathic pain in the left lower limb, and the computed tomography (CT) scan revealed an adequate bone fusion, along with an adequate correction of the sagittal balance and a significant reduction of listhesis, with a sliding angle of 1.56 degrees, a lumbosacral angle of 102 degrees, and an SDSG angle of 22.3 degrees. Due to a satisfactory postoperative recovery, the patient was discharged, and permission was given to return to her daily working activities.
**Surgical Technique**

The patient is placed in the Trendelenburg position with a roll at the level of the sacrum to achieve pelvic anteversion; subsequently, skin traction is performed with 7 kg of weight on each lower limb. Under electrophysiological neuromonitoring, an anterior mini-open ALIF approach was performed (see ► Fig. 2A). Through spacers anchored to the anterior wall of the vertebral body of L5, an anterior osteotomy of the lower L5 end plate is made to access the intervertebral space. Subsequently, distraction maneuvers are performed to extract the intervertebral disk decompressing the foramina and the posterior border of the vertebral end plates of L5 and S1. Afterward, to ensure adequate foraminal decompression, the posterior longitudinal ligament is resected until the epidural fat and slight epidural bleeding are seen, ensuring entry into the anterior epidural space. The intersomatic lumbar cage is then fixed to the dome of S1 with a locking screw, after placing a mix of autologous and heterologous bone graft inside the device. This fixation with a single caudal screw allows the posterior translation of L5 over the device.

Once the anterior approach is performed, the anterior wound is closed in the regular fashion and the patient is placed in the prone position. No skin traction is needed in this second approach. The screws are placed from caudal to cranial. The radiographic repair called “tear drop” is identified with the use of intraoperative fluoroscopy and the trans-S2–iliac entry point is marked on the skin. The anatomical landmark is the posterior iliac crest, 1 mm inferior and 1 mm lateral to the S1 foramen. Subsequently, a percutaneous technique is used to advance the screws to the lateral cortex of the iliac bone (see ► Fig. 2B). For L4, L5, and S1 levels, the conventional technique of percutaneous screw insertion is used, maintaining an adequate relationship between the sacral inclination and the vertebral body of L5, keeping a convergent trajectory and the largest possible diameter of screws bilaterally. This will help withstand the tensile loads when it comes to achieving the reduction of listhesis and avoiding the so-called pullout. Finally, the system is fixed to the previously bent titanium bars to achieve reduction and modify the slope of L5 following its natural contour and avoiding the external exposure of the material (see ► Fig. 2C). Afterward, each wound is closed in regular fashion.

**Results**

Different interbody fusion techniques have been described with the aim of achieving a complete or partial reduction of the listhesis. This can be done through a circumferential in situ anterior or posterior arthrodesis, isolated posterior arthrodesis or “stand-alone” ALIF, using intra-sacral bars, trans-sacral transfer screws for interbody fusion or custom-made screws.

The circumferential approach, with anterior arthrodesis, and posterior transpedicular instrumentation along with reduction of the listhesis, has been reported to be superior to anterior or posterior fusion by themselves in terms of correction of lumbosacral kyphosis, bone healing rate, and risk of nonunion. Several authors consider that circumferential arthrodesis provides a better balance of loads in the lumbosacral junction, greater stability, and greater surface area for bone fusion.

However, there is a debate whether complete correction of spondylolisthesis is necessary weighed against complications such as neurological injury. Several authors advocate that reducing the listhesis may not be necessary if there are no neurological findings, given the potential risks of causing neurological injury during the procedure, complication seen in...
up to 25% of cases, with L5 neuropraxia being the most common deficit. Scheer et al did not find an association between carrying out a complete reduction with length of hospital stay, intraoperative complications, and postoperative complications; however, this retrospective study did not include patients with high-grade spondylolisthesis. On the other hand, Labelle et al found that interbody fusion with partial correction of high-grade spondylolisthesis was sufficient to improve spinopelvic parameters, as opposed to other authors who report that in situ posterior or anterior fusion without complete reduction of the llisthesis does not resolve the adjacent biomechanical alteration, and leads to higher rates of pseudoarthrosis, disease progression, and even cauda equina syndrome. Mac-Thiong and Labelle considered that the reduction of high-grade spondylolisthesis allows direct decompression of the neural elements, corrects lumbosacral kyphosis, reduces tension on the fusion mass, improves sagittal spinopelvic balance, gait, and aesthetic appearance. In relation to this controversy, some authors consider that correcting lumbosacral kyphosis rather than the degree of sliding is essential in surgical treatment of high-grade spondylolisthesis since it is correlated with better results and relief of root compression.

Also, Poussa et al retrospectively reviewed data comparing groups that underwent staged circumferential reduction and fusion versus in situ fusion. At an average follow-up of 14.8 years, Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) and Scoliosis Research Society (SRS) scores were both significantly improved in the in situ group compared with the reduction group (1.6 vs. 7.2, p = 0.0096, and 90.0 vs. 103.9, p = 0.046, respectively). Furthermore, they reported significantly higher values for pain and postoperative function domains according to the SRS questionnaire. However, these findings may change since the surgical techniques evaluated in this study have changed in the last 30 years with the advent of newer fusion cages, screws, and the retreat of retroperitoneal minimally invasive ALIF techniques.

Considering that in situ arthrodesis does not correct the lumbar kyphosis, it is associated with progression of the deformity and pseudoarthrosis, even when L4 is involved in the construct. In addition, patients with dysplasia of the posterior elements of L4 and L5 may not be candidates for this technique due to limited space for the bone graft placement. Nevertheless, posterior arthrodesis with autologous or heterologous graft, with transpedicular and transsacral screws, which achieve partial reduction (modified Bohlman technique) or complete reduction (Cloward technique) of the llisthesis, are an adequate option to restore physiological lumbar lordosis, decrease lumbosacral shear forces, reduce the risk of nonunion, and maintain a permanent reduction of the llisthesis.

Furthermore, Hire et al report a case of L5–S1 spondylolisthesis treated by using a modified Bohlman technique with replacement of the fibular graft with an axial lumbar interbody fusion (AxiaLIF) bolt with solid fusion and partial correction of lumbar kyphosis 2 years after the procedure, which proves to be a less technically demanding procedure with better outcome at long term.

The stand-alone minimally invasive ALIF has shown in different case reports satisfactory results, clinically and radiographically in adolescents with high-grade llisthesis. However, Viglione et al concluded that there is limited evidence to support the safety and efficacy of this technique in the treatment of L5–S1 spondylolisthesis given the
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Discussion

Lumbar spondylolisthesis is the anterior translation of one vertebra over the other, which in most of the cases occurs due to a lysis in the pars interarticularis, creating instability and nerve compression. Thus, the standard of care is the interbody fusion, which can be open or percutaneous. The minimally invasive spine surgery (MIS) has increased in popularity in the last years, given the overall benefits in terms of recovery and minimal blood loss during the operation. A recent meta-analysis reported that patients with spondylolisthesis undergoing minimally invasive total lumbar interbody fusion (MI-TLIF) had less blood loss, less pain, and a lower rate of complications, without increasing the surgical time.

Most of the cases of high-grade spondylolisthesis requiring surgical intervention are corrected using open procedures, and there is a scarcity of information on the use of MIS for such cases. The use of MIS has been reported in procedures of patients with low-grade spondylolisthesis. Alvi et al. presented a case in which they achieved a reduction of a grade III L4–L5 isthmic anterolisthesis via MI-TLIF. Mehadian et al. described a similar technique with L5–S1 ALIF plus open posterior approach with L5 laminectomy, sacral dome osteotomy, and L4–S1 transpedicular instrumentation, obtaining good results in terms of reduction of the listhesis, absence of pseudoarthrosis, and improvement on the ODI and visual analog pain scale, even so, the minimally invasive approach achieves comparable results in terms of intervertebral fusion and has a lower complication rate compared to open surgery.

Based on the reviewed medical literature, the surgical procedure carried out in our patient corresponds to the first reported case of minimally invasive circumferential arthrodesis with iliac screws in a patient with a high-grade dysplastic spondylolisthesis. With the MIS, there is less segmental instability that can occur in open approaches; there is less need for muscle retraction leading to less tissue damage; and there is lower rate of secondary neurological deficit, pseudoarthrosis, and mechanical failures. Thus, the progressive incorporation of MIS in the treatment of complex spinal procedures like high-grade spondylolisthesis is promising; however, much more research in this area is required, and a greater number of cases are needed.

Conclusion

The management of high-grade spondylolisthesis is poorly defined; however, in the outlined case, the minimally invasive circumferential approach corrected the lumbosacral kyphosis and led to a complete reduction of listhesis. Further studies are required to determine whether the results of this case can be extrapolated to other patients with high-grade spondylolisthesis.
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