Periostitis Ossificans: Largest Case Series with Review of Literature
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Abstract

Background Periostitis ossificans (PO) are rare, benign ossifying surface lesions characterized by the centripetal ossification with osseous and soft-tissue edema. Their clinicoradiological appearances can easily mimic those of more sinister or infective surface lesion.

Objective This study aimed to explore the various anatomical locations and muscle attachment at the site of PO, and evaluate the role of complementary image findings in patients presenting at our tertiary orthopaedic referral center.

Patients and Methods A retrospective review of our oncology and radiology databases was undertaken to identify patients with PO reported on radiographs, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) over the past 13 years (2007–2020).

Results We identified 38 patients with PO with a mean age of 24 years (range: 4–66 years). Muscle attachment was seen at the site of PO in the majority of cases (89%). The majority of PO were in the lower limb and commonly seen around the attachment of quadriceps. Deltoid attachment was commonly involved in the upper limb.

Conclusion Muscle attachment is commonly seen at the site of PO, which results in stripping of the periosteum resulting in soft-tissue and osseous edema and centripetal ossification.
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Introduction

Periostitis ossificans (PO) is akin to myositis ossificans. This is defined as surface lesion with centripetal ossification, soft tissue and osseous edema, and stripping of the periosteum. The imaging features can mimic a sinister surface lesion, and appropriate diagnosis is key to decrease morbidity. We present the largest series of PO. We hypothesize that muscle attachment at the site of
PO causes periosteum stripping and elevation resulting in PO.

**Patient and Methods**

**Study Design and Patients**

Following local hospital committee approval, a retrospective review of our oncology database, Radiology Information System (RIS), and computerized radiology information system (CRIS) was undertaken to identify patients with PO reported on plain radiographs, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and computed tomography (CT) over the past 13 years (2007–2020). Most patients had radiographs, MRI, and CT. Patient demographics, clinical characteristics, and the data were categorized according to the site of the lesions and anatomical structure. The diagnosis was made on imaging alone as it is a “do not touch lesion.”

**Image Analysis**

The radiological images of all the patients were reviewed by a musculoskeletal radiologist with over 35 years of experiences (AMD) and a fellowship-trained musculoskeletal radiologist with more than 10 years experience (RB) for analysis. Radiographs, MRI, and CT were reviewed in all cases. MRI protocol involved a combination of T1 and fluid sensitive sequence (T2 fat suppressed or short tau inversion recovery [STIR]) images in axial and coronal or sagittal view. Radiographs and CT were analyzed for pattern of soft-tissue ossification and if this was in continuity with the adjacent bones. MR images were assessed for the presence of soft-tissue edema, osseous edema, periosteal stripping/elevation, and soft-tissue ossification. The presence of centripetal pattern of soft-tissue ossification continuous with adjacent bone was diagnostic of PO. The images were analyzed to ascertain the muscle group at the site of PO.

**Data Analysis**

Demographic data, clinical characteristics, and imaging features were collected from radiology and our oncology database for evaluation. Information was collated and analyzed using a Microsoft Excel data sheet for analysis.

**Results**

Our review identified a total of 38 patients with PO. The average age of our cohort was 24 years (range: 4–66 years). There was a male predominance with a ratio of 1.4 males to 1 female (22 males and 16 females). Muscle attachment at the site of PO was noted in the majority of cases (89% of cases \(n = 34\)). PO was more commonly seen in the lower limb, with quadriceps muscle (vastus intermedius) attachment frequently involved (Table 1). Forty-one percent cases \(n = 14\) were in the upper limb (UL). Of these, the majority involved the deltoid muscle attachment (12% of cases \(n = 4\); Figs. 1–5).

**Table 1** Various site of periostitis ossificans (muscle or tendon attachment) and number of patients with the condition within our study cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle or tendon at the site of periostitis ossificans</th>
<th>Number of patients with the condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brachialis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachioradialis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltoid</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensor carpi ulnaris</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensor digitorum longus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexor digitorum profundus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interossei muscle hand</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroneus brevis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soleus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibialis anterior</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapezius</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vastus intermedius</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vastus lateralis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vastus medialis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Anteroposterior (AP) radiographs of the (A) right femur, (B) axial T1, (C) short tau inversion recovery (STIR), and (D) computed tomography (CT) showing periostitis ossificans in relation to the medial aspect of the femur corresponding to attachment of the vastus intermedius and vastus lateralis.
Fig. 2  AL radiographs of the (A) left femur, (B) axial T1, (C) short tau inversion recovery (STIR), and (D) computed tomography (CT) showing periostitis ossificans in relation to the anterior aspect of the medial aspect of the femur corresponding to the attachment of the vastus intermedius.

Fig. 3  Lateral radiographs of the (A) elbow, (B) sagittal T1, (C) short tau inversion recovery (STIR), and (D) computed tomography (CT) showing periostitis ossificans in relation to the anterior aspect of the proximal ulna corresponding to the attachment of the brachialis.

Fig. 4  Radiographs of the (A) dorsoplantar, (B) coronal T1, and (C) T2 fat suppressed of the foot showing focus of the periostitis ossificans (PO) in between the first and second metatarsal and communication with the lateral cortex of the first metatarsal.

Fig. 5  Coronal (A) T1, (B) short tau inversion recovery (STIR), and (C) computed tomography (CT) showing periostitis ossificans in relation to the medial aspect of the femur. Note the communication between the femur and the focus of ossification.
In four cases, no muscle or tendon attachment could be found at the site of PO. These included a single case involving each of the radius, linea aspera, proximal phalanx, and little finger metacarpal.

**Discussion**

PO is a type of hypertrophic ossification. It represents a part of the spectrum of conditions with similar overlapping features such as bizarre parosteal osteochondromatous proliferation (BPOP), benign fibro-osseous pseudotumors, and turret exostosis.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\)

We believe the pattern and direction of periosteal stripping play a role in the development of PO at the various anatomical regions. The periosteum plays a critical part in serving as an attachment for muscles, tendons, and ligaments in humans. The process of ripping and repairing the periosteum following traumatic injuries leads to periosteal elevation and the development of soft-tissue ossification.\(^6\) In our cohort, history of trauma could not be elicited in any patients. We hypothesize that it could have been due to a sequela of trauma that they did not remember or presumed the event to be not important. Due to the presence of pluripotential mesenchymal cells and growth factors, periosteal disruption stimulates ossification. This is supported by the identification of PO at the site of muscle attachment in most patients in our series.\(^7\)

Clinically, patients with PO may present with nonspecific symptoms including pain, swelling, or skin erythema overlying the affected area.\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\) The imaging features of PO may overlap with other bone lesions such as BPOP, osteomyelitis, or myositis ossificans, and mimic highly sinister surface bone lesions, such as parosteal osteosarcomas (POS).\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^10\)\(^–\)\(^14\) This can represent a diagnostic dilemma to clinicians. Therefore, careful radiographic evaluation and diagnoses is required to ensure appropriate management is initiated. Traditionally, the first-line investigation for PO is radiography. This may depict a mixture of smooth and solid centripetal soft-tissue ossification associated with soft-tissue swelling. On CT imaging, the lesions can further be delineated to affect the external cortex, with preservation of the internal cortex.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\) MRI is important to assess soft-tissue involvement, and often demonstrates osseous edema, soft-tissue edema, periosteal elevation, and low signal corresponding to the site of ossification.\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^8\) PO features can be like that of POS. The key differentiator between them is the absence of inflammatory oedema and dense consolidation in POS. POS are commonly seen in young adults (20–40 years) with a predilection to the posterior aspect of the distal femur. Unlike PO in myositis ossificans, there is no connection between focus of heterotopic ossification and the adjacent bone. The presence of penumbra sign (peripheral of T1 high signal), sequestrum, and cortical thickening in osteomyelitis helps differentiate it from PO.

The periosteum is formed of two distinct layers: an inner layer (cambium) with significant osteoblastic potential and an outer fibrous layer.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^15\) There is a mixture of reactive osteoblastic and fibroblastic proliferation of both layers, with accompanying new woven bone formation. Osteoblasts can have atypical features; however, irregular mitotic appearances and cellular pleomorphism are absent, which are key in differentiating osteoblasts from malignant lesions.

Management of PO can be quite challenging to orthopaedic surgeons, and often depends on the severity of symptoms and anatomical location. The symptoms include pain and restriction of movements. The lesion demonstrates progressive centripetal ossification with resolution of osseous and soft-tissue edema over time. The management of this condition may consist of conservative symptomatic treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), limb rest, and physiotherapy.\(^5\) However, surgical resection is rarely performed and is reserved to those with severe symptoms.\(^9\)

Our study has few limitations. The numbers are relatively small; however, we feel our cohort is large enough to draw conclusions, in particular, about the imaging findings. The case selection is slightly biased as all were referred to tertiary orthopaedic oncology hospital as suspected tumor.

**Conclusion**

We would like to highlight that PO are rare surface lesions. Appropriate diagnostic measures are crucial to distinguish these from sinister neoplastic lesions. We recommend radiological follow-up to assess the lesion and ensure progressive centripetal ossification.
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