



Fusion of the Median and Musculocutaneous Nerves Masquerading as Biceps' Innervation from the Median Nerve

Ioannis Antonopoulos¹ Margarita- Michaela Ampadiotaki¹ George Tsikouris¹ Ioannis Chiotis¹
Georgios Tsakotos¹ Ioannis Pathiakos¹ Theodore G. Troupis¹

¹Department of Anatomy, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Zografou, Greece

J Brachial Plex Peripher Nerve Inj 2023;18:e42–e45.

Address for correspondence Theodore G. Troupis, Department of Anatomy, School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 75 Mikras Asias str., Goudi 11527, Athens, Greece (e-mail: ttroupis@med.uoa.gr).

Abstract

Keywords

- median nerve
- musculocutaneous nerve
- biceps' innervation
- brachial plexus

Embryologically, the musculocutaneous nerve (MCN) comes from the lateral root of the median nerve, and thus numerous anatomical variations concerning the formation and branching pattern of these two nerves of the brachial plexus have been described. In this case study, we describe a relatively uncommon case of fusion of the median and MCNs that was identified during routine teaching dissection of a male human cadaver. The identification of this anatomical variation requires awareness of the embryological background, as it may be confused with biceps innervation from the median nerve or the existence of a communicating branch between the two nerves. In addition, awareness of such anatomical variations is of undisputable significance for the safety of surgical operations in the brachial plexus and the arm in general.

Introduction

The brachial plexus (BP) is formed by the contribution of all the anterior divisions of the C5 to T1 nerves and a small contributing branch of the fourth cervical nerve (C4). These nerves join to form the three trunks and three cords of the BP (and finally the nerves that arise from it) in a way that significantly varies. The musculocutaneous nerve (MCN, C5–C7) comes from the lateral cord of the BP, whereas the median nerve (MN, C5–T1) from both the lateral and the median cord, formed by two neural roots that join in a “V”-shaped configuration.¹ This MN formation may also vary as there have been described cases of single-root or four-roots formation or even bifid or trifid roots.^{2–4} As for the innervation of the brachial muscles it is known that all the three muscles of the anterior compartment of the arm are innervated by the MCN and the posterior ones by the radial nerve.¹

The anatomy of the BP is quite complex, mainly due to its numerous variations, especially those including the existence

of communicating branches between BP nerves, for instance between the MN and MCN or the ulnar and radial nerves.⁵ More specifically the variations of the MCN are quite common as it may pass beneath or even through the biceps rather than perforating the coracobrachialis muscle (CBM), as well as it may send a communicating branch to the MN. In this case study, we aim to describe in detail a relatively rare case of partial fusion of the MN and the MCN and highlight its potential clinical significance.

Case Report

The reported case was identified during the dissection of the right axilla and arm of a male formalin-fixed (10% v/v solution) cadaver. The dissection was held for both educational and research reasons at the dissection hall of our anatomy department. The cadaver was of Greek origin and derived from body donation with the written and informed consent of the donor, according to the relevant legislation.⁶

received
October 18, 2022
accepted after revision
February 3, 2023

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-1767674>.
ISSN 1749-7221.

© 2023. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)
Georg Thieme Verlag KG, Rüdigerstraße 14, 70469 Stuttgart, Germany




Fig. 1 The formation of the terminal branches of the brachial plexus from the medial and lateral cords. Muscular to the coracobrachialis muscle (white arrow). AA, axillary artery; Lr, lateral root of the median nerve; BBM, biceps brachialis muscle; CBM, coracobrachialis muscle; CNT, common neural trunk; MCN, musculocutaneous nerve; MN, median nerve; Mr, medial root of the median nerve; UN, ulnar nerve; (*), medial cutaneous brachii nerve.

The specimen was properly cleaned and photographed. A Würth digital Vernier caliper (0.01 mm, accuracy) was used for the measurements of the distances and nerves' diameters.

As depicted in **Fig. 1** the MN (diameter—d: 1.10 mm) was formed, as usual, from a lateral and a medial root at the level of the border between the second and third segments of the axillary artery. We identified the cords and the terminal branches of the BP, and we first thought that we were facing a case of a communicating branch from the MCN (d: 1.98 mm)

to the MN. However, the thickness of that branch to the MN (d: 1.67 mm) was greater than the counterpart of the branch toward the CBM (d: 0.31 mm). This difference was indicative that the nerve to the CBM was not the main continuation of the MCN but only a motor branch.

Moreover, by continuing the dissection further (**Fig. 2**), we identified some motor branches arising from the lateral side of the supposed to be MN toward the biceps brachii and a sensory neural branch that followed a course along the radial side of the forearm. So, this nerve was actually the lateral




Fig. 2 The division of the common neural trunk (CNT) into the median nerve (MN, grey), muscular branches to the biceps brachialis muscle (BBM) and the lateral cutaneous antebrachii nerve (blue). MCN, musculocutaneous nerve.




Fig. 3 BA, brachial artery; BBM, biceps brachialis muscle; MCN, musculocutaneous nerve continuing as lateral cutaneous antebrachii nerve (blue); MCBN, medial cutaneous brachii nerve (yellow); MN, median nerve.

cutaneous antebrachii nerve and the rest of the neural stem continued as the MN.

As a result, the case described was not a communication branch between the MCN and MN, or motor branches from the MN to the biceps brachii, but it was a fusion of the MN and MCN. After its origin from the lateral cord of the BP, the MCN gave off a branch to the CBM and then it merged with the MN. After covering some distance incorporated to a common neural trunk (CNT) (d: 2.96 mm), the two nerves finally separated and the MCN gave off some branches to the biceps brachii muscle and continued as the lateral cutaneous antebrachia nerve, while the MN continued its course distantly to the forearm and hand (► Fig. 3).

Discussion

The embryological basis for the anatomical variations in the MCN and MN is dated to the 4th to 7th week of intrauterine development. Loops linking the neural fibers that innervate the limbs constitute a plexus. The anterior segmental branches are specifically combined to form the MN, which is followed by the MCN's emergence from the MN.⁶ If the MCN does not get fully (or "appropriately") separated from the MN, then the branching pattern of the two nerves may be altered. Usually, in these cases, a communicating neural branch between MCN and MN may occur or even a fusion between the two nerves as in the variation described.

By an extensive review of the relevant literature, we found only a few cases similar to this one reported.^{7,8} It has been proposed that in such variations the MCN is hypoplastic and terminates its course into the CBM, and thus, the innervation of the rest anterior brachial muscles is aberrant from the MN.⁷ However, we do believe (at least in our case) that the MCN is not terminated inside the CBM but it gives off a motor branch to the CBM and right after it incorporates to the MN. This perspective is also based on the definition of the MCN as provided by Guerri-Guttenberg and Ingolotti (2009) accord-

ing to which the MCN is "the nerve that originates from the lateral cord of the brachial plexus at the point where the lateral root of the median nerve is detached from it"⁹. Indeed, in the reported case, the nerve that entered and innervated the CBM was not the one that detached from the MN's lateral root but only a branch from this nerve.

Other relatively common anatomical variations with the same embryological background involve the existence of communicating branches between the MN and MCN¹⁰ and also the total absence of the MCN. In the last case, there is a full fusion of the MCN and MN as the MCN has never detached from the MN's lateral root, and thus all the three muscles of the anterior compartment of the arm are innervated by the MN.^{11,12}

Awareness of such anatomical variations and understanding of their background is of great significance for orthopaedic surgeons and neurosurgeons as they may complicate brachial plexus surgical procedures.^{13,14} Also, aberrant nerves' formation and the existence of communicating neural branches may alter the clinical manifestation of nerve lesions (such as trauma, entrapment, and compression) and lead to misdiagnoses.^{5,7,10}

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Acknowledgments

Our sincere thanks to Emeritus Prof. of Anatomy Alexandros Paraschos for his quite useful assistance.

The authors also, sincerely thank those who donated their bodies to science so that anatomical research could be performed. Results from such research can potentially increase mankind's overall knowledge which can then improve patient care. Therefore, these donors and their families deserve our highest gratitude.¹⁵

References

- 1 Gray H, Howden R, Pick T. Gray's Anatomy. 15th ed. New York: Barnes & Noble; 2010:745–753
- 2 Chrysikos D, Papapostolou S, Samolis A, Antonopoulos I, Troupis T. Anatomical variation of a trifid (trifurcation) lateral root origin of the median nerve. *Folia Morphol (Warsz)* 2020;79(04):860–862
- 3 Patil ST, Meshram MM, Kasote AP, Kamdi NY. Formation of median nerve from single root on left side and communicating branch from median nerve to musculocutaneous nerve on right side. *Morphologie* 2012;96(313):51–54
- 4 Satyanarayana N, Vishwakarma N, Kumar GP, Guha R, Dattal AK, Sunitha P. Rare variations in the formation of median nerve—embryological basis and clinical significance. *Nepal Med Coll J* 2009;11(04):287–290
- 5 Arquez HF. Anastomosis between ulnar and radial nerve: a cadaveric study. *Int Arch Med* 2017 (e-pub ahead of print). Doi: 10.3823/2479
- 6 McHanwell S, Brenner E, Chirculescu ARM, et al. The legal and ethical framework governing Body Donation in Europe—a review of current practice and recommendations for good practice. *Eur J Anat* 2008;12:1–24
- 7 Benes M, Kachlik D. Atypical branching of the musculocutaneous and median nerves with associated unusual innervation of muscles in the anterior compartment of the arm: case report

- and plea for extension of the current classification system. *Surg Radiol Anat* 2021;43(05):671–678
- 8 Clarke E, Wysiadecki G, Haładaj R, Skrzat J. Fusion between the median and musculocutaneous nerve: a case study. *Folia Med Cracov* 2019;59(03):45–52
 - 9 Guerri-Guttenberg RA, Ingolotti M. Classifying musculocutaneous nerve variations. *Clin Anat* 2009;22(06):671–683
 - 10 Chrysikos D, Athanasopoulos A, Georgakopoulos P, Antonopoulos I, Samolis A, Troupis T. Anatomical variation of a communicating branch between the musculocutaneous and the median nerve: a case report. *Acta Med Acad* 2020;49(01):71–74
 - 11 Le Minor JM. [A rare variation of the median and musculocutaneous nerves in man]. *Arch Anat Histol Embryol* 1990;73:33–42
 - 12 Nakatani T, Mizukami S, Tanaka S. Three cases of the musculocutaneous nerve not perforating the coracobrachialis muscle. *Kaibogaku Zasshi* 1997;72(03):191–194
 - 13 Das KK, Joseph J, Gosal JS, et al. “Undercutting of the corresponding rib”: a novel technique of increasing the length of donor in intercostal to musculocutaneous nerve transfer in brachial plexus injury. *Br J Neurosurg* 2019;17:1–4
 - 14 Hagemann C, Stücker R, Breyer S, Kunkel POS. Nerve transfer from the median to musculocutaneous nerve to induce active elbow flexion in selected cases of arthrogryposis multiplex congenita. *Microsurgery* 2019;39(08):710–714
 - 15 Iwanaga J, Singh V, Ohtsuka A, et al. Acknowledging the use of human cadaveric tissues in research papers: recommendations from anatomical journal editors. *Clin Anat* 2021;34(01):2–4