The Treatment of Linear and Narrow Scar after Craniotomy Using the Follicular Unit Excision
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Introduction

Coronal incisions (CIs) were first introduced by Hartley and Kenyon and have been widely used by neurosurgeons and maxillofacial surgeons for approaches to the cranial and midfacial bone.1–7 Despite their usefulness, acute and delayed complications after CIs have been reported.5–7 The acute complications included hematoma, infection, and wound dehiscence.6 Scar alopecia is a delayed complication.5,6 Alopecia
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Abstract

Background The scar alopecia after cranioplasty (SAC) may decrease the patient’s quality of life. We have treated SAC using follicular unit extraction (FUE). The aim of this study was to discuss that efficacy of FUE and how much hair follicular unit (FU) should be transplanted intraoperatively for the treatment of SAC.

Methods We treated 10 patients (4 men and 6 women) who had SAC using FUE.

Results The average age, alopecia size, and intraoperative hair density on the graft area were 29.8 ± 12.1 years, 29.8 ± 44.5 cm², and 34.6 ± 11.8 FU/cm², respectively. One year postoperatively, the average hair survival rate on the graft area was 66.3 ± 6.1%. Hair appearance was rated as good in six, fair in three, and poor in one. Among patients whose 1-year postoperative hair density was ≥ 20 FU/cm², five of six patients achieved good results. However, among patients whose 1-year postoperative hair density was < 20 FU/cm², all four patients achieved fair or poor results. The postoperative hair density was significantly higher in patients whose 1-year postoperative hair density was ≥ 20 FU/cm² than in patients whose 1-year postoperative hair density was < 20 FU/cm². The rate of achieving fair or poor results was significantly higher if the postoperative hair density was < 20 FU/cm² than if it was ≥ 20 FU/cm² (p = 0.047).

Conclusions FU excision is useful for the treatment of scar alopecia after craniotomy. Our results suggest that the 1-year postoperative hair density should exceed 20 FU/cm² to achieve good outcomes.
along scars affects the patients' external appearance. Novel incision shapes and surgical tools have been proposed to decrease scar alopecia; however, narrow and linear scarring occurs, which is unacceptable, especially to young patients. In some cases, such complications may decrease satisfaction with surgery.

Since Rassman et al first introduced follicular unit excision (FUE) in 2002, it has become a popular hair restoration surgery for androgenic alopecia. FUE has less associated scarring than other hair transplantation procedures. In this study, we treated scar alopecia after craniotomy using FUE. Herein, we describe our surgery and postoperative results in detail. The aim of this study was to discuss how many intraoperative hair densities should be exceeded to achieve good result.

Methods

Patients

We retrospectively analyzed our medical records and photos. The study was composed of patients who had received FUE for the treatment of scar alopecia after craniotomy in Shinwa Clinic between August 2016 and July 2020. Patients with diabetes or endocrine diseases were excluded, leaving nine patients (four men and five women). The average age and size of alopecia were 29.8 ± 12.8 years and 9.5 ± 4.1 cm², respectively (Table 1).

Surgical Procedure

All surgical procedures were performed more than 1 year after craniotomy. FUE was performed under local anesthesia using 1% lidocaine solution. While harvesting the FUs, patients were placed in the prone position. The hair FUs were harvested from the occipital region of the scalp using a motorized punch (0.63 mm; Shinwa Clinic) was used to make recipient holes. Then, the FUs were inserted into the recipient holes using a pneumatic implanter. Two days after surgery, the patients were allowed to wash their hair themselves.

After harvesting the FUs, a motorized punch (0.63 mm; Shinwa Clinic) was used to make recipient holes. Then, the FUs were inserted into the recipient holes using a pneumatic implanter. Two days after surgery, the patients were allowed to wash their hair themselves.

Postoperative Evaluation

The postoperative results were evaluated 1 year after surgery by two hair transplant surgeons. The results were divided into three groups according to the degree of improvement of the patient's external appearance (good, fair, and poor). In the good group, the external appearance was improved, and scar alopecia was camouflaged. In the fair group, the external appearance was slightly improved, but scar alopecia was quite visible. In the poor group, the external appearance was not improved, and scar alopecia was quite visible. Next, patients were divided into two groups according to their 1-year postoperative hair density (low density (LD): hair density < 20 FU/cm², high density (HD): hair density ≥ 20 FU/cm²), and the proportion of patients whose 1-year postoperative result was good was compared between the two groups.

Statistical Analysis

Average values of intraoperative hair density and survival rate were compared between the LD and HD groups using the Mann–Whitney U test. Fisher's exact test was used for comparing the proportion of patients with good results between the HD and LD groups. The significance threshold was set at 5% (p < 0.05). All statistical analyses were performed using Excel statistics (Bell Curve, Tokyo, Japan).

Results

The reasons for craniotomy were traffic accidents in seven cases, congenital deformity in two cases, and moyamoya disease in one case. The average age of the patients was 32.0 ± 12.1 years, and the average size of the alopecia was 257.0 ± 211.3 cm². The average intraoperative hair density was 29.8 ± 12.1 FU/cm², and the average survival rate was 66.3 ± 6.1.

Table 1 Information of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>M/F</th>
<th>Transplanted hair (FU)</th>
<th>Transplanted area (cm²)</th>
<th>Intraoperative hair density</th>
<th>Survival rate</th>
<th>One year postop hair density</th>
<th>Cause of cranioplasty</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>9.0 × 1.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.0 × 0.5</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>9 × 1.0</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15.0 × 0.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>28.0 × 0.5</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Cranial deformity</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>22.0 × 0.5</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cranial deformity</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>6.5 × 0.5</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Moyamoya disease</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10 × 0.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>9 × 0.6</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.0 × 1.0</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>29.8 ± 12.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>257.0 ± 211.3</td>
<td>29.8 ± 44.5</td>
<td>34.6 ± 11.8</td>
<td>66.3 ± 6.1</td>
<td>21.9 ± 7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: F, female; FU, follicular unit; M, male; SD, standard deviation.
The average number of grafted FUs was 257.0 ± 211.3 FUs, and the intraoperative density was 34.6 ± 11.8 FU/cm². The FU survival rate was 66.3 ± 6.1%. One-year postoperative hair density was 21.9 ± 7.0 FU/cm². The results for external appearance were good in 6, fair in 3, and poor in 1 (►Table 1). In the HD group, intraoperative hair density and survival rate were 41.1 ± 7.5 FU/cm² and 64.3 ± 5.2%. In the LD group, intraoperative hair density and survival rate were 24.8 ± 9.4 FU/cm² and 69.2 ± 6.2%. Intraoperative density was significantly higher in the HD group than the LD group (p = 0.025). The proportion of patients reporting good result was also significantly higher in the HD group than the LD group (p = 0.047). On the other hand, there was no significant difference in the survival rate between the two groups (p = 0.286) (►Table 2).

Case Presentation

Case 1
A 12-year-old female patient received craniotomy due to a traffic accident 9 years ago. Despite a scar revision performed at another hospital 3 years ago, a linear scar remained (►Fig. 1A). She was referred to our clinic for the treatment of her scalp alopecia. The size of the scar was 10 × 0.5 cm. FUs were harvested from the occipital region of the scalp (►Fig. 1B). A recipient hole was made (►Fig. 1C), and then 200 FUs (40 FU/cm²) were grafted. One year after the operation, the patient’s external appearance had improved well (►Fig. 1D). The survival rate of FUs was 72%. The patient was satisfied with the postoperative result, and we categorized her postoperative result as good.

Case 2
A 52-year-old female patient received bypass surgery due to moyamoya disease 2 years ago. She was referred to our clinic for alopecia treatment (►Fig. 2A). The patient had a 19 × 0.5 cm mature scar at the temporal region of her scalp. Although the scar was long and visible, she only wanted to treat a 6.5-cm portion of the scar from the hairline. One year or more after the operation, FUE was performed. The recipient hole was made, and then 130 FUs (40 FU/cm²) were grafted. One year after the operation, the survival rate of FUs was 62%, and the patient was satisfied with the postoperative result. We categorized her postoperative result as good.

Case 3
An 18-year-old male patient received craniotomy due to Crouzon disease 14 years ago. He was referred to our clinic because a 22.0 × 0.5 cm mature scar remained on his scalp (►Fig. 3A). One year after transferring 250 FUs to the scar (22.3 FU/cm²), the survival rate of grafted FUs was 70%. However, the
patient did not feel that the external appearance had improved (Fig. 3B). We categorized his postoperative result as fair.

Discussion

We found that FUE can improve the external appearance of narrow-scar alopecia after craniotomy. Used by neurosurgeons and maxillofacial surgeons, CIs are useful; however, 0.4 to 18% patients who had received CI developed scar alopecia postoperatively. We can avoid wide and visible scar alopecia on the scalp by making the incision parallel to the long axis of the hair follicles, and the incision line should be straight rather than zigzag. Subcutaneous sutures should be placed on the galea to decrease tension, and superficial sutures should not injure hair follicles. Nitta et al reported that electrocautery skin incision is effective because it has little associated blood loss from the skin incision and can possibly avoid alopecia caused by skin clips.

A tissue expander is a useful therapeutic alternative to treat wide and visible scarring on the scalp. Several authors have reported the use of a V-Y advancement flap, Z plasty, and a hair-bearing flap to treat scar alopecia. Burm and Oh reported the treatment procedure of a wide scar. In their report, incisions were placed at a 30-degree angle, the relax incision was made on the galea, and relaxation sutures were placed on the galea. These procedures made it possible to decrease the size of scar alopecia. However, there was a limitation to decreasing the scar width, and additional alopecia was related to the additional incisions in some procedures. Even though scar alopecia is narrow and linear, some patients are not satisfied with their alopecia.

The FUE and follicular unit transfer (FUT) procedures are effective for adults with androgenic alopecia. And the success of FUE and FUT hair transplantation procedures for burn scars or operative scars has been also reported recently. In our cases, the average intraoperative hair density was 34.6 ± 11.8 FU/cm². There are no guidelines regarding how much FUs should be transplanted for scar tissue. The literature reports an average hair density of 20 to 36.2 FU/cm² and the survival rate of FUs as 78.9 to 80.7% for the treatment of scar alopecia. Previous studies have reported that the survival rate of FUs for the normal scalp is 80 to 90%, which means the survival rate of FUs for scars is lower than that for the normal scalp. The reason for low survival rate of hair follicle in scar was not still elucidated, the tissue oxygen level of scar tissue is lower than in the normal skin and blood circulation is also less than intact skin. These conditions may decrease the survival rate of hair follicular in the scar tissue.

Loganathan et al and Salanitri et al presented surgical result and postoperative complications of FUT. In their report, no severe bleeding and infection were observed. It is reported that there was no differences of postoperative survival rate of grafted hair for scar tissue between FUT and FUE. However, donor site of hair follicular unit should be closed directly in FUT and 19 to 15% of patients had scar enlargement, postoperatively. Although they did not define the width of wide scar, their result suggested not small number of patients may have a scar alopecia on donor site. On the other hand, postoperative scars at the donor site were invisible when FUE was applied (Fig. 3C). We have treated androgenic alopecia patients using FUE. Herein, we applied this procedure to treat narrow-scar alopecia after neurosurgery. There is no reported relationship between hair density immediately after FUE for scar tissue and survival rate. Although we have performed more than 500 FUEs annually, we perform less than three FUEs annually for scar tissue. Because of the small number of cases, we analyzed the relationship between intraoperative results and 1-year postoperative external appearance using the Fisher’s exact test. To analyze relationship between intraoperative density and postoperative density and postoperative result precisely, we need to increase the number of cases. In our cases, high intraoperative density cases could achieve not only high postoperative hair density but also good results. In addition, low intraoperative density cases only achieved low postoperative hair density and poor result (Fig. 4A, B). On the other hand, survival rate was not decreased even after HD hair transplant. Our results suggested that FUE with ≥ 40 FU/cm² is possible even for scar tissue. Although further study should be conducted, we believe that to achieve good postoperative results, the intraoperative density should exceed 40 FU/cm².
FUE is useful for the treatment of scar alopecia after craniotomy. Our results suggest that the 1-year postoperative hair density should exceed 20 FU/cm² to achieve good outcomes.
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Table 2 The relationship between 1-year postoperative hair density, intraoperative hair density, survival rate, and proportion of patients whose 1-year postoperative result was good

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-year postoperative hair density</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HD (n = 6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative hair density (FU)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.1 ± 7.5</td>
<td>24.8 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival rate (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.3 ± 5.2</td>
<td>69.2 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative result (good: fair, poor)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: 1</td>
<td>0: 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: FU, follicular unit.
Note: Fisher’s exact test was used for comparing the proportion of patients with good results, with the significance threshold set at 5% (p < 0.05). LD: low density group (hair density < 20FU/cm²) HD: high density group (hair density ≥ 20 FU/cm²).
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