

Accuracy of High-Field Intraoperative MRI in the Detectability of Residual Tumor in Glioma Grade IV Resections

Trefferbarkeit der Intraoperativen MR-Bildgebung (ioMRI) in der Nachweisbarkeit von Resttumorgewebe zur Resektion hochgradiger (Grad IV) Gliome

Authors

Volker Heßelmann¹, Ann-Kathrin Mager¹, Claudia Goetz², Oliver Detsch³, Hannah-Katharina Theisgen⁴, Michael Friese⁵, Wolfram Schwandt⁶, Joachim Gottschalk⁵, Paul Kremer²

Affiliations

- 1 Radiology/Neurologie, Asklepios-Klinik Hamburg-Nord, Hamburg, Germany
- 2 Department of Neurosurgery, Asklepios Klinik Nord, Hamburg, Germany
- 3 Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Asklepios Klinik Nord, Hamburg, Germany
- 4 Department of Neurosurgery, Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein Campus Kiel, Germany
- 5 Department of Pathology and Neuropathology, Asklepios Klinik Nord, Hamburg, Germany
- 6 Department of Clinical Radiology, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany

Key words

intraoperative, treatment planning, gadolinium, aminolaevulinic acid, mr imaging, high-grade glioma

received 17.10.2016

accepted 01.03.2017

Bibliography

DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-106189>

Published online: 2017 | Fortschr Röntgenstr 2017; 189: 519–526 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York
ISSN 1438-9029

Correspondence

Dr. Volker Heßelmann
Radiology/Neurologie, Asklepios-Klinik Hamburg-Nord
Tangstedter Landstraße 400
22417 Hamburg
Germany
Tel.: ++40/18 18/87 33 32
Fax: ++40/18 18/87 36 88
v.hesselmann@asklepios.com

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Einleitung Ziel der Studie ist die Untersuchung der Sensitivität und Spezifität der intraoperativen MRT (ioMRI) zum Nachweis von Resttumorgewebe auf der Basis der T1-Wichtung nach GD-DPTA im Vergleich zur Histopathologie (Goldstandard) bei neurochirurgischen Operationen von WHO Grad IV Gliomen.

Material und Methoden 68 Patienten (Durchschnittsalter 59 Jahre, 26 weiblich, 42 männlich mit primären oder rezidivierenden WHO Grad IV Gliomen erhielten gleichzeitig eine fluoreszenz-, eine neuronavigations- und ein ioMRI-gestützte Resektion. Bei Nachweis von KM-Anreicherungen in T1-Wichtung in der ioMRI erfolgte eine Nachresektion, deren histopathologischen Proben (Goldstandard) von einem Neuropathologen bewertet wurde. Nach kompletter Entfernung des fluoreszierenden oder MR-tomografisch nachweisbaren Resttumorgewebes wurde die OP beendet. Zusätzlich wurde die postoperative MRT zum Nachweis residueller KM-Anreicherungen mit der ioMRI verglichen und als in die Auswertung mit einbezogen.

Ergebnisse Bei 43 Patienten wurde in der ioMRI Resttumorgewebe nachgewiesen und histopathologisch bestätigt. In 16 Fällen war die zweite ioMRI ohne histopathologischen Nachweis von Resttumor richtig negativ (4 Rezidive, 12 Primärtumore). In 7 Fällen (3 Rezidive, 4 Primärtumore) war der ioMRT Befund falsch positiv, in zwei Patienten (1 Rezidiv, 1 Primärtumor) falsch negativ. Für alle Patienten betrug die Sensitivität 95%, die Spezifität 69,5%, für die Rezidive 94% und 57% und für die Primärtumore 96% und 75%. Der positive Vorhersagewert war 86%, der negative Vorhersagewert 88% für alle Patienten, 84% und 80% für die Rezidive und 87 und 92% für die Primärtumore.

Schlussfolgerung Die ioMRI ist sensitiv im Nachweis von kontrastmittelanreicherndem Resttumorgewebes nach Gliomresektion. Narbengewebe und Kontrastmittelleckagen durch Blutaustritt führen zu Fehlinterpretationen und reduzieren die Spezifität.

Kernaussagen

- Die ioMRI ist hochsensitiv im Nachweis residueller, kontrastmittelanreichernder Resttumoranteile in der Gliomresektion
- Artefakte durch blutungsbedingte Kontrastmittelaustritte und reaktive Kontrastmittelanreicherungen durch Narbengewebe limitieren die Spezifität der ioMRI
- Eine suffiziente Blutungsstillung ist entscheidend für eine hohe Aussagekraft der ioMRI

ABSTRACT

Objective To assess the sensitivity/specificity of tumor detection by T1 contrast enhancement in intraoperative MRI (ioMRI) in comparison to histopathological assessment as the gold standard in patients receiving surgical resection of grade IV glioblastoma.

Materials and Methods 68 patients with a primary or a recurrent glioblastoma scheduled for surgery including fluorescence guidance and neuronavigation were included (mean age: 59 years, 26 female, 42 male patients). The ioMRI after the first resection included transverse FLAIR, DWI, T2-FFE and T1 – 3 d FFE +/- GD-DPTA. The second resection was performed whenever residual contrast-enhancing tissue was detected on ioMRI. Resected tissue samples were histopathologically evaluated (gold standard). Additionally, we evaluated the early postoperative MRI scan acquired within 48 h post-OP for remaining enhancing tissue and compared them with the ioMRI scan.

Results In 43 patients ioMRI indicated residual tumorous tissue, which could be confirmed in the histological specimens of the second resection. In 16 (4 with recurrent, 12 with pri-

mary glioblastoma) cases, ioMRI revealed truly negative results without residual tumor and follow-up MRI confirmed complete resection. In 7 cases (3 with recurrent, 4 with primary glioblastoma) ioMRI revealed a suspicious result without tumorous tissue in the histopathological workup. In 2 (1 for each group) patients, residual tumorous tissue was detected in spite of negative ioMRI. IoMRI had a sensitivity of 95 % (94 % recurrent and 96 % for primary glioblastoma) and a specificity of 69.5 % (57 % and 75 %, respectively). The positive predictive value was 86 % (84 % for recurrent and 87 % for primary glioblastoma), and the negative predictive value was 88 % (80 % and 92 %, respectively).

Conclusion ioMRI is effective for detecting remaining tumorous tissue after glioma resection. However, scars and leakage of contrast agent can be misleading and limit specificity.

Key points

- Intraoperative MRI (ioMRI) presents with a high sensitivity for residual contrast-enhancing tumorous tissue during glioma resection.
- Contrast leakage due to bleeding and scars with reactive contrast enhancement can cause possible misleading artifacts in ioMRI, leading to a limited specificity of ioMRI.
- Bleeding control in glioma resection is crucial for successful usage of ioMRI for glioma resection.

Citation Format

- Heßelmann V, Mager A, Goetz C et al. Accuracy of High-Field Intraoperative MRI in the Detectability of Residual Tumor in Glioma Grade IV Resections. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 2017; 189: 519–526

Introduction

Evolution of imaging technologies and procedural techniques like operation microscope, intraoperative ultrasound, neuronavigation, fluorescence-guided resection, and intraoperative MRI continuously improved the surgical resection of high-grade gliomas. Improved resection grade of contrast-enhancing tissue [1–7] prolongs the patient survival rate and preserves eloquent brain function and life quality [1–9]. Studies dealing with the accuracy of fluorescence-guided resection detected a sensitivity of about 90 % and a negative predictive value of 76–91 % [1, 10, 11]. However a negative predictive value of 0.26 in the study of Roberts et al. also showed that there are deficits in fluorescence-guided resection with respect to the detection of residual tumorous tissue in normal appearing resection borders [11] so that there is a need for additional intraoperative resection control. Intraoperative CT and MRI have been integrated since the last decade into the operating room [12]. Starting with low-field systems between 0.02–0.5 Tesla [13] and open MRI scanners [14], high-field systems were introduced starting in 2000 [15]. In a controlled randomized study with a low-field system, Senft et al. [16] detected 96 % gross tumor resection in the patient group who were investigated by

ioMRI, versus 68 % gross total resection in the control group. Combining the concept of fluorescence-guided tissue resection and ioMRI, Coburger et al. found a higher extent of resection with fluorescence-guided resection and ioMRI (100 %) in comparison to ioMRI alone (82 %) [2]. Gessler et al. found that ioMRI und fluorescence guidance were inconsistent in 47 % of patients being resected under surveillance with ioMRI in the first line and fluorescence-guided resection after ALA administration in the second line [17]. A direct comparison between the sensitivity and specificity of linear intraoperative ultrasound and intraoperative MRI was provided by Coburger et al. [18], who found a sensitivity of 76 % for linear intraoperative ultrasound and 55 % for intraoperative MRI. The specificity was 58 % for linear ultrasound and 74 % for intraoperative MRI [18]. Linag and Shoulder stated in their review that ioMRI is a useful tool in conjunction with other techniques like neuronavigation with fMRI and DTI-based planning and fluorescence-guided resection [19], but others continue to criticize the still insufficient number of controlled prospective studies and regard fluorescence-guided resection of high-grade gliomas as equal according to the extent of tumor resection [12]. A recent randomized controlled study of these authors including a rather

small sample size of 14 showed no benefit of ultra-low-field ioMRI compared to standard resection therapy [20].

The aim of this study was to define the rate of true positive detection of residual tumor by T1 contrast enhancement in intraoperative MRI on the basis of sensitivity/specificity assessment and histological specimens received by repeated post-ioMRI resection of suspected tissue and to describe the imaging appearance of false-positive MRI lesions to help assess the validity of this new method in glioma resection control.

Materials and Methods

Patients

All 68 of 220 patients with a grade IV glioma diagnosed by MRI and receiving an ioMRI and 5ALA guide resection of primary and recurrent glioblastoma from July 2011 to February were prospectively collected and included in this investigation (mean age: 59 years, 26 female, 42 male patients) in a consecutive manner. Data were prospectively and retrospectively assessed for scientific investigation. Eligibility criteria for patient selection were defined as follows according to the STARD criteria. Inclusion criteria were: patients with newly diagnosed or recurrent glioblastoma between 18 and 75 years, a preoperative MRI scan with a contrast-enhancing tumor and additional intraoperative fluorescence-guided resection and written informed consent to the application of 5ALA and intraoperative MRI. Written informed consent was obtained prior to the operation procedure and the scientific evaluation of data. The exclusion criteria were: radiation therapy 6 months before surgery or resurgery, security concerns or contraindication for ioMRI or preexisting neurological disease or deterioration.

Technique

For intraoperative MRI we used a 1.5 Tesla MRI scanner (Philips Achieva 1.5 T, Philips Best, The Netherlands) which is integrated into the neurosurgical operating room but is separated by an automatic door when not in use so that it is accessible for outpatient procedures.

The bottom part of the coil is placed under the head prior to operation. After complete sterile draping, the upper part of the coil is positioned on top of the patient and connected to the lower part and to the scanner (Heidberg Coil system, NORAS, H"ochberg, Germany). After positioning of the patient in the scanner and acquisition of a scout, the following scans were performed: FLAIR tra (TR 6000 ms, TI 2000 ms, TE 120 ms, slice thickness 6 mm), T1 SE sag (TR 510 ms, TE 10 ms, slice thickness 5 mm) and T1 – 3 D FFE (TR 10.1 ms, TE 4.6 ms, slice thickness 1 mm) before and after GD contrast administration, T1-SE sag (TR 539 ms, TE 10 ms), T2-FFE (TR 896 ms, TE 23 ms), DWI tra, ADC tra. Contrast administration was applied immediately before T1-weighted imaging to avoid leakage. Air artifacts are reduced by the operative resection defect with a solution containing Refobacin.

All surgeries were performed with an operation microscope. After fixation of the skull in the NORAS fixation and coil system and sterile draping, trepanation was performed and tumorous tissue was removed under white light condition and fluorescence-

guided control as completely as possible. Specimens of tumor and tumor margins in all directions were collected and primarily investigated. Gross total resection or most complete resection was achieved and no active bleeding was visible. The resection area was treated with a gentamycin solution (holy water). The trepanation was then covered with a sterile drape to prepare the patient for ioMRI.

Using MRI-visible markers for the T1-FFE KM sequence, reorientation and coregistration with the preoperative MRI data were performed. A senior radiologist and a technician acquired and interpreted the images immediately, marked the assumed contrast-enhancing residual tumor in the PACS system and discussed with the neurosurgeon by phone or met the neurosurgeon directly to decide in consensus whether additional resection should be performed. Whenever suspected residual tumor was detected, the patient was moved back into the OR and the tissue in question was removed after coregistering the ioMRI data in the neuronavigation system.


All specimens were identified, marked and examined by a neuropathologist. For intraoperative evaluation, a fast evaluation with hematoxylin eosin staining was performed to help decide whether further resection should be performed. The final histopathological workup consisted of hematoxylin eosin (HE) staining, a period acid shift stain (PAS), a Van Gieson's stain (EvG) and an immunohistochemical workup with GFAP, IDH-1 und MiB-1 antibody staining (Dako-Autostainer). In particular cases, CD 163, Protein S-100, CD 31, p53, neurofilament antibodies and epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) stains were prepared.

After 24 – 48 hours, an early postoperative MRI scan was acquired, including FLAIR imaging (TR 6000 ms, TI 2000 ms, TE 120 ms), T2-weighted (TR 3000 ms, TE 100 ms, slice thickness 2.5 mm) imaging in three imaging directions, T1-weighted spin echo imaging (TR 510 ms, TE 10 ms, slice thickness 5 mm) and T1-weighted 3 D imaging (T1 – 3 D FFE (TR 10.1 ms, TE 4.6 ms, slice thickness 1 mm) before and after administration of Gd-DPTA with a dosage of 0.2 mg/kg b.w.

Tissue was regarded as suspicious for tumorous rest if there was a defined area of contrast enhancement close to the resection margin or area of contrast enhancement in areas distant from the resection.

The reports, documentation of the detection of residual tumor and the documented PACS information were used as the radiological definition of residual tumor. It was correlated with the final neuropathological report that was regarded as the gold standard. All false-positive MRI cases were reevaluated, and the histopathological diagnoses were collected and described in the results section.

Data were investigated for sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value for all patients, primary and recurrent glioblastomas. Statistical workup of patient characteristics, imaging and histopathological results were evaluated using the PSPP software package (www.gnu.org/software/pspp) (► Fig. 1).



► **Fig. 1** Workflow of glioma resection with fluorescence guidance and intraoperative MRI control. After complete resection under fluorescence guidance, intraoperative MRI was performed as immediate resection control. If residual contrast enhancement was seen on ioMRI, the resection area was reevaluated, and the suspected tissue was resected. For all specimens histopathological workup was performed.

► **Abb. 1** Flussdiagramm für eine Gliomresektion unter Fluoreszenzsteuerung und intraoperativer MRT-Kontrolle. Die ioMRI wird unmittelbar nach der ersten Resektion durchgeführt. Bei residueller Kontrastmittelanreicherung in der ioMRI wird die Resektionshöhle erneut inspiziert und mögliches Resttumorgewebe entfernt. Alle Gewebeproben der Nachresektion werden histopathologisch aufgearbeitet.

Results

68 patients with grade IV glioma were included in this investigation, as they received intraoperative MRI and immediate postoperative MRI and data were completed for further evaluation (► **Table 1**). 45 patients presented with primary glioblastoma, and 23 patients presented with recurrent glioblastoma. Patient characteristics are summarized in ► **Table 1**. 43 of these 45 patients with residual tumor tissue being detected in the specimens of the repeated resection were counted with a true-positive ioMRI evaluation. In 16 cases ioMRI revealed truly negative results without enhancing residual tumor and enhancing tissue in post-operative follow-up MRI, 4 in the recurrent glioblastoma group and 12 in the primary glioblastoma group. A second resection was not performed in these cases. Postoperative control MRI confirmed complete removal of contrast-enhancing tissue in these cases. In 7 cases (3 with recurrent, 4 with primary glioblastoma), ioMRI revealed a suspicious result without tumorous tissue in the histopathological workup, so that they were regarded as false-positive results of ioMRI. In two (1 for each group) patients, residual tumorous tissue was found in spite of negative ioMRI as defined by the radiologist, after repeated resection initiated by the neurosurgeon due to suspicious intraoperative aspects of resection margins that were not concordant with the ioMRI appearance. In the

► **Table 1** Patient characteristics for all included patients including age, sex, Karnofsky-Index, therapeutic strategies, tumor localization and resection rate.

Tab. 1 Klinische und therapeutische Details der eingeschlossenen Patienten mit Angaben zum durchschnittlichen Alter, Geschlecht, Karnofsky-Index, therapeutische Strategien, Tumorkonlokalisierung und Resektionsrate.

characteristics	n (range)	% (range)
mean age	59	10.14
sex		
female	26	38
male	42	62
mean Karnofsky Index	81.3 (18.58)	
main region occupied by tumor		
frontal	20	29.4
occipital	7	10.3
parietal	7	10.3
temporal	31	45.6
central	3	4.4
adjuvante therapie		
temozolomide	61	89.7
other (PVC, etc.)	5	7.4
no therapy	2	2.9
radiation therapy		
yes	64	94.1
no	4	5.9
gross total resection rate		
yes	57	83.8
no	11	16.2

false-positive cases, MRI was reevaluated for the appearance of contrast-enhancing tissue. In 4 cases the contrast enhancement showed a rather weak appearance, and in 2 cases a signal increase on the T1-weighted native scan was detected. In one case T2-weighted suspected residual tumorous tissue was diagnosed in addition to residual contrast enhancement, but no residual tumorous tissue was found.

The sensitivity was 95 %, the specificity was 69.5 %, the positive predictive value was 86 % and the negative predictive value was 88 % for the whole group. For the recurrent glioblastoma group the values were 94 %, 57 % and 84 % and 80 %, respectively. For the primary glioblastoma group the values were 96 % and 75 % for sensitivity and specificity and 87 % and 92 % for the positive and negative predictive value, respectively (► **Table 2**, ► **Fig. 2, 3**).

Discussion

Optimization of the resection rate of diseased tissue in glioblastoma is crucial for patient survival [21]. Patients with almost com-

► **Table 2** The sensitivity for all tumors was calculated as 95 % and the specificity as 69.5 %. The negative predictive value was 88 %, and the positive predictive value was 86 %. For recurrent glioblastoma the sensitivity was 94 %, the specificity was 57 %, the negative predictive value was 84 % and the positive predictive value was 84 %. For primary glioblastoma the sensitivity was 96 %, the specificity was 75 %, the positive predictive value was 87 % and the negative predictive value was 92 %. Showing equal sensitivity ioMRI appears more specific in primary than in recurrent glioblastoma.

► **Tab. 2** Tabellarische Darstellung der ioMRI-Diagnosen nach der ersten Resektion und der histopathologischen Befunde der Gewebeproben. Die Sensitivität konnte mit 95 % bestimmt werden und die Spezifität mit 86 %. Für Rezidivglioblastome beträgt die Sensitivität 94 %, die Spezifität 57 %, der negative Vorhersagewert 88 % und der positive Vorhersagewert 84 %. Für primäre Glioblastome betrug die Sensitivität 96 %, die Spezifität 75 %, der positive Vorhersagewert 87 % und der negative Vorhersagewert 92 %. Bei gleicher Sensitivität erscheint die ioMRI bei primären Glioblastoma spezifischer für Resttumorgewebe.

	no rest (MRI) n	rest (MRI) n	sensitivity %	specificity %	PPV%	NPV%
all tumors			95	69.5	86	88
no remaining tumor (histology) n	16	7				
remaining tumor (histology) n	2	43				
recurrent glioblastoma (n = 24)			94	57	84	80
no remaining tumor (histology) n	4	3				
remaining tumor (histology) n	1	16				
primary glioblastoma (n = 44)			96	75	87	92
no remaining tumor (histology) n	12	4				
remaining tumor (histology) n	1	27				

plete resection of diseased tissue showed a better overall survival rate [8, 22]. As Albert et al. showed already in 1994, the extent of tumor resection influences the survival rate of glioblastoma resection [23]. However, the rate of complete resection at that time point was only 20 % using neuronavigation and white light microscope technology. A crucial improvement in glioma resection technique was achieved with fluorescence-guided glioma resection [8]. Since this decade, intraoperative MRI has become an additional technical procedure for intraoperative resection control in glioma surgery [2, 15, 16]. Eyüpoglu et al. used high-field (1.5 T) ioMRI and ALA-guided resection and could show that the extent of resection increases from 84 % to 99 % with the additional usage of ioMRI. The combination with 5-ALA-guided resection was also advantageous in the vicinity of eloquent brain regions, facilitating more radical resection compared to 5-ALA alone [5].


Showing 97 % resectability of contrast-enhancing tissue after intraoperative MRI [24], high-field ioMRI in our study showed a sensitivity of 95 % but a rather low specificity of 69.5 %, which was related to scars, bleeding, artifacts and a personal factor of a higher affinity to decide for a second resection if contrast enhancement was seen, to avoid misinterpretations leading to remaining contrast-enhancing tumorous tissue. After dividing glioblastomas into subgroups of recurrent and primary glioblastomas, the specificity was markedly reduced to 57 % in the recurrence glioblastoma group, showing the role of reactive changes in misinterpreting ioMRI.

The sensitivity in our evaluation exceeds the sensitivity of 55 % in the study of Coburger et al. [18], while their specificity of 74 % is comparable with our findings. They stated that the accuracy of ioMRI might be underestimated due to this limitation, and that ioMRI shows an underdetection of solid tumor masses. This goes along with the findings of Eyüpoglu and Gessler [5, 17], who both

revealed inconsistent findings between ioMRI and fluorescence guidance. Gessler described that ioMRI was the only indicator for residual tumor in only 26.3 % of cases and fluorescence guidance in 21.1 % of cases. Gessler et al. agree with Eyüpoglu that 5-ALA may be misleading if tumorous tissue is hidden by healthy tissue, spatula or blood [25], and complementary use of ioMRI may help to avoid these pitfalls [5]. In this study the sensitivity was 75 % and the specificity was 100 % for ioMRI, while the sensitivity was 70 % and the specificity was 100 % for fluorescence guidance. As in our study, Knauth et al. found cases with inconclusive MRI findings (9.7 %). As stated by the authors, uncertain MRI findings were mainly surgery-induced (electrocoagulation, tissue ablation) and were not residual tumor.

In summary, complementary use of fluorescence guidance, intraoperative ultrasound and/or ioMRI may optimize resection rates and can be regarded as a contemporary operative setting in glioma surgery, although it is not proven so far that ioMRI is crucial to increase resection rates of tumorous tissue [5, 17]. So far, the gold standard to define the extent of resection and to detect tumor borders in MRI is GD-DPTA enhancement [26]. However, leakage of contrast agent and enhancement of reactive tissue might be misleading in T1 imaging with contrast enhancement [21]. More advanced techniques like T1-weighted dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI or 3D-spectroscopic imaging were recently applied to identify residual tumor in glioblastoma surgery under assistance of intraoperative MRI [27].

In accordance with Coburger et al. and Akbari et al., [1, 28, 29] we believe that a multimodality approach including T2, FLAIR, DTI and spectroscopic imaging as well as dynamic T1-weighted imaging will further improve the sensitivity and specificity of ioMRI and might lead to an improved detection rate and that advanced imaging like dynamic T1-weighted imaging might increase the accuracy




► **Fig. 2** ioMRI scan in a 68-year-old male patient with a temporal recurrent glioblastoma: **a** FLAIR imaging, **b** T1-SE native scan showing a slightly hyperintense margin at the base of the respective scar (yellow arrow), **c** after contrast administration, spotted contrast enhancement of the bottom resection margin and linear enhancement were detectable; **d** histopathological specimens after follow-up resection revealed reactive astrogliosis with scattered nuclei surrounded by membranous structures and fibrotic tissue (arrow), but no recurrent glioblastoma was detected in the histopathological workup (**d**, **e**, yellow arrow).

► **Abb. 2** ioMRI während der Operation eines 68-jährigen Patienten mit einem temporal gelegenen Glioblastomrezidiv: **a** axiale FLAIR, **b** T1-SE, Nachweis eines diskret hyperintensiven Randsaums am Boden der Resektionshöhle, **c** nach Kontrastmittelgabe Nachweis von punktförmigen Kontrastmittelanreicherungen und linearen Kontrastmittelanreicherungen am Boden der Resektionshöhle, **d** reaktive Astrogliose mit gruppierten Nuklei umgeben von membranösen Strukturen und fibrösem Gewebe (Pfeil), jedoch kein Glioblastomrezidiv in der histopathologischen Aufarbeitung.

cy of ioMRI in the future [27, 29]. Dynamic contrast enhancement may provide better differentiation between contrast-enhancing tissue and leakage and DTI may reveal additional information about tumor margins [28]. Moreover, operation techniques can be adapted to ioMRI to avoid or reduce leakage of contrast agent [30].

Conclusion

Intraoperative MRI can sensitively detect residual tumors and can provide optimized control in the resection of high-grade gliomas. Intraoperative MRI can accurately diagnose tumorous contrast-enhancing residual tissue using contrast-enhanced T1-weighted imaging after the administration of GD-DPTA. However, false-positive contrast enhancement may occur due to tissue scars and contrast agent leakage in the tumor margin, which may lead to spotted or linear enhancement at the tumor border in T1-weighted imaging after contrast administration. To avoid false-positive



► **Fig. 3** ioMRI scan in 58-year-old female with the MRI and histopathological diagnosis of recurrent glioblastoma **a** transverse FLAIR image presenting slight signal increase at the dorsal resection border, **b** native T1 transverse intraoperative MRI with hyperintense signal increase due to methemoglobin adjacent to the resection margin (yellow arrow), **c** T1-weighted transverse imaging, after contrast administration, additional contrast enhancement is seen (red arrow). **d** regular white matter with scattered nuclei and regular shaped vessels is shown, **e** figure e shows the appearance of glioblastoma with narrowly scattered nuclei, showing different stages of mitosis, irregularly shaped vessels (star) and areas of necrotic tissue (arrow).

► **Abb. 3** ioMRI-Untersuchung einer Gliomresektion einer 58-jährigen, weiblichen Patientin mit einem rezidivierendem Glioblastom. **a** FLAIR transversal mit geringfügiger Signalanhebung am dorsalen Resektionsrand, **b** native T1-SE in transversaler Schnittführung mit bandförmiger Signalanhebung (gelber Pfeil), T1-SE nach Kontrastmittelgabe in transversaler Schnittführung, zusätzlicher Nachweis einer Kontrastmittelanreicherung (roter Pfeil), **d** reguläre weiße Substanz mit irregular verteilten Nuclei und regelrechten Gefäßstrukturen, **e** Glioblastomnachweis mit eng gruppierten Nuclei mit unterschiedlichen Mitosestadien, irregular konfigurierten Gefäßstrukturen (Stern) und Arealen nekrotischen Gewebes (Pfeil).

results, we recommend exact control of bleeding of the resection margins and application of contrast agent immediately before starting T1-weighted imaging and complementary use of ioMRI and fluorescence guidance.

GLOSSARY

EOR	extent of resection
GTR	gross total resection
ioMRI	intraoperative MRI
FFE	fast field echo

FLAIR	fluid attenuated inversion recovery
HE-staining	hematoxylin eosin staining
IDH-1	isocitrate dehydrogenase 1
CD31 thrombocyte	endothelial cell adhesion molecule
EMA	epithelial membrane antigen
GFAP	glial filament acid protein
ALA	aminolaevulinic acid

fluid attenuated inversion recovery
hematoxylin eosin staining
isocitrate dehydrogenase 1
endothelial cell adhesion molecule
epithelial membrane antigen
glial filament acid protein
aminolaevulinic acid

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to offer their special thanks to Walter Stummer, Department of Neurosurgery, University of Münster for scientific advise and Carsten Liess und Jurgen Bunke, Philips Medical systems, Hamburg, Germany for scientific and technical support.

References

- [1] Coburger J, Engelke J, Scheuerle A et al. Tumor detection with 5-aminolevulinic acid fluorescence and Gd-DTPA-enhanced intraoperative MRI at the border of contrast-enhancing lesions: a prospective study based on histopathological assessment. *Neurosurg Focus* 2014; 36: E3
- [2] Coburger J, Hagel V, Wirtz CR et al. Surgery for Glioblastoma: Impact of the Combined Use of 5-Aminolevulinic Acid and Intraoperative MRI on Extent of Resection and Survival. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0131872
- [3] Stummer W, Novotny A, Stepp H et al. Fluorescence-guided resection of glioblastoma multiforme by using 5-aminolevulinic acid-induced porphyrins: a prospective study in 52 consecutive patients. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 2000; 93: 1003–1013
- [4] Wirtz CR, Albert FK, Schwaderer M et al. The benefit of neuronavigation for neurosurgery analyzed by its impact on glioblastoma surgery. *Neurol Res* 2000; 22: 354–360
- [5] Eyupoglu IY, Hore N, Savaskan NE et al. Improving the extent of malignant glioma resection by dual intraoperative visualization approach. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e44885
- [6] Kreth FW, Berlis A, Spiropoulou V et al. The role of tumor resection in the treatment of glioblastoma multiforme in adults. *Cancer* 1999; 86: 2117–2123
- [7] Schucht P, Beck J, Abu-Isa J et al. Gross total resection rates in contemporary glioblastoma surgery: results of an institutional protocol combining 5-aminolevulinic acid intraoperative fluorescence imaging and brain mapping. *Neurosurgery* 2012; 71: 927–935; discussion 935–926
- [8] Stummer W, Pichlmeier U, Meinel T et al. Fluorescence-guided surgery with 5-aminolevulinic acid for resection of malignant glioma: a randomised controlled multicentre phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2006; 7: 392–401
- [9] Neidert MC, Hostettler IC, Burkhardt JK et al. The influence of intraoperative resection control modalities on survival following gross total resection of glioblastoma. *Neurosurg Rev* 2016; 39: 401–409
- [10] Stummer W, Novotny A, Stepp H et al. Fluorescence-guided resection of glioblastoma multiforme by using 5-aminolevulinic acid-induced porphyrins: a prospective study in 52 consecutive patients. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2000; 93: 1003–1013
- [11] Roberts DW, Valdes PA, Harris BT et al. Coregistered fluorescence-enhanced tumor resection of malignant glioma: relationships between delta-aminolevulinic acid-induced protoporphyrin IX fluorescence, magnetic resonance imaging enhancement, and neuropathological parameters. *Clinical article. Journal of neurosurgery* 2011; 114: 595–603
- [12] Kubben PL, van Santbrink H. Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging for high grade glioma resection: Evidence-based or wishful thinking? *Surg Neurol Int* 2013; 4: 1
- [13] Tronnier VM, Wirtz CR, Knauth M et al. Intraoperative diagnostic and interventional magnetic resonance imaging in neurosurgery. *Neurosurgery* 1997; 40: 891–900; discussion 900–892
- [14] Steinmeier R, Fahlbusch R, Ganslandt O et al. Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging with the magnetom open scanner: concepts, neurosurgical indications, and procedures: a preliminary report. *Neurosurgery* 1998; 43: 739–747; discussion 747–738
- [15] Martin AJ, Hall WA, Liu H et al. Brain tumor resection: intraoperative monitoring with high-field-strength MR imaging-initial results. *Radiology* 2000; 215: 221–228
- [16] Senft C, Bink A, Franz K et al. Intraoperative MRI guidance and extent of resection in glioma surgery: a randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2011; 12: 997–1003
- [17] Gessler F, Forster MT, Duetzmann S et al. Combination of Intraoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Intraoperative Fluorescence to Enhance the Resection of Contrast Enhancing Gliomas. *Neurosurgery* 2015; 77: 16–22; discussion 22
- [18] Coburger J, Scheuerle A, Kapapa T et al. Sensitivity and specificity of linear array intraoperative ultrasound in glioblastoma surgery: a comparative study with high field intraoperative MRI and conventional sector array ultrasound. *Neurosurg Rev* 2015; 38: 499–509; discussion 509
- [19] Liang D, Schulder M. The role of intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging in glioma surgery. *Surg Neurol Int* 2012; 3: S320–S327
- [20] Kubben PL, Scholtes F, Schijns OE et al. Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging versus standard neuronavigation for the neurosurgical treatment of glioblastoma: A randomized controlled trial. *Surg Neurol Int* 2014; 5: 70
- [21] Stummer W. Commentary: Combining 5-Aminolevulinic Acid Fluorescence and Intraoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Glioblastoma Surgery: A Histology-Based Evaluation. *Neurosurgery* 2016; 78: 484–486
- [22] Lacroix M, Abi-Said D, Fourney DR et al. A multivariate analysis of 416 patients with glioblastoma multiforme: prognosis, extent of resection, and survival. *J Neurosurg* 2001; 95: 190–198
- [23] Albert FK, Forsting M, Sartor K et al. Early postoperative magnetic resonance imaging after resection of malignant glioma: objective evaluation of residual tumor and its influence on regrowth and prognosis. *Neurosurgery* 1994; 34: 45–60; discussion 60–41
- [24] Mager AK, Theisgen H, Götz C et al. Treffsicherheit der intraoperativen MR-Bildgebung in der Nachweisbarkeit von Resttumorgewebe zur Resektion hochgradiger (Grad IV) Gliome. *Clinical neuroradiology* 2014; 24 (Suppl. 1): 1–106
- [25] Stummer W, Stepp H, Moller G et al. Technical principles for protoporphyrin-IX-fluorescence guided microsurgical resection of malignant glioma tissue. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 1998; 140: 995–1000
- [26] van den Bent MJ, Wefel JS, Schiff D et al. Response assessment in neurooncology (a report of the RANO group): assessment of outcome in trials of diffuse low-grade gliomas. *Lancet Oncol* 2011; 12: 583–593
- [27] Ozduman K, Yildiz E, Dincer A et al. Using intraoperative dynamic contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MRI to identify residual tumor in glioblastoma surgery. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2014; 120: 60–66
- [28] Engelhorn T, Schwarz MA, Hess A et al. Definition of K(trans) and FA thresholds for better assessment of experimental glioma using high-field MRI: a feasibility study. *Clinical neuroradiology* 2014; 24: 337–345
- [29] Akbari H, Macyszyn L, Da X et al. Imaging Surrogates of Infiltration Obtained Via Multiparametric Imaging Pattern Analysis Predict Subsequent Location of Recurrence of Glioblastoma. *Neurosurgery* 2016; 78: 572–580
- [30] Heckl S, Feigl GC, Honegger J et al. [Intraoperative MRI (iMRI) in neurosurgery: a radiological point of view]. *Rofo* 2012; 184: 1–5