Hypohidrotic Ectodermal Dysplasia: Breastfeeding Complications Due to Impaired Breast Development

Hypohidrotische ektodermale Dysplasie: Stillenschwierigkeiten aufgrund gestörter Brustentwicklung
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Hintergrund Obwohl Mutationen im X-chromosomalen Gen EDA, die der häufigsten Form ektodermaler Dysplasie (XLHED) zugrunde liegen, nur bei betroffenen Männern zum Vollbild dieser Krankheit führen, weisen auch heterozygote Mutationsträgerinnen oft Symptome wie Hypodontie, Hypotrichose und Hypohidrose auf. Außerdem ist eine Fehlentwicklung der Brustdrüsen möglich, die nicht nur ein kosmetisches Problem darstellt, sondern auch die Stillfähigkeit limitieren kann. Hierzu wurden erstmals systematisch Daten erhoben.

Patienten 38 erwachsene weibliche Mitglieder der Selbsthilfegruppe Ektodermale Dysplasie e.V. standen für ein strukturiertes Interview und meist auch für eine Fotodokumentation ihrer Brustregion zur Verfügung. Darunter waren 31 Trägerinnen von Mutationen im Gen EDA (Gruppe A) sowie 7 Frauen mit anderen Formen der hypohidrotischen ektodermalen Dysplasie (Gruppe B).


Conclusion Mothers with hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia very often have difficulty in breastfeeding because of their impaired breast development. This causal relationship needs to be taken into account in lactation counseling.
Introduction

Skin appendages, such as hair, nails, sweat glands, sebaceous glands and mammary glands, are complex structures which mainly originate from the embryonic ectoderm. Malformations of two or more different skin appendages are classified as ectodermal dysplasias [1]. The most common condition is hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia (HED). Most cases are inherited in an X-linked pattern (XLHED or Christ-Siemens-Touraine syndrome; #MIM 305100); it is a rare condition with an incidence of approximately 1 : 30000. XLHED is caused by mutations in the gene EDA (localization: Xq12-q13.1; #MIM 300451) which encodes ectodysplasin A, a protein from the family of tumor necrosis factor-α ligands. Mutations of the genes EDAR (localization: 2q11-q13; #MIM 604095) or EDARADD (localization: 1q42-q43; #MIM 606603) which code for the ectodysplasin A1 receptor and the associated adapter protein, respectively, produce a clinical picture similar to that of XLHED and are inherited either in an autosomal recessive or autosomal dominant pattern [2]. The cardinal symptom is a reduced or missing ability to sweat (hypohidrosis or anhidrosis).

Pathogenic changes of the X-chromosomal gene EDA lead to full-blown disease manifestations only in men, but heterozygous female carriers of such mutations often present with hypohidrosis of varying severity, sparse scalp hair (hypotrichosis) and missing teeth (hypodontia) as well as characteristic dental anomalies. Male XLHED patients may also show a lack of nipples or supernumerary nipples [3]; there are, as yet, no systematic investigations of affected women. Hypothelia in women is not just a cosmetic problem but can also result in difficulties when trying to breastfeed. Several isolated cases have been published, in which developmental disorders of the female breast were caused by mutations in one of the genes of the ectodysplasin A signaling pathway [4–8].

A reduced breastfeeding capability due to anatomical or functional reasons must be considered a disease of clinical significance. Breast milk contains a mixture of nutrients tailored to the infant’s needs, supplies the infant with important antibodies, and is the optimal nutrition for healthy babies. Breastfeeding offers indisputable health benefits to the infant and its mother [9]. It is therefore recommended that infants born at term should be exclusively breastfed until the beginning of the 5th month post partum. Infants should still be breastfed even when starting to supplement breast milk with other foods [10].

Disturbances of mammary gland function in women with HED are primarily the result of developmental disorders during the embryonic phase. Studies in HED mouse models have highlighted the important role of ectodysplasin A in embryonic mammary gland development [11]. The ectodysplasin signaling pathway is a key player in the early development of many vertebrates and is considered a strongly conserved evolutionary feature [12]. Placodes arising from ectodermal tissue [13] develop during the early embryonic stages; they grow into the underlying mesenchyme where they form gland buds. This process is directed by a complex interaction between activating and inhibitory signals which, in the case of the ectodysplasin pathway, finally lead to the activation of NFκB. Disorders of the signaling pathway result in impaired organ development [14], giving rise to malformations or aplasia of certain organs such as the mammary glands. This study is the first to systematically collect data of female HED patients in order to assess the relevance of information obtained from the animal studies for the breastfeeding capability of affected individuals.

Patients and Methods

Study design

At the annual meeting of the German-Swiss-Austrian ectodermal dysplasia patient support group all interested female members of the group aged between 15 and 59 years were interviewed. Interviews were carried out after all participants had given their written informed consent. Questions took the form of a structured interview in which the women were asked about the maturation of their mammary glands during puberty and about the appearance of their breasts including the nipples and areolar area. If any of the women had already given birth, they were also asked about their ability to breastfeed and their experience of breastfeeding. In addition, the breast area was documented photographically; 12 of 38 participants did not consent to being photographed. To ensure the anonymity of the subjects during data collection and creation of the photographic documents, the interview and the photography were carried out by a single researcher who had not taken part in either the previous general information event nor in the patient briefing and who did not know any of the women personally. Data were anonymized prior to storage and processing.

Each questionnaire completed during the interview contained specific questions about breast development (age at the start of development, course of development, difference in sizes, characteristics of nipples), pregnancy (number of pregnancies, maternal age, outcome) and 10 questions on breastfeeding for every child born (decision to breastfeed, duration of exclusive or partial breastfeeding, self-assessment of ability to breastfeed, reasons for difficulty in breastfeeding, weight development of the breastfed infant, differences in breastfeeding between the first child and later children).

Patients

Of the 38 women who participated in the study 31 were heterozygous carriers of an EDA mutation, some of them with clinically evident XLHED; these women were pooled into Group A. Seven
women with autosomal recessive or autosomal dominant forms of HED made up Group B.

Statistical analysis
Data are given as mean values with standard deviations. Groups A and B were compared with one another and with available data from the normal population. Statistical analysis was done using the Mann-Whitney U-test. Unless stated otherwise, the level of significance was set to 5%.

Results

Characteristics of both patient groups
The mean age of the women of Group A was 38.7 ± 11.4 years, while the women of Group B had a mean age of 33 ± 8.1 years. Average age at menarche in both groups was 12 ± 1.2 years, which corresponds to the normal age at menarche in Central Europe [15]. 77.8% of the interviewed women had given birth; 85% of them had two or more children. Mean maternal age at the birth of the first child was 29 ± 5.2 years, which corresponds to the mean maternal age for primiparae in Germany [16].

Breast size and maturation during puberty
90% of the women of Group A and 43% of the women of Group B had initially considered their breast development during puberty as normal. The majority of women in Group B, however, retrospectively reported an annoying difference in size of their breasts already in puberty. At the time of the interview 39% of the women of Group A stated that their breasts were of unequal size (anisomastia) or entirely absent on one side, while 86% of the women of Group B deplored the underdevelopment of their breast on one or both sides (Fig. 1a and b). One case in Group A and 3 cases in Group B had unilateral or bilateral amastia, a complete absence of breast tissue, areola and nipple (Fig. 1c).

Nipple
58% of the women of Group A and 57% of the women of Group B described their nipples as exceptionally flat. 8% of the women had a nipple malformation which took the form of bilateral inverted (retracted or invaginated) nipples (Fig. 1b); the incidence was slightly higher in Group B (Table 1) and significantly higher than in healthy women, of whom only 1% present with true inverted nipples [17]. The unilateral or bilateral presence of more than two nipples (polythelia; Fig. 2) was also uncommonly frequent with 10%, but was reported only in Group A (Table 1).

Glands of Montgomery
Only one of 26 women in Group A and no woman in Group B had the normal number of 10–15 glands of Montgomery [18] on the photodocumentation of the areola (Table 1). The mean number of glands of Montgomery did not differ significantly between the two groups (3.9 ± 6 in Group A vs. 1.4 ± 3.1 in Group B, p = 0.26).

Table 1 Characteristics of the nipple and areola.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exceptionally flat nipples</td>
<td>18/31 (58%)</td>
<td>4/7 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverted nipples</td>
<td>2/31 (6.5%)</td>
<td>2/7 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polythelia</td>
<td>4/31 (13%)</td>
<td>0/7 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fewer than 10 glands of Montgomery per areola</td>
<td>25/26 (96%)</td>
<td>5/5 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No glands of Montgomery</td>
<td>11/26 (42%)</td>
<td>4/5 (80%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Selected images from the photodocumentation of the breast region of female HED patients. a Slight difference in the size of the breasts of one patient in Group A; b Major difference in breast sizes in a patient from the same group; c Complete absence of breasts in a patient from Group B.
Often no glands of Montgomery were visible in the areolar areas (Fig. 3b to d).

**Ability to breastfeed and experience of breastfeeding**

Almost all of the interviewed mothers had seriously attempted to breastfeed their first child, although 67% of the mothers of Group A had experienced difficulties in breastfeeding, which they mostly ascribed to having too flat nipples. 19% were not able to breastfeed at all. Every mother in Group B reported difficulties in breastfeeding; 60% had not been able to breastfeed their first child. The main reasons given by the women were too flat nipples (56%) or too little milk (19%). 24% of all mothers were only able to breastfeed with the help of breastfeeding aids (e.g. nipple shields).

Notably, women experienced significantly less difficulties in breastfeeding subsequent children. In answer to the question: "Was there a difference between breastfeeding your first child and breastfeeding any subsequent child?" 60% of the mothers responded with "Yes". More than 90% of these mothers experienced breastfeeding their first child as more difficult and reported that the milk flow was higher after the second pregnancy. Moreover, they also had the impression that their nipples and areolar areas had increased in size.

**Discussion**

Disorders of breast development are of great psychological importance during puberty and adolescence. The ensuing limitation on breastfeeding also deserves attention. Among the malformations with increased incidence in women with HED, unilateral or bilateral amastia is certainly the most medically relevant problem, given that it affected 43% of investigated women with non X-chromosomal HED (Group B) in our study. This indicates that mutations of the gene EDAR or EDARADD may result in more serious disturbances of breast development than EDA mutations, which is consistent with published case reports [6–8]. Further molecular research will be necessary to uncover the reasons for this difference.

The above-average percentage of cases with breast asymmetry or polythelia among women with HED may only be of cosmetic importance. In healthy women, the incidence of polythelia is between 1 and 5% [19, 20]. Surgical removal is not necessary in most cases as the supernumerary nipples are rarely perceived as irritating. A noticeable difference in breast size is, however, more likely to trigger a wish for plastic surgery.

Highly interesting is the finding that the numbers of glands of Montgomery in the areolar areas of women with HED are significantly reduced, which is documented here for the first time. Glands of Montgomery are sebaceous glands; their secretions protect both nipple and areola and help create an airlock between mouth and nipple during breastfeeding while the infant is suckling. The pheromones of these glands help to guide the infant to find the nipple [21]. An abundance of glands of Montgomery is associated with earlier onset of lactation and more frequent intake of breastmilk by the infant [22]. It can therefore be assumed that the reported difficulties in breastfeeding are also associated with a lack of these glands.

The often flat nipples and malformations such as inverted nipples found in women with HED also contribute to the increased problems with breastfeeding. In a survey of healthy mothers, around 60% of the women reported having had problems with breastfeeding at one point. The main reason for the problems cited by these women were wounded nipples (mentioned by 49% of the women), while flat or inverted nipples were only present in 8% of cases [23]. Given the above-mentioned findings,
in women experiencing difficulties in breastfeeding which are not caused by visible abnormalities of the nipple shape, we would generally recommend examining the glands of Montgomery and looking for other symptoms of HED. If a diagnosis of HED is made, this must have implications for lactation counseling, for example by recommending special salves or nipple shields.

The limitations of this study include the relatively low number of subjects owing to the rarity of HED, particularly in Group B, and the unfortunately incomplete photodocumentation of subjects due to the missing consent by some participants. The lack of individual photographs, however, does not affect the finding of significantly decreased numbers of glands of Montgomery in both groups.

A further limitation could be the fact that the majority of data were collected retrospectively, making it impossible to rule out gaps or imprecise recollections. Nevertheless, the subjective perception that breastfeeding improved with the second child appears plausible to us. The most likely reason for this is that the mammary gland reacts more quickly to hormonal stimuli in subsequent pregnancies. This may be due to changes in methylation patterns of certain DNA sequences which are responsible for pregnancy-related remodeling of the breast. Such changes persist after the first pregnancy and period of lactation, promoting faster reactions to hormones in subsequent pregnancies [24]. The mother’s prior experience of breastfeeding probably also plays a role.

As shown in a parallel study of infants and toddlers with HED, affected children who were exclusively breastfed had a normal weight gain. If there were breastfeeding problems, the period of breastfeeding was usually too short to make valid statements on weight gain.

Specific characteristics of neonates with HED, e.g. mandibular hypoplasia, could also be a reason for difficulties in breastfeeding. As affected infants are generally still able to suck normally, these characteristics appear to be of secondary importance.

Breastfeeding and the associated difficulties are some of the most important topics discussed by affected women in the German-Swiss-Austrian ectodermal dysplasia patient support group and similar support groups in other countries. The knowledge that heterozygous carriers of EDAD4 mutations have more problems with breastfeeding than healthy mothers and that these are problems for which they cannot be held responsible should take the psychological pressure off affected women after giving birth. This aspect was felt to be very important by the female members of the above-mentioned support group and should be taken into account in maternity hospitals, in order to “promote optimal treatment in the sense of encouraging breastfeeding while having regard to the welfare of both the mother and the infant” [25]. Prior to pregnancy, inverted nipple surgery, a relatively minor procedure, could be carried out in some cases and nipple and areola could be modified such that the patient’s ability to breastfeed would no longer be limited. This procedure resulted in a normalization of most patients’ breastfeeding capability [26, 27].

For XLHED, by far the most common form of HED, a causative treatment is currently being evaluated in clinical trials in male patients (www.clinicaltrials.gov NCT01775462 and NCT01992289). New information on developmental disorders of the mammary gland could be taken as an opportunity to open these studies to affected female individuals.

**Conclusion**

As a consequence of breast development disorders mothers with hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia have unusually high rates of difficulty in breastfeeding. This needs to be taken into account during lactation counseling and could be an argument for expanding current therapeutic trials with recombinant ectodysplasin A to include also female patients.
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