Ultrasound Changes of Postoperative Adhesion Types Over Time in Children
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Abstract
Postoperative fibrotic band formation is a common complication that causes bowel obstruction, chronic pain, and especially reoperation problems. We tried to evaluate the ultrasound signs of different adhesions over time in children. This descriptive study was performed in children hospital of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. Sixty-five children aged 4 months to 15 years (mean age of 7.2 ± 6.5 years) were enrolled in the study. Complete abdominal sonography using 5 to 12 MHz multifrequency probes was performed by a pediatric radiologist. The sonographic findings and data analysis were performed. In first week after surgery, the fibrinous exudates are seen as hypoechoic shadows. It has uneven thickness and usually encases the bowel loops in a circular shape. In second week, they gradually become straighter with uniform thickness. In this period, in 68% of cases, a hyperechoic line is formed in the center of hypoechoic fibrinous exudates, which is usually placed between the bowel loops (interloop fibrosis). The omentum or mesentery entrapment in the fibrinous exudates was occurred in ~50% and 25% of these cases, respectively. In this state, echogenic omentum or mesentery was seen simultaneously with the hypoechoic fibrinous exudates. After 1 month from surgical procedure, One of the following four sonographic patterns are seen: attachment hyperechoic omentum to retroperitoneum (50%), attachment hyperechoic mesentery to anterior abdominal wall (26%), interloop fibrosis (39%), and severe hypoechoic fibrotic band (30%). Absence of visceral sliding was seen in 65 to 80% of patients. Overall, there are five morphologic patterns of adhesion on ultrasound: fibrinous exudates, interloop fibrosis, fibrotic band, fixed omentum to retroperitoneum, and fixed mesentery to abdominal wall.
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Introduction
Postoperative fibrotic band formation is a common complication after abdominal or pelvic surgeries.1 Although this process is a normal response to the inflammation caused during the injury in the pathway of wound healing process, it can cause several complications such as bowel obstruction, infertility, chronic pain, and especially reoperation problems due to the anatomical changes.2 Unfortunately, the lifetime risk of postoperative complications is even higher in children, especially neonates and infants,3 and the reoperation rate for children with adhesions is reported to be 6.2 to 12.6% through the literature.4

Despite this high rate of complication, there is little imaging evidence for diagnosis of these adhesions in children in literature. One of the methods that can be used with an
acceptable accuracy (around 90%) in detecting visceral adhesions is cine magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)\(^5\).\(^6\).\(^7\); however, this method is expensive and time consuming.

Another inexpensive and accessible modality used for detecting postoperative adhesions is ultrasonography. The use of the ultrasound sign “visceral sliding” as a marker for these adhesions is the most important basis for these studies. However, this sign has variety of specificities and sensitivities\(^8\).\(^9\) and most studies were conducted on adults. The sensitivity of 75% and the specificity of 80% for this sign were reported in only children population research.\(^10\) Smerczyński et al presented their experience about the possibilities of intraabdominal adhesion diagnostics by means of the ultrasound morphologic findings.\(^11\)

Therefore, further investigations are needed to specify postoperative adhesions in ultrasound for better treatment planning. In addition, the postoperative adhesions have different sonographic signs that change over time. The aim of this study was to assess ultrasound changes of postoperative adhesions over time in children.

**Materials and Methods**

This descriptive cross-sectional study was performed in the radiology and surgery departments of Akbar Children’s Hospital, Mashhad, Iran from 2018 to 2022. The Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences approved this study with the approval code IR.MUMS.fm.REC.1396.282.

A total of 65 children aged 4 month to 15 years, referring to the radiology department with postoperative compliant were enrolled in the study. Patients with postoperative collection and cases with incomplete documentation of medical records were also excluded from the study. Complete abdominal sonography was performed using 5 to 12 MHz multifrequency probes (Voluson E6, Samsung WS80 and Esaote class C, ultrasound machines). Targeted ultrasound examination of bowels was performed by an expert pediatric radiologist focusing on the signs of adhesion. The ultrasound scans were done assessing for the presence of the following signs: fluid-filled dilated loops, tubular or sausage-shaped dilatation of bowels, valvulae conniventes (stack of coins sign), to-and-fro motion of bowel contents, collapsed loops, the transition zone between the dilated proximal and collapsed distal loops, fixed bowels, visceral sliding, the fibrinous exudates, organized exudates, fibrotic band, fixed omentum to retroperitoneum, and the fixed mesentery to abdominal wall. Although both mesentry and omentum are echogenic, mesenteric vessels and deep bulk of mesentery differentiate it from superficial and nonvascular omentum. The absence of visceral sliding is used for movement restriction of the omentum and/or bowel due to their adhesions to the abdominal wall.

As histological changes (from fibrinous exudates until permanent fibrotic bands) occurred over time in inflammatory exudates, we divided them into two groups: less than 1 month and more than one month (persistent) groups. The fibrinous exudates are defined as extra-luminal hypoechoic tissue with a non-uniform thickness that usually encases the bowel loops.

After sonographic assessment of adhesion and bowel obstruction, the patients underwent appropriate medical or surgical procedures such as contrast study, observation, or surgical treatment. Then, they were followed up until a final definite diagnosis was made for them. Results of sonographic findings and data analysis were performed using statistical package for social science (SPSS; version 16 for Windows; IBM Statistics, Chicago, Illinois, United States).

**Results**

In the current study, 65 cases with sonographic signs of postoperative adhesion were studied (40 males and 25 females). The subjects had a mean age of 7.2 ± 6.5 years (range: 4 month–15 years). Postoperative period of our patients was 2 days to 4 years. Appendicitis was the most common cause of adhesion in our patients (n=46, 71%). Right lower quadrant and hypogastric region are the most common location of adhesion (N=61, 94%). Table 1 shows the causes and location of adhesion in the study sample.

Sonographic signs of obstruction including loop distention, sausage appearance, to-and-fro movement, transitional zone, and absence of visceral sliding were seen in ~65 to 80% of patients.

Thirty-seven of our patients (57%) were in the group with a history of less than 1 month after surgery. In first week after surgery, the fibrinous exudates are seen as hypoechoic shadows. It has variable and nonuniform thickness, and usually encases the bowel loops. In second week, they gradually become straighter with uniform thickness. In some cases, organization, and cystic changes and septation appear in fibrinous exudates. Organized exudates may rarely (two cases) persist for 3 to 4 months (Fig. 1). In this period in 68% of cases; a hyperechoic line forms in the center of hypoechoic fibrinous exudates, which lies between the bowel loops (interloop fibrosis) (Fig. 2). The omentum or mesentery entrapment in the fibrinous exudates was occurred in ~50 and 25% of these cases, respectively. In this state, echogenic omentum or mesentery was seen associated with the hypoechoic fibrinous these cases. In this state,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cause of adhesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendicitis</td>
<td>46 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstruction</td>
<td>11 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforation</td>
<td>4 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malrotation, pancreatitis, mass, ...</td>
<td>4 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of adhesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLQ</td>
<td>37 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypogastric region</td>
<td>24 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: RLQ, right lower quadrant.
echogenic omentum or mesentery was seen within the hypoechoic fibrinous exudates. Then, overall, the fibrinous exudates are seen either as hypoechoic shadow or mixed with hyperechoic shadows (Fig. 2).

After 1 month from surgical procedure (persistent group), four sonographic patterns are seen: attachment hyperechoic omentum to retroperitoneum (50%), attachment hyperechoic mesentery to anterior abdominal wall (26%) (Fig. 3), interloop fibrosis (39%), and severe hypoechoic fibrotic band (30%). Severe hypoechoic fibrotic bands may be straight or enveloped the bowel loops in a circular shape (Fig. 4). Over time, uneven thickness hypoechoic fibrinous exudates change to thin three-layer appearance and eventually severe hypoechoic fibrotic band.

Overall, based on morphologic patterns of adhesion on ultrasound, there are five appearances: fibrinous exudates as uneven hypoechoic shadow, interloop fibrosis as a hypoechoic shadow with three-layer appearance between the bowel loops, fibrotic band as severe hypoechoic band, fixed hyperechoic omentum to retroperitoneum, and fixed hyperechoic mesentery to abdominal wall. – Table 2 summarizes prevalence and sonographic findings of adhesion types.

**Discussion**

The fibrotic bands are occurred in 63% of the patients who underwent major abdominal or pelvic surgeries, within the first year. Most of adhesion bands are formed as a postsurgical sequel (90%). They also occurred on postinflammatory or infectious processes such as endometriosis, Crohn’s disease, and so on and/or after radiation.
Although postoperative adhesions are the most common cause for small bowel obstruction, other symptoms attributable to them are nonspecific.\textsuperscript{14,15} Imaging findings are usually minor and the patients are often undiagnosed due to the paucity of sensitive/accurate diagnostic tests and patients occasionally can develop psychosomatic disorder, or they may be confused with bowel dysfunction, such as irritable bowel syndrome.\textsuperscript{1,16} In addition, ultrasonic demonstration of bowel adhesion can be used for the precise localization and mapping of abdominal wall adhesions prior to abdominal surgery. This may be particularly useful in providing and selecting a safe access (adhesion-free site) in patients undergoing laparoscopy who are at increased risk for instrumental injury of viscera due to abdominal wall adhesions.\textsuperscript{17}

It is usually difficult to identify the adhesion band as the cause of the obstruction, and abdominal imaging is usually done to rule out other causes. Based on movement restriction of bowel, some studies have been done to diagnose adhesions with MRI and ultrasonography. Lienemann et al performed a cine MRI for 27 patients at risk for intra-abdominal adhesions. Preoperative data were compared with operative findings for 13 patients. The authors found an overall sensitivity of 88% and a specificity of 93%.\textsuperscript{5} Katayama et al evaluated the lower abdomen adhesions in 52 women with gynecologic disorders with cine MRI. They divided movement restriction into four different subgroups. After abdominal surgery in all the patients, they found an overall accuracy of 85% in the lower abdomen for cine MRI.\textsuperscript{6} Buhmann-Kirchhoff et al also performed preoperative cine MRI for 90 patients with history of abdominal or pelvic surgery and had experienced acute or chronic abdominal pain. They reported an overall accuracy of 89%.\textsuperscript{7}

Several studies have also assessed the applicability of sonography in detecting intra-abdominal adhesions based on movement restriction of bowel. All of them are used from viscera slide sign as the sonographic sign of abdominal adhesion. Abdominal wall adhesions produce a restriction of viscera slide. The examination is based on the demonstration of movement of abdominal viscera during real-time imaging, which is called viscera slide sign. These movements either occur spontaneously because of respiratory movement or may be caused by manual compression. This sign is assessed by a deep breathing test, a change in the patient’s condition, or by probe pressures. The ultrasonic examination of the abdominal wall was done using convex or linear probes.\textsuperscript{17,18}

Kodama et al studied visceral sliding as a sonography marker. They found spontaneous or induced visceral slide restriction to less than 1 cm in 58% of patients. Larciprete et al observed the absence of the “sliding visceral” sign in 64% of patients with adhesion. Kolecki et al reported high accuracy (91%) for this sign.\textsuperscript{19–21}

Caprini et al and Kothari et al assessed sonography as a tool for mapping adhesions before laparoscopic adhesiolysis surgery. They determined normal movement during longitudinal scanning; 2 to 5 cm for spontaneous viscera slide and 1 cm or more for induced viscera slide. They found all adhesions by ultrasound preoperatively. They conclude that examination of the abdominal wall with spontaneous and induced viscera slide, using ultrasound scanning, can reliably detect intra-abdominal adhesions.\textsuperscript{22,23}

Wani et al also assessed sonography as a tool for evaluating adhesions in patients who had gallbladder stone and were candidate for laparoscopy to assess an easy access. Their study revealed that ultrasonography has more than 70% sensitivity, more than 80% specificity, and more than 76% sensitivity.

---

**Table 2** Prevalence of ultrasound features and percentages of adhesion types (Some types of adhesion exist simultaneously)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of adhesion</th>
<th>Ultrasound feature</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinous exudates</td>
<td>Uneven hypoechoic shadow</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-loop fibrosis</td>
<td>Hypoechoic shadow with three-layer appearance between the Bowel Loops</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent Fibrotic band</td>
<td>Severe hypoechoic band</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed omentum</td>
<td>Fixed hyperechoic Omentum to Retro-peritoneum.</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed mesentery</td>
<td>Fixed hyperechoic Mesentery to Abdominal wall.</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 4** (A, B) A fibrotic band as severe hypoechoic band envelopes a bowel. (C, D) The severe hypoechoic fibrotic bands in two other patients.
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accuracy in predicting pericholecystic adhesions which is the major cause of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy.14

Only one study was conducted in children population that was conducted by Tan et al. They assessed visceral sliding as a marker of visceroparietal adhesion. Their reported sensitivity and specificity in predicting adhesions were 75 and 80%, respectively.10

We found one article in literature about morphological changes in intra-abdominal adhesion; Smereczyński et al explained hypoechoic band or heterogeneous or hyperechoic change in adhesions and four types of morphological changes in the ultrasound caused by adhesions: visceral-peritoneal adhesions, intraperitoneal adhesions, adhesions obstructions, and adhesions between the liver and abdominal wall.11,18

This study was performed to assess the ultrasound changes of postoperative adhesions over time in children. To better understand the subject, we first describe the postoperative tissue changes. The mesothelial cells (layer) of the serous membranes of peritoneum can be detached by slightest trauma.25 This damage leads to increased vascular permeability and exudation of inflammatory cells that is followed by formation of a fibrin matrix called fibrinous exudates (stage 1).26 However, these fibrinous exudates are usually removed by the fibrinolysis process. When the fibrinous exudate is large and cannot remove, it stimulates the ingrowth of fibroblasts (organization) and produces fibrous tissues called adhesion fibrotic bands (stage 3) in the second week onward. Both fibrinous exudates and fibrotic bands lead to connect two injured peritoneal surfaces and interfere on the normal intestinal motility and transit processes.1,6,17,28

Sonographically, the fibrinous exudates of early phase are seen as uneven hypoechoic shadows. Exudates thickness is variable and nonuniform and usually envelopes the bowel loops in a circular shape. Gradually, they become straighter with uniform thickness and a three-layer pattern appeared between bowel loops as a hyperechoic line in center of hypoechoic fibrinous exudates, which are visible with high-resolution probes. Severe hypoechoic fibrotic band is ultimate outcome.

If the omentum and mesentery entrap in the fibrinous exudates, echogenic omentum or mesentery can be seen within the hypoechoic fibrinous exudates. Over time, fibrinous exudates disappear and fixed omentum to posterior abdominal wall or fixed mesentery to anterior abdominal wall is seen as echogenic band.

Overall, based on morphologic patterns of adhesion on ultrasound, there are five appearances: fibrinous exudates as uneven hypoechoic shadow, interloop fibrosis as hypoechoic shadow with three-layer appearance between bowel loops, fibrotic band as severe hypoechoic band, fixed hyperechoic omentum to retroperitoneum, and fixed hyperechoic mesentery to abdominal wall. In addition, the signs of bowel obstruction such as loop distention, sausage appearance, to-and-fro movement, and transitional zone are seen in most patients.

Unlike visceral sliding, these morphologic changes are visible objectively and are easily seen with looking for these signs were done under surgical scar. In addition, the visceral sliding sign was absent in 25% of patients with the morphologic signs of adhesion.

The main limitation of our study is nonperformance of surgery in all patients as the best gold standard to match the sonographic and surgical findings. Further research is needed to determine the clinical significance especially in treatment planning, correlation with surgical findings, and prognostic value of these findings.

Conclusion

There are five types of adhesion in ultrasound: fibrinous exudate, interloop fibrosis, persistent fibrotic band, fixed omentum to retroperitoneum, and fixed mesentery to abdominal wall. The ultrasound appearance of postoperative adhesions changes over time; in first week, the fibrinous exudates are seen as uneven extraluminal hypoechoic shadows. After 1 week, they gradually become straighter with uniform thickness with three-layer appearance in interloop space. Sometime, these fibrinous exudates are associated with omentum entrapment, which is seen as mixed hypoechoic and hyperechoic shadows. After 1 month, following sonographic patterns are seen: interloop fibrosis as three-layer shadows between the bowel loops; severe hyperechoic fibrotic band; and hyperechoic fixed omentum to retroperitoneum or hyperechoic fixed mesentery to abdominal wall.
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