Anatomical Study of the Close Association between Latissimus Dorsi and Surrounding Muscles. How to Safely Harvest the Muscle?
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Abstracts

Background We suggested an easy and effective harvesting technique to avoid injury to tissues adjacent to the latissimus dorsi (LD).

Methods Between 2007 and 2017, breast reconstruction was performed with an LD flap using the “bottom-up” technique. Medical records were retrospectively reviewed. Data on postoperative complications, results, and follow-up were obtained. Nine cadaveric dissections were performed to assess positional relationships between LD and adjacent muscles based on the ribs where relevant muscles and LD attach. Overall, 78 LD flaps were harvested without complications.

Results Average age was 45.4 years. The mean operation time was 260 minutes. There were no abnormalities or injuries in the adjacent fascia and muscles during the flap harvest. Drains were removed at an average of 21.9 days postoperatively. In all cadavers, there was conjoined fascia between the thoracolumbar and LD fasciae. The average level of the merging point between the LD and external oblique muscle (EOM) was 8.9 to 11.1 ribs. The average level of the overlapping point between the LD and serratus posterior inferior (SPI) was 9.5 to 11.1 ribs.

Conclusions There are three dangerous zones during LD flap harvesting. The first zone is where the conjoined fascia encompasses the LD and thoracolumbar fasciae. The second zone is where the LD merges with the EOM and the serratus anterior. The third zone is the lower part where the LD merges with the SPI and EOM. The “bottom-up” technique enables a more meticulous and atraumatic operation by beginner flap surgeons.
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Introduction

The latissimus dorsi (LD), a musculocutaneous flap, was introduced in 1906 by Iginio Tansini.1 The LD flap is known as the universal flap. Pedicled LD musculocutaneous flaps are used for medium-sized breast reconstruction mainly in Korea.2 A pedicled or a free flap can cover defects at the back, at the chest wall, and in the lower extremities because of its reliably large area. Furthermore, pedicled LD musculocutaneous flaps are relatively easy to harvest. This explains
why most novice plastic surgeons commonly use this procedure for soft tissue reconstruction. To achieve better outcomes and to reduce postoperative complications, preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative managements are important. Of these, the most important factor is to harvest the flap safely without injuring the adjacent structures using a procedure called the atraumatic harvest technique.

There are many muscles around the LD. The trapezius, a muscle on the back, is more superficial than the LD. On the other hand, the thoracolumbar fascia (TLF), serratus anterior muscle (SAM), and external oblique muscle (EOM) are located underneath the LD. During LD harvest, the surgeon must check the margin of each muscle and resect them correctly. However, there are points that may cause confusion during LD harvest. These points include the merging and overlapping points. The merging point is where the three structures, namely, the TLF, EOM, and serratus posterior inferior (SPI), converge. The overlapping point is where the trapezius, SAMs, and teres major overlap. Recognizing these two points and performing a pure LD harvest without injuring the adjacent structures results in fewer postoperative complications.

Several studies and textbooks have discussed LD harvest techniques, including flap design and approach modality. In general, the choice of flap design and approach modality relies on situational circumstances. For a novice flap surgeon, factors such as anatomical complexity and atraumatic operative technique are more important. However, these studies did not address the anatomical and technical details of an LD flap harvest.

For these reasons, this study aimed to propose a novel technique in harvesting LD flaps without injuring the adjacent tissues. The technique is called the "bottom-up" technique. We also observed the relationship between the LD and its adjacent muscles by means of cadaveric dissections to verify their anatomy and resolve confusing points, such as the merging and overlapping points, during LD harvest.

Methods

Between August 2007 and August 2017, 78 LD flaps were performed for autologous breast reconstruction by a senior plastic surgeon. The institutional review board at our hospital reviewed and approved this study (approval no.: DSMC-2017-07-042). The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) breast cancer patients with immediate breast reconstruction using LD flaps and (2) patients with a small-to-moderate breast volume. There were no exclusion criteria in this study. Medical records were reviewed retrospectively. Data on operative notes, postoperative complications, results, and follow-up were obtained. Based on medical records, patients visited our outpatient clinic 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. Thereafter, patients came for follow-up check-ups annually.

Fig. 1 Window entering LD muscle’s underneath. (Left) The stars showed the anterior border of latissimus dorsi muscle. If surgeons found this thin point between the subcutaneous fat, muscle dissection was taken along the underneath of latissimus dorsi. (Right) Serratus anterior muscle was safely detached with latissimus dorsi muscle. LD, latissimus dorsi.
muscles underneath the LD medially until the midline was reached, the TLF attached to the LD and the TLF attached to the long muscle of the back and the SPI were easily separated. We then cut the isolated glistening fascia using the Bovie electrocautery device from the interior to the exterior surface. This procedure did not damage any fascia located underneath. This was dangerous area for beginner surgeons to operate on due to the high incidence of injury to the underlying TLF muscles (Fig. 2 and 3).

When the fascial structure of the LD muscle was resected inferiorly, there was a secured surgical field. The muscle and the fascia underneath the LD which included the SPI muscle, and the TLF was safely detached. At this point, the lateral inferior connection of the LD with the EOM could be clearly observed to be in the shape of a staircase. After the LD muscle was released sufficiently from the medial side, the muscle could be sufficiently reversed spatially. At this time, the surgeon observed the direction of the muscle fibers because the LD and EOM meet at almost right angles. By examining this well by means of in and out cutting, the LD could be safely separated without injuring the LD and EOM. This was the second most dangerous area where a beginner surgeon could make a mistake (Fig. 4). Video 1 (available in the online version) shows the dissection technique in danger zone 2.

Video 1

The remaining part was the inferior LD which was connected to the muscle that originated from the iliac crest and fascial system. We incised the muscle or fascia using the Bovie electrocautery from underneath the muscle until the yellow fat layer was exposed. This method did not injure the subcutaneous fat layer. Since this part was relatively deep, it might not have been possible to distinguish the muscles from each other. However, our method of cutting the muscles from the bottom to the outside could safely separate the LD. This was considered the third most dangerous area for beginner surgeons. The muscles that could potentially be damaged in this area were the SPI and the EOM (Fig. 5 and 6). Video 2 (available in the online version only) shows the dissection technique in danger zone 3.

Video 2

Fig. 2 The danger zone 1. After retracting the trapezius and latissimus dorsi muscles, the whitish conjoined thoracolumbar fascia was found. LD, latissimus dorsi; TLF, thoracolumbar fascia.

Fig. 3 (Left) In cadaver dissection, conjoined fascia between thoracolumbar fascia and latissimus dorsi fascia was found. (Right) Retract the latissimus dorsi during the operation, we can see where the monopolar electrocautery should go. LD, latissimus dorsi.
Anatomical Study
We used nine embalmed specimens from the Department of Anatomy at our hospital. Considering the variation of cadaver specifications, we determined the positional relationship of the LD and its adjacent muscles as the basis of operation. The positional relationship was relative to the rib which was the attachment site of the LD and its adjacent oblique abdominis muscle. This point should be dissected from the bottom to the outside, called the “bottom-up” technique. EO, external oblique; LD, latissimus dorsi.

Results
Clinical Series
We performed 37 LD flaps and harvested 41 extended LD musculocutaneous flaps. Immediate reconstruction was
performed in 77 patients who underwent breast reconstruction, while delayed reconstruction was performed in one. The mean patient age was 45.4 years (range, 24–84 years). The mean body mass index was 23.36 kg/m² (range, 17.62–39.12 kg/m²). The mean operation time was 260 minutes (range, 210–440 minutes). The mean follow-up period was 13.7 months (range, 6–30 months). The average number of days of hospitalization was 11.4 days (range, 3–30 days). The mean postoperative period was 9.3 days (2–30 days).

There were no abnormalities or injuries in the adjacent fascia and muscles due to flap harvest in any of the cases. Donor negative drains were removed when the drains contained only less than 30 cc over 2 consecutive days. The mean time from the surgical date to the removal of the drain at the donor site was 21.9 days (range, 7–50 days).

In the reconstructed breasts of four patients, there was partial necrosis of the skin island paddles. The necrotic skin healed after debridement and primary closure. In addition, there were no contour changes that could be attributed to skin paddle necrosis. A total of 15 patients experienced chronic seroma formation at the donor site; four underwent capsulectomy and reinsertion of the negative drain. Another patient underwent needle aspiration as an outpatient. Two patients complained of discomfort during shoulder abduction without limitation of movement and pain during extension of the back and during scapular movement. Otherwise, there were no complaints of pain or tenderness at the donor sites of patients who were in the supine or lateral decubitus position during surgery.

**Anatomical Study**

In nine cadavers, a topographical analysis of the LD and its association with the other muscles were examined. The margins of the LD, EOM, trapezius, and SPI were identified. In all cadavers, there was a conjoined fascia between the thoracolumbar and LD fasciae (**Fig. 3**). The conjoined fascia was positively identified on the medial side of the LD. There was a merging point connecting the EOM, SPI, and LD in all cadavers, although the merging degree varied in each cadaver (**Fig. 7**).

The mean length of the anterior border of LD was 40.5 ± 8.5 cm. The LD was merged with SAM and EOM at 27.4 to 46.1% and 60.8 to 82.2% of the reference line, respectively. The average level of the merging point between the LD and the EOM was 8.9 to 11.1 ribs (**Fig. 7**).

The mean length of the spinal origin of the LD (SL) was 31.2 ± 5.8 cm. The trapezius and SPI overlapped with the LD, with a 0 to 30.7% and 37.4 to 67.1% distance from the reference line, respectively. The average level of the overlapping point between the LD and SPI was 9.5 to 11.1 ribs (**Table 1**). **Table 2** shows the position of the merging points between the EOM, SPI, and LD muscles based on rib number in nine cadavers.

---

**Fig. 7** (Above, left) The danger zone 1. After trapezius was retracted, conjoined fascia was found on the medial side of the latissimus dorsi. (Above, right) The danger zone 2. The merging point between the external oblique abdominis and latissimus dorsi muscle (Below, left) The danger zone 3. The merging point between the serratus posterior and latissimus dorsi muscle (Below, right) The danger zone 3. The merging point between the external oblique abdominis and latissimus dorsi muscle in caudal portion. EOM, external oblique muscle; LD, latissimus dorsi.
**Fig. 8** The distance of the anterior border and spinal border of the latissimus dorsi and danger zones. Relative percentages based on the reference of merging, overlapping point with the latissimus dorsi muscle.

**Table 1** Mean length, percentage, and rib level in cadaver dissection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>Rib level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior border of the latissimus dorsi (AL)</td>
<td>40.5 ± 8.5</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merging with the serratus anterior muscle (SAM)</td>
<td>27.4–46.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merging with external oblique abdominis muscle (EOM)</td>
<td>60.8–82.2</td>
<td>8.9–11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal origin of the latissimus dorsi (SL)</td>
<td>31.2 ± 5.8</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapezius overlapping (TO)</td>
<td>0–30.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serratus posterior inferior overlapping (SO)</td>
<td>37.4–67.1</td>
<td>9.5–11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Rib level of merging points of the external oblique and serratus posterior inferior with the latissimus dorsi in cadaver dissections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>External oblique right</th>
<th>External oblique left</th>
<th>Serratus posterior inferior right</th>
<th>Serratus posterior inferior left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cadaver 1</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>7–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaver 2</td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td></td>
<td>8–12</td>
<td>8–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaver 3</td>
<td>8–9</td>
<td></td>
<td>7–10</td>
<td>7–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaver 4</td>
<td>9–12</td>
<td></td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaver 5</td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td></td>
<td>7–12</td>
<td>8–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaver 6</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>9–12</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>10–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaver 7</td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td>9–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaver 8</td>
<td>9–12</td>
<td>9–12</td>
<td>8–11</td>
<td>8–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaver 9</td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10–12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The LD flap is a versatile flap with a wide range of applications in filling soft tissue defects. The reliable anatomy of its pedicle vessels and the large amount of tissue available account for its versatility.\(^7\) Pedicled flaps are especially useful for and are preferred by surgeons who are new to flap reconstruction. The pedicle’s vessels are long (mean, 8.5 cm; range, 6.5–12 cm) and large (mean, 3 mm; range, 2–4 mm).\(^2\) The abundant blood supply and the easily distinguishable flap components result in a relatively simple flap harvest and dissection. However, there are various flap designs and approach modalities.\(^9\)

Several studies suggested the utilization of an endoscopic-assisted harvesting technique to reduce donor site morbidity.\(^10,11\) Furthermore, other studies suggested the employment of a robot-assisted harvesting technique which is a less-invasive approach.\(^12,13\)

Although this is an easily accessible surgery for beginner surgeons, care should be taken in performing this procedure because it involves a relatively large muscle that is anatomically complex with many surrounding muscles. Our study showed the relationship between the LD and the fasciae through medical records of previous surgical operations and through cadaveric dissections. The LD originates from the spine and iliac crest through thin tendinous components and enters the bicipital groove of the humerus in a superolateral direction. The SAM originates at the 5th to 9th ribs and enters the iliac crest from the pubis. The LD muscle fibers merge with the SAM and EOM vertically. In the spinal origin of the LD, the conjoined fascia consists of a thin tendinous component of the LD and the TLF.\(^11\) If this conjoined fascia is overlooked, important adjacent muscles and the underlying fascia could be damaged. For this reason, the authors designated this part as danger zone 1, overlapping with the TLF by about 30%, and conjoined with TLF. Therefore, if you do not use the in and out technique, that is, the “bottom up technique,” when separating the LD fascia, it may damage the TLF fascia.

The TLF, the girdling structure on the human back, encircles the paraspinal muscles of the lower back and the sacral regions. The TLF has numerous functions, including modulation of tension and stiffness; facilitation of the equilibrium of the lumbar spine; and the sacroiliac joints, posture, and load transfer and respiration among others. The TLF is a critical part of the myofascial girdle of the lower body. If it is injured, paraspinal muscle hernia, chronic back pain, and scoliosis due to postural instability could occur. If the degree of injury worsens, respiratory problems might arise.\(^14\) Furthermore, TLF injury could result in postoperative and chronic back pain and fibrosis and adhesion, thereby causing a loss of independent motion and restriction of body movement. It ultimately results in alterations in connective tissue physiology.\(^15\)

The SAM and the trapezius muscles are responsible for scapular movement and stability and are the main components of the shoulder joint. SAM or trapezius muscle injury could lead to chronic pain in the subscapular area or to a winging scapula. If this worsens, it could cause instability of the shoulder joint which could also affect the abduction and flexion of the arm.\(^16\) The EOM and the internal oblique muscle are responsible for the lateral support of the trunk, rotatory movement, and appropriate compression of the abdominal cavity by pulling the chest downward. Injury of this muscle interferes with postural stability and ambulatory movements, such as walking or running.\(^17\) Because each muscle has its own function, flap harvest using the atraumatic technique is required to reduce postoperative complaints and complications.

On cadaver dissection, we measured the mean distance of the lateral border of the LD, relative percentage of the merging point based on the distance of the lateral border of the LD, mean distance of the spinal origin of the LD, and the relative percentage of the overlapping point based on the distance of the spinal origin of the LD. Using this reference, we predicted the merging and overlapping points (\(\sim\)Fig. 7). The merging point, that is, the most difficult point of dissection and the most dangerous point in terms of adjacent tissue injuries, was located at the rib’s fixed point on the body. If the merging point on the EOM could be predicted, mistakes could be avoided. Effort should be made to find the anterior border of the LD around the merging point on the EOM because the EOM merging point is very complicated. Buncke\(^18\) stated that a sharp elevation of the anterior border of the LD was necessary because it was intimately attached to the SAM. The authors designated this area as danger zone 2 which corresponds to the 8th to 11th ribs, and the LD overlaps the EOM by about 60 to 80% (\(\sim\)Fig. 4).

Della Rovere et al.\(^2\) showed that meticulous dissection was needed to prevent SPI damage when separating the TLF. This description was similar to the meticulous dissection of the merging and overlapping points in our study results. The authors marked this part as danger zone 3 which corresponds to the 9th to the 11th ribs and overlaps LD and SPI by 37 to 67%. In particular, since this area is quite deep, if the operator’s field of view is not sufficiently secured, the surrounding muscles may be injured (\(\sim\)Fig. 5).

We defined the principle of resecting from the deep to superficial structures to identify and separate each fascial layer and muscle fiber to minimize damage to adjacent tissues. This was called the “bottom-up” technique. Using this technique, reconstructed breasts showed no specific complications. During the flap harvest, the central part of the LD was separated from the underlying structures (thoracic wall and serratus posterior muscle) perfectly through the loose areolar tissue. Because the loose areolar tissue layer was an avascular plane, it could be dissected easily. Nevertheless, in the margin of the muscles, the LD closely adjoined the surrounding muscles and fascia, including the SAM, trapezius, EOM, and TLF. It was difficult to perform
atraumatic dissection without causing injury for beginner flap surgeons. We created this new effective method precisely to avoid injury to the adjacent tissues.

The “bottom-up” technique can be used to confirm the merging point such as the stair-step appearance containing the fibers of the LD that extended inferomedially to superolaterally, fibers of the SPI that extended inferolaterally to superomedially, and fibers of the EOM. The surgeon separated this merging point accurately. The “bottom-up” technique can also be used to resect the LD fascia without injuring the TLF or paraspinal muscles after division of the TLF and LD fascia completely at the spinal origin of the LD (Fig. 6).

In addition, during flap harvest, there was good visualization of the critically adjoined area and the sequential series of procedure for reducing operation time. Several relevant studies lack the anatomical and technical details of the LD flap.

This study has several limitations. It was a retrospective study; therefore, we depended on electronic medical records and clinical images. We could not consider variables other than those described in the study. Finally, the operation time was recorded only from the start to finish of the operation; therefore, we were not able to analyze the harvest times.

In conclusion, using a “bottom-up” technique, it can be used to harvest the LD to avoid injury to the adjacent tissues with fewer complications. These technical tips can help flap surgeons to perform more meticulous and atraumatic operations.
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