Elevated serum creatine kinase (CK) activity is usually an indicator of muscle damage. HyperCKemia is often an incidental finding and should be controlled after refraining from physical activity for some days, especially in asymptomatic patients. Furthermore, data from recent studies indicate that the upper limits of normal (ULN) need to be revised upward. This review includes an algorithm for the differential diagnosis of CK elevation in patients without muscular symptoms. In the field of neurology, in particular myopathies and neuropathies with affection of the lower motor neuron can cause symptomatic hyperCKemia, with CK values > 1000 U/l (16.7 µkat/l) being indicative of a primary muscle disorder. Diseases with very high CK values include subtypes of muscular dystrophies, idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and metabolic myopathies. However, a normal or only slightly elevated CK value does not exclude the presence of a myopathy. The individual diagnostic procedure (e.g., muscle imaging, special laboratory studies, muscle biopsy and genetic testing) depends on the clinical phenotype and the results of electrophysiological studies. HyperCKemia can also be an adverse effect of several drugs including statins. In asymptomatic patients, statin-associated CK elevations < 5 times the ULN can be tolerated. In patients with higher CK values and/or muscle symptoms, LDL-cholesterol lowering therapy should be changed. Rhabdomyolysis is a potentially life-threatening condition and is accompanied by highly elevated CK values. Acute phase treatment includes preserving renal function and restoring metabolic derangements.
CK level is an important parameter in the differential diagnosis of neuromuscular diseases. Yet, hyperCKemia as an incidental finding is of limited diagnostic significance and should always be evaluated in the context of clinical findings. Special attention should be paid to the fact that after physical activity, e.g., when exercising or on the job, and after injuries, it is normal to find significant increases in serum CK activity over a period of several days. In particular after extreme physical exertion, it may take more than 2 weeks until CK levels have returned to normal [42]. Thus, it is advisable to repeat CK testing after several days of rest. Key factors influencing the further diagnostic process include the patient’s medical history and clinical examination findings.

What is Still Normal? – The Reference Range Problem

When do we speak of hyperCKemia? This is not a question that can easily be answered as the answer depends on the reference values used – and these still are the subject of ongoing discussions. The International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC) last updated the standards for measuring serum CK activity in 2002 [54]. The *preliminary* upper limits of normal (ULN) issued then were 145 U/L (2.4 µkat/L) and 171 U/L (2.9 µkat/L) for women and men, respectively. These values should represent the 97.5th percentile which would mean that only 2.5% of healthy individuals should have CK levels above this upper limit. These normal values are based on IFCC recommendations from 1991 [20] which in turn are derived from national recommendations of various European countries between 1976 and 1982 [20]. However, attention should be paid to differences in the method used to measure CK levels and the studied patient population. In addition, normal ranges were calculated based on the assumption of normal distribution. Yet, there is some evidence indicating that this not the case, especially if CK levels are high [38]. Ultimately, this leads to an increased number of false positive results. For example, in a study with 968 healthy subjects younger than 25 years of age conducted in Israel, 18.9% of men and 4.6% of women had CK levels > 200 U/L (3.3 µkat/L) [28]. In a study by Brewster et al. from the Netherlands published in 2007, which enrolled 1444 persons aged between 34 and 60 years, 36% had elevated CK levels (with ULNs of 140 U/L (2.3 µkat/L) for women and 174 U/L (2.9 µkat/L) for men) [6]. Here, the ethnicity of the subjects was the decisive factor. Among subjects of European origin, only 8% of women and 17% of men had elevated CK levels. By contrast, among subjects of African origin, 42% of women and even as much as 62% of men were found to have increased CK levels. The 97.5th percentiles for serum CK activity were 201 U/L (3.4 µkat/L) in European women and 322 U/L (5.4 µkat/L) in European men and thus significantly higher than the IFCC’s ULNs. In subjects of African origin, 97.5th percentiles were once again twice as high with 414 U/L (6.9 µkat/L) for women and 801 U/L (13.4 µkat/L) for men.

These numbers highlight the need to further discuss the reference range for CK. The 2010 guidelines of the European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS) propose to adapt the CK reference range according to the study by Brewster et al. [25]. However, in 2012 a Norwegian study was published which included data of altogether 6904 persons. The 97.5th percentiles of CK was 207 U/L (3.3 µkat/L) in European women and 367 U/L (6.1 µkat/L) for men [31]. As this was a high-quality study with significantly more subjects, we advocate relying on these data as a guidance for individuals of European origin. Amending the reference range by applying higher cut-off values results in improved specificity for neuromuscular disorders and significantly reduces the number of false-positive results. It helps to prevent unnecessary additional diagnostic tests in health individuals. On the other hand, it lowers the sensitivity of the laboratory parameter. However, this downside appears to be of comparatively low clinical relevance [41]. It should be borne in mind that even with the current reference range not all neuromuscular disorders are associated with elevated CK levels. Thus, in the presence of clinical signs and symptoms indicative of neuromuscular abnormalities, an adequate work-up should be performed in all cases, regardless of CK levels.

Asymptomatic HyperCKemia

In asymptomatic hyperCKemia, the patient has no history of relevant muscular symptoms and, by definition, clinical neurological examination is unremarkable. The causes of this finding are many and varied (Table 1). Here, key questions to be addressed in the medical history include previous illnesses, neuromuscular disor-
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The results of these studies varied for a number of reasons [25]. However, looking at the overall picture it can be stated that about half of the patients had abnormal EMG findings. With extensive further work-up, including muscle biopsy and genetic tests, 20 % of patients were diagnosed with myopathy and 5-10 % with neuropathy. In approx. 40 % of patients, histology revealed unspecific muscle tissue changes which could not be more precisely linked to specific conditions. However, it is likely that if the now available advanced molecular genetic tests (panel diagnosis, whole exome sequencing) were used, mutations with known disease genes could be demonstrated in a subgroup of these patients. About one third of patients had no abnormalities at all and was classified as idiopathic hyperCKemia.

Recommendations for the diagnostic algorithm in patients with asymptomatic hyperCKemia

Fig. 1 shows an algorithm established from a pragmatic point of view based on the available evidence and existing guidelines. As described above, the first step should be to measure CK levels after several days with little physical activity and to determine the percentage of CK-MB (especially with regard to potential macro-CK). In case of persistent increased CK activity it is advisable to first rule out medical causes or side effects of drugs. If this does not clearly indicate a diagnosis, the EFNS guidelines recommend to only initiate further neurological work-up if CK levels exceed 1.5 times the upper limit of normal. However, we think that the use of MH-triggering substances should be avoided as a precaution, even in cases with mild hyperCKemia. With regard to further diagnostic tests, we consider it advisable to perform an MRI scan of the leg muscles (with an interval to EMG), besides electrophysiological testing, as this method is very sensitive in detecting lipomatous and edematous muscle changes. In case of unremarkable results, a suspected diagnosis of idiopathic hyperCKemia can be established and subsequent diagnostic assessments be limited to follow-up checks (with the residual risk of wrong classification; see Info Box Genetic testing in asymptomatic hyperCKemia). However, if findings are indicative of myopathy or neuropathy, further testing has to be performed as required. In case of specific findings, e.g., detection of myotonic discharge series in EMG, or in case of a specific distribution pattern of muscle alterations in MR imaging, a targeted genetic assessment may be indicated. If findings are suggestive of myopathy, it is recommended to perform a muscle biopsy; in this case, histopathological processing and analysis should be performed by an experienced center. If specific histological changes are detected, it may be possible to establish a diagnosis or initiate targeted genetic examinations. In case of unspecific myopathological changes, stepwise diagnosis as in symptomatic hyperCKemia should be considered. If histology is unremarkable, the further diagnostic procedure is to be established on an individual basis, taking into account the extent of the changes in electromyography and MRI.

Symptomatic HyperCKemia

Patients with symptomatic hyperCKemia report muscle problems and usually show abnormalities on clinical neurological examination. With regard to potential causes, our focus is on acquired and inherited myopathies and neuropathies. It is beyond the scope of this review to discuss the approximately 800 different neuromuscular disorders with now more than 250 known disease genes in detail. In addition, the available evidence on these rare diseases is often inadequate and CK levels may vary widely, even between patients with the same condition. Thus, the information provided in the following example is only indicative.
Myopathies

Progressive muscle weakness, in some disorders with characteristic distribution, in conjunction with muscle atrophy is the hallmark of most primary muscle disorders. Facultative signs and symptoms, such as myalgia (see Info Box), exercise intolerance, myotonia or signs of increased muscle excitability, rash, joint contractures, dysmorphic stigmata, and signs of multi-organ involvement, may provide valuable information for establishing the diagnosis. Fig. 2 shows examples of some patients with various myopathies. For recommendations on the diagnostic process in myopathies, please refer to the recently updated and open-access guideline of the German Society of Neurology (DGN) on the topic (AWMF registration number 030/115). In some myopathies, phenotypes are so characteristic that the diagnosis established after laboratory testing and electrophysiological studies can usually be confirmed by direct genetic testing. These disorders include facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy and oculopharyngeal muscular dystrophy, myotonic dystrophy type 1 (and to some extent also type 2), and certain types of non-dystrophic myotonias. By contrast, the differential diagnostic work-up of other myopathies often requires individual case-by-case examination.
extensive and sophisticated special diagnostic tests, among others muscle MRI (Fig. 3) and muscle biopsy (Fig. 4). Therefore, it is advisable to refer these patients to a specialized neuromuscular center. Patients should be informed that it is not always possible to identify the cause of the disease even after extensive diagnostic testing. Using the diagnostic resources available today, a precise diagnosis can be established in up to 75% of symptomatic cases [43]. However, if the most common causes have already been ruled out, chances of successfully establishing the diagnosis may be considerably poorer in some patients. On the other hand, advanced genetic tests have opened up new possibilities which have led to the identification of numerous new disease genes in recent years. Thus, re-evaluation of still undiagnosed patients with symptomatic hyperCKemia at a later point may well be justified. Special attention should be paid to disorders for which specific treatment options are available or will become available in the foreseeable future. A noteworthy example is Pompe disease which can be treated with enzyme replacement therapy.

Inherited and acquired myopathies are often, but not always, associated with elevated CK levels. Usually, it is not possible to draw direct conclusions on the underlying cause of a myopathy based on CK levels alone. However, taking into account the patient’s (fam-
ily) history, clinical phenotype and results of additional diagnostic tests, the extent of hyperCKemia can influence the direction of the further diagnostic work-up. Disorders associated with very high CK levels include certain types of muscular dystrophies, idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and metabolic myopathies.

Muscular dystrophies are a good example to demonstrate the wide range of elevated CK levels in myopathies. In patients with mutations in the dystrophin gene, the most common cause of hereditary myopathy (Duchenne and Becker types of muscular dystrophy), mean CK levels are about 10 000 U/L (166.7 µkat/L) [64]. In patients with limb-girdle muscular dystrophy (LGMD), certain recessive subtypes, e.g., LGMD2B (affected protein: dysferlin), LGMD2I (FKRP) and LGMD2L (anoctamin 5), are associated with high CK levels in the 5-digit range. By contrast, in other subtypes of the disease, e.g., LGMD1A (myotilin), LGMD1D (DNAJB6) or LGMD2J (titin), CK levels are only slightly (< 600 U/L; < 10 µkat/L) to moderately (600-1500 U/L; 10-25 µkat/L) increased or even within the normal range. This also applies to facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) and myotonic dystrophies, the most common hereditary myopathies in adults, and to oculopharyngeal muscular dystrophy (OPMD). Thus, the generalized statement that muscular dystrophies are always associated with high CK levels is not true. In distal myopathies, the degree of hyperCKemia varies widely and is dependent on the cause of the disease. In patients with non-dystrophic myotonias, myofibrillar myopathies and congenital myopathies with specific structural abnormalities, CK levels are typically only slightly to moderately elevated or even within the normal range.

In patients with metabolic myopathies which include glycogenoses, lipid myopathies and mitochondrial myopathies, CK levels again depend very much on subtype and in some cases also on the time of blood collection. For example, type 2 glycogenosis (Pompe disease) is associated with mild, persistent hyperCKemia. In type 5 glycogenosis (McArdle disease), clinically characterized by exercise intolerance with myalgia and contractures, CK levels are in the 4-digit range in many patients. In addition, about half of patients with McArdle disease experience recurrent rhabdomyolysis (see below) associated with acute excessive increase in serum CK activity and myoglobinuria. Attack-like increases in CK levels and myoglobinuria in conjunction with critical pain exacerbations occur with the most common form of lipid myopathies—CPT2 deficiency [10]. Potential triggers include prolonged physical exercise, cold, fever, fasting, a diet low in carbohydrates and rich in fats, treatment with valproate, and the administration of anesthetics. In the symptom-free interval, however, CK levels are typical normal or only slightly elevated.

In idiopathic myositis, mean CK levels are at about 3.000 U/L (50 µkat/L) [29, 64], but can increase to levels above 10.000 U/L (166.7 µkat/L). By contrast, sporadic inclusion body myositis is associated with usually mild to moderate hyperCKemia.
Hypothyroidism-associated myopathy is the most common endocrine myopathy. It may lead to mild hyperCKemia [35] and is clinically characterized by mild, proximal pareses and myalgias as well as slow muscle contraction and delayed muscle relaxation (similar to myotonias, but without myotonic discharges in EMG). These muscle symptoms usually disappear with appropriate therapy. Likewise, hyperthyroidism regularly causes myopathy, but CK levels typically remain within the normal range. However, in rare cases rhabdomyolysis may occur during thyrotoxic crisis.

**Statin-associated CK elevation**

Numerous drugs can cause muscle symptoms and hyperCKemia. Due to its clinical relevance, also for neurologists, we will discuss statin-induced CK elevation in detail in the following.

According to a recent review, statin-associated muscle symptoms occur in 7-29% of patients treated with statins [57]. Myalgia in combination with mild CK elevation is common, but muscle weakness and muscle cramps may occur as well. Symptom onset is typically within the first 4-6 weeks after start of treatment [44] and this side effect is one of the main reasons for discontinuation of cholesterol-lowering statins. In 0.1 to 0.01% of patients, CK levels increase to more than 10 times the ULN. Risk factors for statin intolerance include age, sex, physical constitution, comorbidities, and co-medication as well as genetic predisposition [58, 2, 49].

The pathophysiology of statin-induced adverse effects is related to mitochondrial dysfunction associated, among others, with changes in coenzyme Q10 concentrations in the muscle leading to impaired intracellular ATP generation. Furthermore, alterations in protein homeostasis, including changes in the autophagy system, are discussed [57]. In some patients, autoimmunogenic reactions with generation of antibodies to HMG-CoA reductase have been described [32], potentially leading to independent necrotizing myopathy.

Isolated hyperCKemia does not necessarily require a change of statin medication. In clinically asymptomatic patients, CK levels up to 5 times above the ULN can be tolerated [40–3]. Definitions of
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**Fig. 4** Example of histological findings in myopathies. a: Normal control. b: Dystrophic tissue with increased variability of fiber diameters, regenerating muscle fibers, central nuclei, and increased amounts of connective and adipose tissues. c and d: glycogenosis type 5 with PAS-positive (d) vacuoles (glycogen deposits). e and f: Myofibrillar myopathy with massive protein aggregation in muscle fibers and detection of filamin C (green in f) and desmin (red in f) within the aggregates. a-c: HE stain; d: PAS stain; e: trichrome stain; f: immunofluorescence analyses.
stain-induced myopathy and recommendations for the management of statin-associated muscle symptoms and hyperCKemia differ [40, 36, 57] and some have to be questioned from the perspective of myology [3]. Below, a brief summary of the 2015 recommendations of the European Atherosclerosis Society [57]:

In patients with muscle symptoms and CK levels of up to 4 times the (old) ULNs, the first step should be to interrupt statin therapy for 2–4 weeks. If symptoms improve, it is recommended to start treatment with another statin after review of the indication. If symptoms recur, a low-dose therapy with a third statin or adjustment of the administration interval should be attempted. In case symptoms persist despite interruption of statin therapy, another cause of the muscle symptoms can be assumed and it is recommended to restart the same statin therapy. A critical point, however, is that it can take several months after statin discontinuation before statin-associated muscle symptoms have disappeared. Taking this into consideration, in our opinion the approach should be to either switch the patient to an alternative LDL cholesterol-lowering treatment or—if reasonable—to interrupt statin treatment for a prolonged period of time and then restart it. In addition, these patients should be referred to a neuromuscular center for further diagnostic work-up.

In case of muscle symptoms and a CK increase by more than 4 times the ULN, first statin treatment should be interrupted for a period of 6 weeks and then an attempt with an alternative low-dose statin medication or an alternating drug administration should be made. In case of findings indicative of statin-induced rhabdomyolysis (see below), however, no further statin treatment should be attempted.

The aim of this management approach is to adequately lower LDL cholesterol levels [47] with statins (up to the maximum dose tolerated by the patient). If this is not possible, treatment with ezetimibe, if necessary in combination with bile acid reabsorption inhibitors and/or fibrates (except for gemfibrozil) is recommended. If the target range of LDL levels is still not reached with this regimen, the use of new treatment options, such as monoclonal anti-PCSK9 antibodies or CETP inhibitors, should be considered.

Neuropathies

Medical history and clinical examination findings often are strongly indicative of peripheral neuropathy. However, in case of exclusive motor deficits, it may be difficult to distinguish between neuropathy and primary muscle conditions, such as distal myopathies. However, the results of additional electrophysiological testing usually help to overcome this hurdle. In complicated cases, a combination of peripheral neuropathy and myopathy can be present, for example in certain types of myofibrillar myopathies [23] or BICD2-associated disorders [60]. For information about the pragmatic diagnostic work-up of hereditary peripheral neuropathies, please refer to the review by Dräger and Young [12].

In case of acquired and hereditary neuropathies with involvement of the 2nd motor neuron, mild to moderate hyperCKemia is often present. In cohort studies, CK levels were elevated in 27% of patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP, N = 67) [1], in more than 50% of patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS, N = 21) [51], in 67% of patients with small-fiber neuropathy (N = 12) [33], and in all patients with axonal neuropathy due to TRPV4 mutations (N = 17) [14]. In Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease patients with NEFL mutations, a study found CK levels between 433 and 1213 U/L (7.2-20.2 µkat/L) [4]. With other types of CMT (N = 205), between 3% (in PMP22 duplications) and 30% (with MPZ mutations) of patients had increased CK levels [19]. In a cohort of 504 patients with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), CK activity with SMA I and II was about one third, in SMA IIIa in 57% and in SMA IIIb in more than 90% of patients above twice the standard deviation [52]. In a more recent study with 80 patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), serum CK activity was increased in 45% of patients [18]. The highest level in that study was 1992 U/L (33.2 µkat/L). This is in line with our own clinical experience that CK levels above 1000 U/L (16.7 µkat/L) are relatively common in patients with ALS. In patients with Kennedy syndrome, CK levels of more than 4000 U/L (66.7 µkat/L) have been reported [17, 48]. However, a myopathic component of the disease is being discussed [56]. Typically, CK levels in neuropathy patients are markedly lower and CK levels above 1000 U/L (16.7 µkat/L) are, in principle, indicative of a myopathy.

Rhabdomyolysis

Rhabdomyolysis is a potentially life-threatening condition in which content of muscle fibers is released into the circulation after acute and extensive injury to muscle tissue. CK levels increase to more than 10 times the ULN (in some cases > 200,000 U/L (3333.3 µkat/L)), but subsequently drop rapidly. Clinically, patients present with the following key symptoms: severe myalgia and paresis, developed within hours or days and affecting especially the proximal leg muscles; muscle swelling and the reddish-brown urine of myoglobinuria. However, in most cases not all symptoms appear simultaneously. The classical triad of muscle weakness, myalgias and discoloration of urine is rarely seen in patients [65]. The definition of rhabdomyolysis, for which as yet no consensus has been reached, has certainly contributed to this. Some authors call for using a CK elevation of more than 5 times the ULN as the threshold [26]. However, we think this threshold is far too low. It should be noted that the risk of acute renal failure is generally very low if CK levels are under 15 000-20 000 U/L (250-333.3 µkat/L) [5]. However, in the presence of concomitant factors such as sepsis, dehydration and acidosis, renal failure can already occur at CK levels starting from about 5000 U/L (83.3 µkat/L) [5].

The most important complication of rhabdomyolysis is acute renal failure. It is primarily caused by tubular obstruction by myoglobin casts, but vasoconstriction and hypovolemia as well as direct toxic effects of myoglobin to renal parenchyma can play a role too. Cardiac arrhythmia, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and compartment syndromes are other relevant complications [65].
Recommendations for acute treatment include forced diuresis with intravenous infusions (NaCl 0.9%) and furosemide, correction of electrolyte imbalances and, if required, intravenous administration of sodium bicarbonate to alkalize the urine (target pH 6.5). Infusion treatment should be continued until CK levels have dropped to < 1000 U/L (< 16.7 µkat/L). Hemodialysis may be indicated to treat life-threatening hyperkalemia or metabolic acidosis. Compartment syndromes may require surgical treatment and attention should be paid to hemorrhagic complications in patients with DIC [65].

Rhabdomyolysis can be triggered by a wide range of acquired and inherited factors [65]. The most common causes are substance abuse (34%), medications (11%), accidents (9%), and seizures (7%) [37]. Hereditary myopathies should be considered especially in patients with recurrent episodes, positive family history or pre-existing muscular exercise intolerance. They are typically associated with rhabdomyolysis (at times the first manifestation of the disease), comprise some types of metabolic myopathies (e.g., CPT2 deficiency, McArdle disease), certain muscular dystrophies and also structural myopathies.

Functional tests for metabolic myopathies play a key role in the work-up of rhabdomyolysis. These include the non-ischemic forearm exercise test, the bicycle ergometer test and the tandem gait test. These tests provide first non-invasive hints of potential disturbances of glycogen metabolism, lipid metabolism and the mitochondrial respiratory chain. Ideally, these special tests should be conducted in muscle centers experienced in the performance and interpretation of these examinations. It should then be evaluated on an individual basis whether a muscle biopsy and/or additional genetic tests should follow. Currently, the sensitivity of selective NGS panel testing, comprising metabolic and other genes, after a completed rhabdomyolysis episode is scientifically evaluated and may replace or complement the more invasive and time-consuming muscle biopsy. An important aspect of the diagnostic work-up of rhabdomyolysis is that a muscle biopsy should only be performed at least 4 weeks after a completed episode of rhabdomyolysis so that the histopathological assessment is not complicated by the great number of rhabdomyolysis-related muscle fiber necroses.

Conclusion for Clinical Practice
Elevated CK levels should first be rechecked after several days of reduced physical activity and alcohol abstinence, using the recommended new reference range. In case of persisting hyperCKemia, the next diagnostic step should be to rule out potential medical causes. Asymptomatic CK elevations do not necessarily require a diagnostic work-up (see algorithm), but clinical follow-ups are advisable and in case of anesthesia substances which may trigger MH should be avoided, as a precaution. In patients with symptomatic hyperCKemia, it should be taken into consideration that neuropa-thies with involvement of the 2nd motor neuron are frequently associated with CK elevations, while myopathies are not necessarily associated with high CK levels. In case of suspected neuromuscular disease, further tests should be performed, preferably in a Neuromuscular Center, especially when the phenotype is not specific for a particular disease. Adverse drug reactions are another potential cause of hyperCKemia. In asymptomatic patients with statin-associated CK elevation, CK levels up to 5 times the ULN can be tolerated. In case of statin-associated muscle symptoms, a change in medication is usually indicated; however, in case of rhabdomyolysis, treatment with HMG-CoA-reductase inhibitors should be discontinued permanently. Severe rhabdomyolysis which can be caused by a variety of factors is a potentially life-threatening condition and may lead to acute renal failure. One should think of an underlying myopathy especially in patients with recurrent rhabdo-myolyses, a family history positive for muscle disorders or in case of muscular exercise intolerance.

**GENETIC TESTING IN ASYMPTOMATIC HYPERCKEMIA**

Whether it is reasonable to perform molecular genetic analyses in patients with asymptomatic hyperCKemia remains the subject of controversy. For example, the EFNS guidelines recommend to conduct genetic tests in all women with CK elevations > 325 U/L (> 5.4 µkat/L) to determine carrier status for Duchenne and Becker types of muscular dystrophy caused by mutations in the dystrophin gene (DMD). The sensitivity of the recommended method (multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification [MLPA]) is reported to be approximately 70% [25]. However, taking into account the incidence of dystrophinopathies [24], the distribution of CK levels in the healthy population (see above) and the fact that CK levels are not elevated in 33-50% of carriers [27, 62, 7, 59–13] (based on the current reference range; consequently, the proportion of affected women with CK levels of up to 325 U/L (5.4 µkat/L) is even higher), the sensitivity of the recommended method to detect carriers of dystrophinopathies is < 50% with a specificity of < 0.5%. Thus, more than 200 women have to undergo expensive genetic testing to identify one carrier. Besides that, when using this method, more than half of the carriers are not detected. Thus, in this context CK levels are only of limited value as a biomarker. Due to the lack of convincing data, we are critical of this EFNS recommendation; consequently, we have not included it in our algorithm (see ▶ Fig. 1). In this context, we see preconception carrier screening as a more appropriate approach. Couples with the desire to have a child are tested for carrier status for various severe disorders with autosomal recessive or X-linked inheritance which applies to numerous myopathies. However, ethical aspects need to be taken into consideration [39].
MYALGIAS
In the differential diagnosis of hyperCKemia, asking patients about their history of myalgias is important. Several myopathies have myalgia in combination with hyperCKemia as characteristic—or at least important—features (e.g., in McArdle disease [50] and other metabolic myopathies, certain types of myositis [11], and myotonic dystrophy type 2 [53]). Muscle pain may also play an important role in disorders such as FSHD, dystrophinopathies, LGMD1C, LGMD2A, LGMD2I, and myotonic dystrophy type 1. Soreness experienced deep in the muscle is characteristic of myopathies. In other types of hyperCKemia, with or without myopathy, patients may experiences myalgia but it plays a minor role (e.g., in Pompe disease [22]), while still other conditions are completely or almost completely painless.

When taking the patient’s history, it should be clarified whether the muscle pain is localized or generalized and whether the patient experiences myalgia in its strict sense or cramps, i.e. muscle pain caused by involuntary and usually visible, very painful muscle contractions. In myalgias stricto sensu it should be asked whether the patient experiences the pain at rest or reproducibly linked to physical exercise (exercise intolerance). In patients presenting with the combination of hyperCKemia and myalgias, various causes have to be taken into considerations, above all myopathies, but also neuropathies. An important differential diagnosis of this combination is physical activity or excessive exercising in untrained individuals. As a rule of thumb, muscle pain during or immediately after physical exercise is indicative of myopathy (e.g., metabolic myopathy). By contrast, muscle pain experienced only 24–48 hours after physical exercise is suggestive of soreness after excessive exercising. The pathophysiology of these myalgias is not fully understood, but apparently microtrauma and local inflammation play an important role. As with hyperCKemia, the patient should first be asked to rest for a few days to be able to better assess the link between muscle pain and physical exercise. By contrast, cramps are of primary neurogenic origin, but may also occur with a number of myopathies and with disorders of the central nervous system and during treatment with certain medications.
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