High-Resolution Computed Tomography of Fibrotic Interstitial Lung Disease
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Abstract

While radiography is the first-line imaging technique for evaluation of pulmonary disease, high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) provides detailed assessment of the lung parenchyma and interstitium, allowing normal anatomy to be differentiated from superimposed abnormal findings. The fibrotic interstitial lung diseases have HRCT features that include reticulation, traction bronchiectasis and broncholectasis, honeycomb, architectural distortion, and volume loss. The characterization and distribution of these features result in distinctive CT patterns. The CT pattern and its progression over time can be combined with clinical, serologic, and pathologic data during multidisciplinary discussion to establish a clinical diagnosis. Serial examinations identify progression, treatment response, complications, and can assist in determining prognosis. This article will describe the technique used to perform HRCT, the normal and abnormal appearance of the lung on HRCT, and the CT patterns identified in common fibrotic lung diseases.

Keywords

► interstitial lung disease
► high-resolution computed tomography
► fibrosis
► traction bronchiectasis
► honeycombing
► fibrotic sarcoidosis

High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) has become an indispensable tool in the diagnosis of fibrotic interstitial lung disease (ILD). Specifically, thin-section images and high spatial resolution allow for better characterization of altered anatomy patterns in ILD than plain radiographs.1,2 Characteristic CT features may determine a fibrotic lung pattern with enough confidence to obviate the need for biopsy or direct the most appropriate biopsy site. Combined with clinical, pathologic, and serologic data, HRCT can direct the most appropriate management pathways, monitor therapies, and provide prognostic information.

High-Resolution Computed Tomography Technique

The scanning protocol for the evaluation of diffuse lung disease includes single breath-hold, volumetric, thin-section imaging of the chest (1–1.5 mm thickness to minimize partial volume averaging), obtained at suspended full inspiration and reconstructed with a high-spatial frequency algorithm.1,3–6 Interpretation using thick slices or expiratory images can lead to misdiagnosis of ILDs (►Fig. 1A, B). Supine images are supplemented with volumetric or interspaced axial inspiratory prone images from the carina through the costophrenic angles. A common mimic of early fibrosis is gravity-dependent density, a normal physiological difference in perfusion in the lungs, and posterior atelectasis that occurs when patients are scanned supine, particularly at reduced vital capacity (►Fig. 1C, D).7,8 In both early fibrosis and dependent density, reticulation and ground-glass opacities occupy the typically empty posterior subpleural 1 cm of the lung on chest CT. Repeat scanning in a prone position results in resolution of posterior gravity-dependent opacities, but persistence of pathologic features (►Fig. 2A–D).6,9,10 Additionally, nonvolumetric, axial interspaced expiratory supine images are performed from the apex to base to evaluate for air trapping. Most of the expected changes of ILD can be observed in the inspiratory phase, with the exception of air trapping (►Fig. 3A, B).3,11

Postprocessing of volumetric imaging data includes multiplanar reformatted images in the coronal and sagittal planes to aid in the assessment of lung volumes and...
distribution of disease.\textsuperscript{6,9} Maximum and minimum intensity projection images improve detection of pulmonary nodules, traction bronchiectasis, and regions of low attenuation (\textsuperscript{\textbullet}Fig. 4A, B).\textsuperscript{12}

\section*{Normal Anatomy}
Structures as small as 0.2 mm can be identified on HRCT.\textsuperscript{13} The smallest anatomic and functional unit of lung visible is the secondary pulmonary lobule (SPL; \textsuperscript{\textbullet}Fig. 5A, B). The SPL is polyhedral in shape, measures 1 to 2.5 cm in size, and contains 5 to 20 acini.\textsuperscript{13-15} SPLs are separated by connective tissue interlobular septa that are only partially visible on CT, usually in the lung apices or bases, unless abnormally thickened.

The acini within the SPLs are supplied by a lobular bronchiol e and the pulmonary artery that are centrilobular structures. The lobular bronchiole divides into terminal and respiratory bronchioles that open into the alveolar air sacs, where gas exchange occurs. The branches of the pulmonary arteries run alongside the airway and bring deoxygenated blood to the rich capillary network. Branches of the pulmonary vein run within the interlobular septum and return oxygenated blood to the heart. The interstitium is the connective tissue framework that supports the lung.\textsuperscript{16} It consists of the subpleural peripheral interstitium and interlobular septa, the axial interstitium that surrounds the bronchovascular bundles, and the intralobular interstitium, which radiates from the airway walls to surround the alveoli. The lymphatics lie within the axial and peripheral interstitium.

\section*{Features of Fibrosis}
HRCT features of fibrosis consist of irreversible reticulation, traction bronchiectasis, honeycombing, architectural distortion, and volume loss.

\subsection*{Reticulation}
An early HRCT feature of fibrosis is irreversible and progressive reticulation, which consists of a network or mesh of fine and coarse linear opacities (\textsuperscript{\textbullet}Fig. 6A, B). These irregular intersecting lines represent both interlobular septal thickening and intralobular interstitial thickening.\textsuperscript{13,14,17,18}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Fig_1.png}
\caption{Technical considerations. Axial CT images in a patient with tuberous sclerosis. (A) 1-mm section demonstrates the right major fissure, thin-walled cysts from lymphangioleiomyomatosis (white arrowhead) and nodules from multifocal micronodular pneumocyte hyperplasia (black arrow) more sharply than the 5-mm section (B). Axial CT images in a patient with usual interstitial pneumonia pattern. Images in inspiration (C) compared with expiration (D) show decrease in lung volume and increase in attenuation of the lung on expiration, which can mimic additional abnormality.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Fig_2.png}
\caption{(A) Supine chest CT images demonstrate dependent density in the lung bases (arrows). (B) Prone CT image demonstrate resolution of the ground-glass opacities. (C) Supine axial CT images showing lower lobe subpleural reticulation (arrow). (D) Prone CT images show persistence of subpleural reticulations consistent with early fibrosis (arrow).}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Fig_3.png}
\caption{Air trapping in fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Axial CT images in inspiration (A) demonstrate lobular lucencies (arrows). Persistence of volume and low attenuation in these areas on the expiratory study are consistent with air trapping (arrows, B). Regions of normal and increased attenuation on inspiration demonstrate decrease in volume and increase in attenuation on expiratory study.}
\end{figure}
sis, in which irreversible, localized, or diffuse dilatation of the airway is secondary to infection, proximal airway obstruction, or a congenital bronchial abnormality (Fig. 8A, B). Traction bronchiectasis predominates in the periphery of the lower lobes in usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) and nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) CT patterns, although those with NSIP may demonstrate subpleural sparing. Involvement of the central upper and mid-zones is associated with fibrotic hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP) and sarcoidosis. Generally, traction bronchiectasis progresses slowly over months to years but can develop within days in acute interstitial pneumonia (AIP) and other forms of diffuse alveolar damage. Walsh and colleagues reported good interobserver variability for the detection of traction bronchiectasis that exceeded agreement for honeycombing. Tominaga et al demonstrated improved interobserver agreement for traction bronchiectasis when observers noted evidence of a surrounding fibrosing pneumonia and exclusion of bronchiectasis related to airway disease, consolidation, or a focal lesion. Coexisting emphysema can reduce diagnostic confidence for honeycombing. Traction bronchiectasis may be absent within regions of coexisting emphysema. Destruction of the lung parenchyma may result in loss of the intralobular interstitial fibers that are responsible for the retractile fibrosis, which causes traction. Traction bronchiectasis has both diagnostic and prognostic implications. The presence and severity of traction bronchiectasis has been shown to be an independent predictor of higher mortality irrespective of the HRCT pattern.

Honeycombing
This finding is the hallmark of end-stage fibrosis (Fig. 9A, B). It results in a complete loss of the acinar architecture, resulting in cystic airspaces. Cystic airspaces measure between 3 and 3 cm in diameter and share relatively thick, well-defined walls. Honeycomb cysts are peripheral and subpleural in location, can be multilayered or single layered, and are most often associated with traction bronchiectasis.
While this is an important sign of fibrosis, studies have shown only moderate agreement in identifying honeycombing among radiologists.\textsuperscript{28,31} Mimics of honeycombing on CT images include paraseptal emphysema, airspace enlargement with fibrosis, and traction bronchiectasis.\textsuperscript{13,20,21,28,31,32} Paraseptal emphysema typically manifests as a single layer of thin-walled cystic spaces with intact interlobular septa; these are more common in the upper lobe rather than in the lower lobes (\textit{\textit{\textsuperscript{\textbullet} Fig. 10A, B}}). They run along the pleural surface, fissure, and mediastinum. Importantly, there is no adjacent reticulation, ground-glass opacity, architectural distortion, or traction bronchiectasis in patients with paraseptal emphysema. Initially described only with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), honeycombing is also seen in patients with connective tissue disease (CTD)-related ILD, fibrotic HP, and sarcoidosis. In all these conditions, the extent of honeycombing is predictive of increased mortality.\textsuperscript{31,33}

**Architectural Distortion**

Architectural distortion of the lung parenchyma refers to displacement of the bronchi, pulmonary vessels, and fissures associated with irregular interfaces at pleural surfaces.\textsuperscript{31,34–36} Architectural distortion and volume loss are associated features of fibrosis (\textit{\textit{\textbullet} Fig. 11A, B}).

**Computed Tomography Patterns of Fibrosis**

The process of HRCT evaluation involves identification and characterization of abnormal findings at the level of the SPL and assessment of distribution of disease in the craniocaudal and axial planes. This allows radiologists to identify specific CT patterns that correspond to morphologic pathologic patterns.\textsuperscript{37,38} The CT pattern, clinical presentation, and serologic data are optimally reviewed during a multidisciplinary discussion between radiologists, pulmonologists, pathologists, and rheumatologists to formulate a working clinical diagnosis of ILD and assess the need for lung biopsy. Initial diagnoses may change in up to half of challenging cases following multidisciplinary discussion.\textsuperscript{39,40} Repeated discussion is helpful as CT patterns, clinical symptoms, and serology may evolve.\textsuperscript{41}

The main CT patterns associated with the fibrotic ILDs include UIP, NSIP, fibrotic organizing pneumonia (OP), fibrotic HP, and fibrotic sarcoidosis. The American Thoracic Society (ATS) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS) consensus panel subclassified chronic fibrosing interstitial

---

**Fig. 7** Traction bronchiectasis. (A) Diagrammatic representation of the secondary pulmonary lobule (SPL) demonstrates dilatation of the centrilobular bronchiole, traction bronchiectasis, and surrounding reticulation and ground-glass opacity. There is also irregular septal thickening which results in distortion and loss of volume of the SPL. (B) HRCT of the left lower lobe showing basal predominant reticulation adjacent to traction bronchiectasis (arrow).

**Fig. 8** Differentiating traction bronchiectasis from standalone bronchiectasis. (A) Axial chest CT demonstrates traction bronchiectasis associated with subpleural reticulation and architectural distortion. (B) Axial chest CT demonstrates bronchiectasis secondary to prior infection. There is normal lung surrounding the bronchiectasis with no adjacent reticulation or ground-glass opacity.

**Fig. 9** Honeycombing. (A) Diagrammatic representation of the secondary pulmonary lobule (SPL) demonstrates honeycomb cystic change. There is volume loss of the SPL associated with irregular septal thickening and cysts. Traction bronchiectasis is also present. (B) HRCT findings showing right lower lobe basal predominant honeycombing (arrow).

**Fig. 10** Mimics of honeycombing. (A) Axial HRCT demonstrates lower lobe honeycombing. (B) HRCT with single layer of thin-walled cystic spaces with intact interlobular septa consistent with paraseptal emphysema (arrow).
pneumonias as either idiopathic or of known etiology.Currently, only four of these clinical syndromes—IPF, NSIP, cryptogenic organizing pneumonia (COP), and pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis (PPFE)—are considered idiopathic. Other interstitial pneumonias with known etiologies include CTD-associated ILD, HP, and sarcoidosis. The most common fibrotic ILD is IPF, characterized by a histologic or radiologic UIP pattern.

Usual Interstitial Pneumonia

The CT-UIP pattern is characterized by reticulation, honeycombing, and traction bronchiectasis in a basal and subpleural distribution. This predicts a histologic UIP pattern with a positive predictive value of 90 to 100%. Given the high concordance between CT-UIP and path-UIP, CT-UIP obviates the need for lung biopsy. Identification of a CT-UIP pattern is of primary importance due to its association with the clinical syndrome of IPF. However, a CT-UIP pattern is also seen in CTDs, fibrotic HP, occupational or medication exposure, familial fibrosis, and rare sarcoidosis. Ancillary clues to these causes may be present on chest CT within the mediastinum, pleura, and bones. These include mediastinal lymphadenopathy in sarcoidosis, esophageal dilatation in scleroderma, pleural effusion, thickening, or plaques to suggest CTD or asbestos exposure and bony erosions, such as seen with rheumatoid arthritis. Careful clinical and serologic exclusion of secondary causes is needed before attributing a CT-UIP pattern to IPF. Age over 60 years, male gender, and smoking history are parameters favoring IPF as the most likely diagnosis of a CT-UIP pattern.

In 2018, the ATS/ERS/Japanese Respiratory Society (JRS)/Asociación Latinoamericana del Tórax (ALAT) and the Fleischner Society separately released updated guidelines for diagnosing IPF. Previous guidelines had described three different CT patterns for patients suspected of having IPF: UIP, possible UIP, and inconsistent with UIP patterns. A shortcoming of three CT categories became apparent. Although a CT-UIP pattern is highly specific for IPF in the correct clinical context, up to 60% of patients with histologically proven UIP and clinical diagnosis of IPF do not have a CT-UIP pattern due to the lack of honeycombing. This significant proportion of IPF patients would be categorized on CT as possible UIP, for which lung biopsy was recommended. In a population that was frail and elderly, the inability to obtain tissue failed to classify patients and offer antifibrotic therapy accurately. The 2018 ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT updated recommendations, as well as the Fleischner Society White Paper on Diagnostic Criteria for IPF, now describe four CT patterns: UIP, probable UIP, indeterminate for UIP, and findings that suggest an alternative diagnosis to IPF/CT features most consistent with a non-IPF diagnosis (Table 1).

The CT patterns described in both guidelines are essentially similar. The CT-UIP pattern is characterized by reticulation, honeycombing, and traction bronchiectasis in a basal and subpleural distribution, although the distribution may be diffuse, patchy, or asymmetric. Patients with a probable UIP pattern have no evidence of honeycombing but display reticular abnormalities with peripheral traction bronchiectasis or bronchiectasis in a basal and subpleural-predominant distribution. Studies have reported that a probable UIP pattern is associated with histological UIP or probable UIP in 80 to 94% of cases. A study by Shih et al that assessed the practical application of both 2018 guidelines found that typical UIP and probable UIP on CT had high specificity for histopathologic UIP. However, the positive predictive value of CT probable UIP was lower than reported elsewhere and further studies are required.

The indeterminate for UIP-CT pattern category includes patients who do not fit the criteria for a typical UIP pattern or a probable UIP pattern and instead display a more diffuse distribution, ground-glass opacities, and reticulation without any other features of fibrosis. A study by Chung and colleagues found that pathologic UIP was seen in 82 and 54% of cases with probable UIP on CT and indeterminate pattern, respectively.

An upper or mid-zone or peribronchiolar distribution, subpleural sparing, or the presence of predominant ground-glass or consolidative opacities, mosaic attenuation, diffuse nodules, or cysts are classified as an alternative diagnosis to IPF and should be evaluated for another cause. The only exception is when diffuse ground-glass opacities are superimposed on a typical UIP pattern and may indicate acute exacerbation of IPF. Mosaic attenuation
raises the possibility of fibrotic HP, but air trapping in regions of the fibrotic lung is also frequently identified in IPF.\textsuperscript{50,55}

The ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT revised guidelines conditionally recommend performing bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and surgical biopsy on patients with features of probable UIP pattern, indeterminate for UIP pattern, or findings associated with an alternative diagnosis.\textsuperscript{42} However, the recommendation for surgical lung biopsy in patients with probable UIP is conditional and not mandatory for diagnosing UIP/IPF in patients with a clear clinical context.

The Fleischner Society revised guidelines support the role of CT for the diagnosis of IPF, without the need for surgical tissue sampling, in the context of both typical and probable UIP patterns.\textsuperscript{1} In patients with suspected IPF but unclear clinical context and no definite or probable UIP pattern on CT, a biopsy may be considered to confirm or exclude a diagnosis of UIP.\textsuperscript{1}

HRCT scanning patterns may predict clinical outcomes in patients with IPF. Flaherty et al found that typical UIP pattern on HRCT was predictive of a worse prognosis in patients with IPF compared to patients with an atypical pattern.\textsuperscript{56} A study by Kwon et al found that IPF patients with a probable UIP pattern, and those with a definite UIP pattern, had similar prognosis and patients with an indeterminate UIP pattern demonstrated a lesser decline in lung function and a higher survival rate compared to those who were compared to other scanning patterns in IPF patients.\textsuperscript{57,58} Comparably, Fukihara et al found no significant survival difference between patients with probable UIP and definite UIP patterns on HRCT.\textsuperscript{59}

On the other hand, Salisbury et al found that patients with a possible UIP pattern had a more prolonged disease-free

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Diagnostic categories of UIP based on CT patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CT features</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most consistent with non-IPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper or midlung predominant fibrosis. Predominance with evidence of fibrosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive mosaic attenuation with extensive sharply defined lobular air trapping on expiration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any of the following: Predominant consolidation. Extensive pure ground-glass opacity (without acute exacerbation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive mosaic attenuation with extensive sharply defined lobular air trapping on expiration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse nodules or cysts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical UIP-CT pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal predominant subpleural reticulation with peripheral traction bronchiectasis and honeycombing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In patients with suspected IPF but unclear clinical context and no definite or probable UIP pattern on CT, a biopsy may be considered to confirm or exclude a diagnosis of UIP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: Reprinted with permission from Lynch et al.\textsuperscript{1}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reticular pattern is superimposed on ground-glass opacity, and, in these cases, is usually fibrotic. Pure ground-glass opacity, however, would be against the diagnosis of UIP/IPF and would suggest acute exacerbation, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, or other conditions.
survival than patients with a definite UIP pattern.\textsuperscript{60} Mönne\textsuperscript{en} et al found that the extent of traction bronchiectasis correlated with shortened survival for patients with IPF.\textsuperscript{61} This study reported a more prolonged survival for patients with possible UIP compared to patients with definite UIP and found that evidence of honeycombing and architectural distortion is associated with shortened survival.\textsuperscript{61} Additionally, lung parenchymal abnormalities on initial HRCT may predict lung function decline and may be independently predictive of prognosis in patients with biopsy-confirmed fibrotic idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (IIPs).\textsuperscript{62} Lee et al showed that the extent of ground-glass opacities seen on the initial HRCT is negatively correlated with change in vital functional capacity (FVC) at follow-up.\textsuperscript{62}

In terms of the progression of the disease, a study by Salvatore et al intended to determine patterns of progression in patients with probable UIP patterns.\textsuperscript{63} They reviewed clinical information for 103 patients with a working diagnosis of IPF. They found that among patients with an initial diagnosis of probable UIP, 47\% of them progressed to a definite diagnosis of UIP.\textsuperscript{63} This cohort’s median time of progression was 51 months.\textsuperscript{63} They also reported that honeycombing was at least twice more likely to progress in patients with baseline emphysema.\textsuperscript{63} Interestingly, they found a significant association between pulmonary artery size and an elevated risk for advanced progression of honeycombing.\textsuperscript{63} Finally, Lee et al studied serial changes of lung abnormalities on HRCT in patients with a histologic diagnosis of a fibrotic IIP with little honeycombing.\textsuperscript{62} They found that during a follow-up period of at least 2 years, the overall extent of reticulation and honeycombing increased for patients with UIP, while the extent of ground-glass opacification decreased (\textsuperscript{62}Fig. 15A, B\textsuperscript{52}).

IPF has a poor prognosis with a mean survival of 2.5 to 3.5 years from diagnosis if left untreated.\textsuperscript{42,64} Early diagnosis guides antifibrotic treatment which reduces the decline in pulmonary function.\textsuperscript{1,42,65,66} Balestro et al studied HRCT changes over time in patients undergoing IPF treatment.\textsuperscript{67} The investigators found that while treatment did indeed slow down lung function decline, the extent of honeycombing continued to increase during the study period.\textsuperscript{67} The study also showed a statistically significant correlation between the combined score of fibrosis (as measured by the interstitial score and the honeycombing) and the FVC decline.\textsuperscript{67} Iwasawa et al supported the utility of CT to evaluate pirfenidone treatment response.\textsuperscript{68} They found that in IPF patients undergoing pirfenidone therapy, the decline in vital capacity correlated with change in fibrosis and was significantly smaller in the pirfenidone group than in the control group when assessed by both a visual score and a computer analysis.\textsuperscript{68}

Follow-up imaging is equally important to assess disease progression, treatment response, and disease complications. Radiologists may evaluate images using a subjective (semi-quantitative) assessment method in which a visual scoring system is used to measure the extent of fibrosis.\textsuperscript{48} Jacob et al found that using a visual scoring system to quantify traction bronchiectasis severity predicted mortality independent of antifibrotic treatment and baseline disease severity.\textsuperscript{69} Objective (quantitative) machine learning techniques are being evaluated to measure areas of healthy and fibrotic lung at thin-section CT.\textsuperscript{70}

\textbf{Nonspecific Interstitial Pneumonia}

NSIP is one of the most common histopathologic and radiologic patterns seen in patients with ILD.\textsuperscript{45,56,71} When defining the CT features of NSIP, the 2008 ATS Working Group established a set of radiologic diagnostic characteristics that would be shared by both NSIP as an idiopathic disease and NSIP as a secondary finding.\textsuperscript{45,72}

On HRCT, the most common findings include bilateral lower lobe predominant and symmetrically distributed ground-glass opacities accompanied by traction bronchiectasis (\textsuperscript{45,72}Fig. 16A, B\textsuperscript{42,45,72,74,77}). These findings typically have a unique lower-lung predominance, but parenchymal abnormalities can also occur in the upper lobes to a lesser extent.\textsuperscript{42,45,56,72,74,77} NSIP may demonstrate relative sparing of the subpleural space, allowing differentiation from UIP.\textsuperscript{1,42,78,79} Honeycombing is rarely seen in patients with NSIP.\textsuperscript{1,42,56,80} Aside from the absence of honeycombing, NSIP can also be distinguished from UIP by its uniform spatial and temporal progression.\textsuperscript{45}

Over time, the ground-glass opacities may be replaced by coarse reticulation, resulting in a CT pattern similar to the probable UIP pattern.\textsuperscript{1,78,81} NSIP may also show some
overlap with fibrosing OP with fibrosis in the lower lobes in a peribronchial distribution and subpleural sparing.1,82,83

Aside from imaging, NSIP also has unique clinical characteristics. The most significant difference is its better prognostic nature compared to patients with an identifiable UIP pattern84–86 and those with IPF.42,84,87 In fact, not only does NSIP carry a lower mortality rate, but Katzenstein and Fiorelli noted that 83% of the patients involved in their study, even those who presented with severe fibrotic features, remained alive or recovered altogether.77 The results of the ATS 2008 NSIP case series paralleled these findings and determined that the 5-year survival rate of patients with NSIP is 80%, while the 10-year survival rate is 73%.72

NSIP has a well-established connection with CTD, and it is rarely idiopathic. Patients who present with NSIP in the context of an underlying autoimmune disease, such as CTD, typically present with better outcomes than those with idiopathic NSIP, although the specific reasons are not currently understood.88–90 Patients with NSIP also demonstrate differences in prognosis depending on the stage of the disease. A study performed by Latsi et al found that a histopathologic confirmation of a diagnosis of NSIP remained a predictor of mortality 6 months after the initial diagnosis and serial trends in pulmonary function indices. However, the reliability of this marker dwindled 12 months after the diagnosis, with serial pulmonary function tests being the only prognostic determinant.91 A similar study performed by Jegal et al paralleled these findings. It concluded that although a histologic diagnosis of NSIP is prognostic of the long-term changes in lung function, after 6 months, FVC, diffusion capacity at diagnosis, and sex are the only reliable measures of short-term prognosis.92

Organizing Pneumonia/Nonspecific Interstitial Pneumonia—Nonusual Interstitial Pneumonia

The relationship between OP and NSIP is not entirely understood, but OP is a common histologic finding in patients with NSIP. Additionally, patients with OP may progress to a pattern of NSIP on imaging (Fig. 17A, B).29,33 Patients treated for OP may still present on follow-up imaging with findings such as GGO and reticulation.33 In addition, areas of fibrosis may become more conspicuous.29 This residual fibrosis is lower-lobe predominant, has a peribronchial distribution, and demonstrates subpleural sparing—a pattern associated with NSIP.

Acute Interstitial Pneumonia

AIP is an acute, rapidly progressive type of IIP associated with fulminant respiratory failure.93 Exclusion of other lung diseases and absence of an identifiable cause or predisposing condition are prerequisites to diagnosis.22 Diffuse alveolar damage is the key finding on histology and HRCT features depend on the timing of the disease.22,23 Early in the disease course, an exudative phase is histologically characterized by edema in the interstitium and alveolus.22 HRCT depicts symmetrical and bilateral, diffuse, or patchy ground-glass opacities and air space consolidations (Fig. 18).22,23 As the disease progresses, there is an organizing phase in which there is evidence of fibroblast proliferation and type II pneumocyte hyperplasia.22,23 The organizing phase is apparent within 5 to 7 days and characterized on HRCT by findings associated with fibrosis, distortion of bronchovascular bundles, traction bronchiectasis, architectural distortion, and interlobular septal thickening.22,24 Extent of fibrosis on HRCT is an independent predictor of poor prognosis.24

Idiopathic Pleuroparenchymal Fibroelastosis—Nonusual Interstitial Pneumonia

Among the fibrotic ILDs, idiopathic PPFE is both the rarest and most recently described.17 The condition was first defined in a 2013 case series that described a group of patients with dense fibrosis of the visceral pleura and the subjacent lung parenchyma in the upper lobes, with a striking apical lung predominance.34 While many cases of PPFE are idiopathic or familial, others have been associated with bone marrow and lung transplant, chemotherapy, chronic HP, prior pulmonary infection, and autoimmune diseases.94–101 Prompt recognition of early radiological features is vital as patients with PPFE may rapidly undergo clinical deterioration.102

Fibrosis of the apical visceral pleura and fibroelastic changes within the subpleural lung parenchyma are key histologic features of PPFE depicted on HRCT (Fig. 19A, B).100,102,103 HRCT identifies small, dense pleural and subpleural consolidations with a reticular pattern, upper lobe volume loss, architectural distortion, traction bronchiectasis, parenchymal retraction, and upward displacement of hila.45,96,100,102,104,105 Lower lobe involvement occurs as the disease progresses, leading to diaphragmatic elevation.

Fig. 16 Fibrotic nonspecific interstitial pneumonia. (A) Axial HRCT and (B) coronal minimum intensity projection images. CT images demonstrate lower lobe predominant reticulation and traction bronchiectasis with subpleural sparing (arrow) and absence of honeycombing.

Fig. 17 Organizing pneumonia/nonspecific interstitial pneumonia—nonusual interstitial pneumonia pattern. (A) HRCT demonstrate mid and lower lung predominant subpleural consolidations. (B) HRCT in lower lobes demonstrates reticulation and traction bronchiectasis.
Pulmonary fibrosis is seen in up to 20% of patients with sarcoidosis and is associated with increased mortality and morbidity due to respiratory failure. The pathophysiology of fibrosis is due to a combination of persistent, unremitting granulomatous inflammation, profibrotic genetic features, and immune mechanisms.

HRCT can depict early parenchymal abnormalities and allows differentiation of active inflammation from irreversible fibrosis. Distribution of fibrotic and nonfibrotic sarcoidosis are perilymphatic and in the upper and mid-zone.

As with other fibrotic diseases, features include reticular opacities, architectural distortion, traction bronchiectasis, and volume loss. HRCT demonstrates features of fibrosis extending from the hila as linear irregular densities, bronchiectasis, or conglomerate masses (Fig. 20A, B). Volume loss, specifically in the posterior segment of the upper lobes, leads to posterior displacement of the upper lobe bronchi. Peripheral paracatricial emphysema (areas of lung overinflation adjacent to scarring) and large honeycomb cysts or bulla extend beyond the fibrosis.

Verleden and colleagues correlated histopathologic features to CT and micro-CT of explanted lungs in patients with end-stage sarcoid and identified three main patterns. Firstly, central fibrotic masses were associated with compression and obstruction of the centrilobular airways, loss of small airways, and architectural distortion. A second pattern of diffuse bronchiectasis was associated with septal thickening and upper lobe subpleural fibrosis. Finally, a third pattern, seen in only one patient, demonstrated a lower lobe UIP pattern. Other explant studies have reported that a subset of patients with fibrotic sarcoidosis display a UIP pattern.

Abeshera and colleagues correlated HRCT findings with different functional profiles. Evidence of a bronchial distortion pattern was associated with an obstructive ventilatory defect; a honeycombing pattern, on the other hand, was associated with restriction. Handa and colleagues also found peribronchovascular thickening was associated with airflow limitation.

Pulmonary hypertension is a complication of sarcoidosis and considered a predictor of poor clinical outcomes. Pulmonary arteries are commonly involved by granulomas and may become obliterated by active inflammation and fibrosis, contributing to the development of pulmonary hypertension. Walsh and colleagues validated an algorithm that combined a composite physiologic index with HRCT extent of lung fibrosis and pulmonary hypertension, measured as the ratio of the main pulmonary artery diameter to the diameter of the ascending aorta, to predict outcome and mortality. Fibrosis greater than 20% was prognostic.
In fibrotic sarcoidosis, acute exacerbations and pulmonary venous-occlusive disease are reported. Cystic areas in fibrotic sarcoidosis may be colonized by aspergillomas, which are identified as intracavitary, mobile, enlarging nodular soft tissue, associated with adjacent pleural thickening (Fig. 21).

**Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis**

HP is considered an immune-mediated reaction to inhaled organic and inorganic antigens in a sensitized individual. In up to 60% of cases, no inciting antigen is identified, contributing to a widely varying registry prevalence of HP (2–47%) among patients with ILD. There is also overlap between the presentation of HP and other fibrotic ILDs, leading to misdiagnosis and delayed management. Although previously categorized based on symptom duration at the time of presentation (i.e., acute, subacute, or chronic), inconsistency is reported in the outcomes of these subgroups; the natural history may include anything from progressive improvement to respiratory failure and over half of HP patients present with fibrosis.

Two recently published guideline statements provide long-anticipated reclassification and algorithm-driven diagnostic approaches to HP. The ATS/JRS/ALAT guidelines and Chest guidelines recommend that HP should be subclassified based simply on the presence or absence of histologic or radiologic evidence of fibrosis, as fibrosis has implications for treatment and prognosis. Both guidelines stress that HRCT is integral to the diagnosis of HP but cannot be used alone to make the diagnosis, particularly in the absence of a known inciting antigen. While there are important differences between the two guidelines, their principles on the role of imaging are similar. Two groups of imaging descriptors are described. The first set classifies the HRCT pattern as either nonfibrotic HP or fibrotic HP. The second set provides a summary of the HRCT findings, categorizing fibrotic HP as "typical HP" when highly suggestive, "compatible with HP" when findings are less frequently reported but compatible, and "indeterminate of HP" when findings are not suggestive of HP, but do not exclude the diagnosis (Table 2).

The HRCT patterns of both fibrotic and nonfibrotic HP rely on the detection of centrilobular nodules, mosaic attenuation, the three-density sign (previously known as the head cheese sign) on inspiratory HP, and lobular air trapping on expiration studies. Additional features of fibrosis that are required to separate fibrotic HP from nonfibrotic HP include reticular or ground-glass opacities in association with traction bronchiectasis or bronchiolectasis, lobar volume loss, and honeycombing.

Centrilobular nodules in HP, characterized as nonbranching ground-glass nodules located in the center of the SPL, are more profuse in the nonfibrotic form of HP. The nodules are evenly spaced and do not touch the pleural and fissural surfaces or the interlobular septa. Distribution is diffuse in the axial plane, and either diffuse or upper and mid-zone predominant in the craniocaudal plane. Mosaic attenuation refers to sharply delineated, lobular, or subsegmental regions of different attenuation in the lung parenchyma.

**Table 2 Diagnostic CT categories of fibrotic HP based on CT patterns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRCT Features</th>
<th>Typical fibrotic HP</th>
<th>Compatible with fibrotic HP</th>
<th>Indeterminate for fibrotic HP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT signs of fibrosis with either of the following:</td>
<td>Patchy or diffuse ground-glass opacity</td>
<td>CT signs of fibrosis without other features suggestive of HP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profuse poorly defined centrilobular nodules of ground-glass opacity affecting all lung zones</td>
<td>Patchy, nonprofuse centrilobular nodules of ground-glass attenuation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspiratory mosaic attenuation with three-density sign.</td>
<td>Mosaic attenuation and lobular air-trapping that do not meet criteria for typical fibrotic HP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of features suggesting an alternative diagnosis</td>
<td>Lack of features suggesting an alternative diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** CT signs of fibrosis include any of the following: reticular or ground-glass abnormality with traction bronchiectasis or bronchiolectasis; lobar volume loss; honeycombing. The distribution of fibrotic HP is quite variable and often not diagnostically helpful. However, a mid-lung predominant distribution of fibrosis is suggestive of fibrotic HP, and an upper lobe predominance is much more common in fibrotic HP than in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

**Source:** Adapted from Fernández Pérez et al.
Increased attenuation, or ground-glass opacification, decreased attenuation and regions of normal attenuation are possible. The presence of all three attenuations, the “threedensity sign” (formerly known as the head cheese sign),\(^{11,155}\) is believed to be most specific for HP and allows differentiation from other ILDs, particularly NSIP and IPF.\(^ {157}\) When two attenuations are present, normal lung is adjacent to either increased attenuation or decreased attenuation. The latter shows persistence of decreased attenuation and lack of lobular volume reduction on expiration HRCT, secondary to lobular air trapping. In one study, fibrotic HP was more common than other fibrosing ILDs when diffuse distribution was present and mosaic attenuation and air trapping were more dominant than reticular opacity.\(^ {143}\) However, IPF may contain areas of air trapping within fibrotic regions, which can cause misdiagnosis. Barnett and colleagues identified lobular lucency of at least one lobule in three lobes and air trapping in IPF in more than 50 and 46% of cases, respectively.\(^ {156}\)

Only the ATS/JRS/ALAT guidelines utilize distribution of fibrotic changes in the lung to characterize cases as typical, compatible, or indeterminate for HP. Typical HP distribution includes diffuse, axial, or mid-zone predominant with sparing of the lung bases. Compatible HP distribution includes peribronchovascular, subpleural axial distribution and upper zone predominant. The Chest guidelines do not stratify patients by disease distribution since likelihood of disease does not change. Basal distribution occurs in HP in 30% and an upper zone distribution in 10 to 20% of cases, the remainder being diffuse or mid-zone.\(^ {158–160}\) Distribution can aid differentiation of HP from other fibrotic lung diseases, since upper or mid-zone distribution suggests HP rather than IPF.\(^ {151,162}\)

The “typical HP” HRCT findings of fibrotic HP are lung fibrosis coexisting with bronchiolar obstruction, manifesting as profuse poorly defined centrilobular nodules in the axial plane and inspiratory mosaic attenuation with the “threedensity pattern” (\(\star\)Fig. 22A, B).

The “compatible with HP” imaging findings are patchy or diffuse ground-glass opacity, nonprofuse centrilobular nodules, or mosaic attenuation and lobular air trapping that does not meet the typical fibrotic HP pattern (\(\star\)Fig. 22C, D).

“Indeterminate of HP” findings include CT features of fibrosis that do not meet criteria for fibrotic HP.

Several studies have found that the use of histopathological findings and imaging patterns in combination characterize the severity and prognosis of fibrotic HP.\(^ {25,163,164}\) Specifically, the presence of subpleural and centriacinar fibroblastic foci (FF) in lung biopsies seen in parallel with increased extent of reticulation and traction bronchiectasis on imaging is associated with higher mortality rates.\(^ {11,163–165}\)

However, lung biopsies are infrequently performed in clinical practice,\(^ {166}\) which makes HRCT as a standalone tool indispensable in the diagnosis and management of fibrotic HP, particularly in the presence of an inciting antigen. Similarly, identification of traction bronchiectasis and evaluation of the extent of honeycombing has shown significant advantages over pulmonary function tests for predicting mortality in patients with chronic disease.\(^ {25}\) Hansell et al found that areas of decreased attenuation on imaging are correlated with severity of air trapping, as indicated by residual volume \((r = 0.58, p < 0.01)\), whereas ground-glass opacification and reticulation are correlated with restrictive lung function.\(^ {153}\)

The poor prognostic nature of fibrotic HP also appears to have ties to underlying genetic anomalies as well as other associated respiratory complications. A high prevalence of MUC5B promoter polymorphisms\(^ {167}\) and probands of familial pulmonary fibrosis are reported,\(^ {168,169}\) both of which have a significant impact on survival. Patients with fibrotic HP are also at a higher risk to develop other lung pathologies independent of smoking status,\(^ {142,170,171}\) such as combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema\(^ {171}\) and PPFE in combination with emphysema.\(^ {170}\)

\section*{Conclusion}

Careful evaluation of HRCT allows identification of abnormal features that indicate the presence of fibrosis. The common fibrotic ILDs have characteristic HRCT patterns, although there is often overlap. Multidisciplinary discussion that combines CT patterns with clinical, serologic, and pathologic can determine a working diagnosis and direct the most appropriate treatment. Recent updates of guidelines on diagnosis of IPF and new reclassification of HP provide a framework for further research and refinement.
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