Radio Frequency Ablation for the Treatment of Appendicular Skeleton Chondroblastoma: Is It an Excellent Alternative? Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract
Radio frequency ablation (RFA) is a minimally invasive technique that has become recognized in clinical practice for treating chondroblastoma, although curettage with bone graft is the standard treatment. Chondroblastoma is a locally aggressive cartilaginous bone tumor, representing nearly 5% of benign bone tumors. Chondroblastoma shows a preference toward the epiphysis or apophysis of long bones, but it was also reported in vertebrae and flat bones. The management of chondroblastoma could be challenging due to the risk to injure the epiphyseal plate or difficult location. The aim of this study was to determine if RFA is a suitable alternative to curettage with bone graft for the treatment of chondroblastoma. Moreover, there will be an evaluation of RFA’s effectiveness in terms of symptoms relief; we also define the proper size of the lesion to be treated with RFA, and discuss the complications after the procedure, including the recurrence rate. Furthermore, we review the best imaging method to evaluate the therapeutic response of RFA and for the detection of residual disease early after the ablation. A comprehensive PubMed and Google Scholar search followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis 2020 checklist guidelines. Ninety-seven patients were identified after reviewing the available full texts of nine articles. The results of the current review provide further evidence to support the use of RFA as an alternative option to surgery.
Introduction and Background

Chondroblastoma was mentioned for the first time in the literature by Kolodny in 1927 as cartilage comprising giant cell tumor (GCT). Codman, in 1931, described it as an epiphyseal chondromatous GCT affecting mainly the proximal humerus and was referred to as Codman tumor. Jaffe and Lichtenstein conducted a comprehensive review in 1942 and concluded that chondroblastoma is a benign tumor of the bone with a different and distinct entity from GCT.

Chondroblastoma exemplifies around 5% of benign bone tumors and 1 to 2% of all primary bone tumors. Most patients affected are less than 20 years of age, though chondroblastoma was reported in patients aged 2 to 73 years. The male-to-female ratio is nearly 2:1. The femur is the most involved, followed by the humerus and tibia. Chondroblastoma prefers the long bones’ epiphysis and the apophysis, like the apophysis in the talus and the calcaneus.

While a few epiphyseal chondroblastomas will traverse the growth plate and overrun the metaphyseal region, genuine metaphyseal and diaphyseal lesions are rare but reported. Chondroblastoma can arise in flat bones and vertebrae. Chondroblastoma is considered stage 2 (i.e., active) or 3 (i.e., aggressive) according to the Enneking benign bone tumor classification.

Chondroblastoma is generally presented insidiously with mild to considerable pain, soft-tissue mass, or pathological fracture, though the presence of a palpable mass is unusual. Pain with local tenderness in the affected bone and the nearby joint is the most frequent complaint, followed by a reduced range of motion. The onset of symptoms to the diagnosis varies from less than a month to years.

On a radiograph, chondroblastoma appeared as a well-defined eccentric oval or round lytic lesion with a sharp sclerotic margin involving the epiphysis adjacent to an open growth plate; the lesion might be fuzzy or mottled or include areas of calcification, especially in skeletally immature patients, and it is uncommon to find periosteal bone formation. The lesion size varies, with most being less than four centimeters.

Chondroblastomas may be associated with a secondary aneurysmal bone cyst, and these sometimes lead to confusion in the diagnosis on the radiograph. A computed tomography (CT) scan can help define the distance of the lesion to the growth plate and their relation and shows the calcification of the cartilaginous matrix when present. Besides, CT helps delimit subchondral fractures not visible on plain radiographs. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) leads to misdiagnosis or overestimating the tumor aggressiveness.

Bone scan displays increased uptake but is seldom needed for the diagnosis.

Chondroblastoma has recurrence rates ranging from 10 to 35% after surgical curettage. Chondroblastoma rarely develops lung metastases, and these metastases commonly have an indolent course. There is no registered relation of metastasis to surgical/nonsurgical treatment, patient age, or tumor location. The reported time for metastases from the initial diagnosis to clinical manifestation varies from 5 months to 33 years (8 years on average); the prognosis is better when the metastases are resectable. Chemotherapy has no reported benefit.

Chondroblastoma does not regress spontaneously, and the traditional treatment is surgical curettage despite the recognized complications of damaging the growth plate or the articular cartilage, which may lead to growth disturbance or early-onset osteoarthritis, respectively. Subsequently, this makes radio frequency ablation (RFA) a potentially desirable alternative.

As far as we know, there are no prospective, randomized controlled trials, given the low number of individuals affected with chondroblastoma. In the literature, small, retrospective studies have been released and are often liable to a high degree of bias, and the numbers are too small for valuable statistical analysis. Therefore, a systematic review is required to search for and summarize the available evidence.

The purpose of this current literature review is to determine if RFA is a suitable alternative to curettage with bone graft for the treatment of chondroblastoma. Moreover, there will be an evaluation of the RFA effectiveness in terms of symptoms relief; we also define the proper size of the lesion to be treated with RFA, and discuss the complications after the procedure, including the recurrence rate. Furthermore, we review the best imaging method to evaluate the therapeutic response of RFA and for the detection of residual disease early after the ablation.

Review

Methods

The primary objective of this study was to judge the effectiveness of RFA for appendicular skeleton chondroblastoma treatment as an alternative to surgery.

This literature review was performed on April 20, 2021, following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) 2020 checklist guidelines. Types of studies included were: randomized controlled trials, observational studies, case reports, systematic reviews, longitudinal cohorts, retrospective studies, prospective studies, and case series aimed to evaluate the efficacy of RFA for chondroblastoma treatment as an alternative to curettage with bone graft. The authors independently screened all abstracts and excluded those irrelevant to the scope of this study. Studies were included when they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) published articles written only in English between 2000 and 2021; (2) published articles with full text availability written only for the human species; (3) the assessment is only for RFA; (4) studies related to chondroblastoma only; (5) any age population studies; and (6) at least 6 months of clinical follow-up after treatment. Exclusion criteria included: (1) results of other techniques rather than RFA results; (2) results of combined techniques with RFA; (3) lesions in the axial skeleton; and (4) case reports with less than two patients.

The literature was reviewed by a comprehensive PubMed and Google Scholar search. The summary of the results using
Keywords and medical subject heading (MeSH) terms are shown in -Tables 1–3.

We cross-matched the keyword RFA with the other keywords and applied the inclusion/exclusion criteria; 617 articles appeared. After that, we scanned the reference first by titles and published abstracts; 548 articles were removed in addition to 53 nonrelevant articles (►Table 4). Further, seven articles were removed due to duplication.

**Results**

Nine original articles met the selection criteria; subsequently, we reviewed the available full texts and identified 97 patients. The summary of this search process is documented in the PRISMA flow chart in —Fig. 1.

The data from each article were compiled into a standardized table (►Table 5), which included: study information (authors, date of publication, sample size), patient age, lesion information (location, average size, largest lesion size, pre-operative diagnosis), procedure information (imaging guidance, anesthesia, type of electrode, heating temperature, heating time, heating number, total time of RFA), complications (early, late, subchondral collapse, growth plate disturbance, recurrence), need for surgery after RFA, need to repeat RFA, follow-up (duration, imaging), resolution of symptoms, and success rate for initial pain relief. In addition, data that were not available or unspecified from the full text were indicated with “not available” in the table.

**Discussion**

RFA implementation and thermal influences on tissue were illustrated by d’Arsonval in 1891. It was first merged into the current medicine with the Bovie knife in 1928, which was used for tissue cutting and cauterization.\(^{15}\) Tissue ablation was performed for liver tumors early in 1992 with a modified Bovie knife.\(^{15}\)

RFA induces coagulative necrosis of the tissues due to high temperature; at 55°C, tissue death results within 2 seconds, while at 100°C, tissue death is immediate as vaporization occurs.\(^{15–17}\) When the temperatures reach greater than 105°C promptly, boiling, evaporation, and charring have resulted, reducing the energy transmission and subsequently decreasing the ablation size. Therefore, the idea is to heat the tissues between 50 and 100°C for 4 to 6 minutes without causing charring or vaporization.\(^{15,18}\)

When the lesion is adjacent to a blood vessel, the blood flow cooling effect alters the RFA temperature leading to heat loss (heat sink). This heat sink phenomenon near the vessel wall possibly leaves residual nonablated tumors behind, increasing the chance of local recurrence.\(^{15,18}\) Nevertheless, chondroblastomas contain relatively less vascularity, and the occurrence of the heat sink is limited.\(^{18}\) In addition, the cortical bone is an electrical and thermal insulator; hence, an intact shell of the bone surrounding the chondroblastoma reduces the risk of further thermal damage to the adjacent tissues; this insulating property is significantly reduced with thinning and expansion of the cortical bone.\(^{17,18}\) However, the cortical bone covering chondroblastomas may not always be intact.

On purpose, radio frequency ablates a margin of normal tissue equivalent to the surgical resection margin.
surrounding the tumor; such margin must be 0.5 to 1.0 cm in width.\textsuperscript{15,19}

Over two decades ago, RFA was introduced into the orthopaedic field and became the main standard for treating osteoid osteoma, with primary and secondary success rates of 79 to 96% and 97 to 100%, respectively.\textsuperscript{16–18,20–22} RFA has proven to be safe, minimally invasive, relatively inexpensive, and precise treatment tool for other bony lesions such as chondroblastoma and metastases.\textsuperscript{16,23} The first application of RFA for chondroblastoma appears to be unplanned, when a lesion thought to be an osteoid osteoma was treated with RFA without complication during the follow-up period that extended to 2 years.\textsuperscript{18}

This current review reveals a success rate of 100% initial pain relief in most cases after RFA. A complete resolution of symptoms occurs between immediate and up to 12 weeks, as demonstrated in the summary table (\textsuperscript{►}Table 5). Furthermore, early resumption of regular activity in comparison to surgery was observed.

The authors determined that subchondral collapse as a late complication occurred in 7.2% of the cases (7 out of 97) included in this review.\textsuperscript{14,18,21,23} Two cases of the seven already had subchondral fracture and collapse before the RFA.\textsuperscript{14,18} Also, the subchondral collapse occurred in one case when RFA was applied after postcurettage recurrence and in another case with insufficient RFA.\textsuperscript{18,21} Consequently, caution must be paid to the lesion location and size; when a large lesion is adjacent to the articular cartilage or in the weight-bearing joints, there is a significant risk of mechanical bone weakness leading to subchondral collapse and cartilage damage.\textsuperscript{17,18,21} This is attributed to the RFA’s considerable volume of thermal energy transmitted over a prolonged period through the adjacent cartilage tissue.\textsuperscript{17,18,21}

Additionally, the insulating property of the cortical bone is substantially reduced, and the lack of bone replacement after successful RFA increases the subchondral collapse risk.\textsuperscript{17,18,21} Therefore, cartilage damage must be assumed, and this drawback should be balanced against the risk of the standard curettage.\textsuperscript{18,21} Hence, with those such lesions, a period of non-weight bearing should be advised.\textsuperscript{18}

We realized that no mechanical bone failure occurred in three studies despite some lesions with dimensions equal to 2.5 cm.\textsuperscript{13,17,22} This could be attributed to the less time spent during the heating cycle and the type of radio frequency electrode used. A multitined expandable electrode was utilized in 80% of the cases complicated with the subchondral collapse (\textsuperscript{►}Table 5).\textsuperscript{13,14,18,22}

Technically, the multitined expandable electrode is more demanding and requires careful planning and a certain skill for probe positioning.\textsuperscript{18} In addition, the energy deposition with the multitined expandable electrode can deliver a power of more than 100 Watt compared with a few Watts with the single-tined electrode, consequently creating a greater ablation zone than the single electrode, potentially increasing the risk of damaging the nearby tissue.\textsuperscript{13,18} Therefore, based on the successful cases, we recommend using a single electrode to cover the affected area properly.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Erickson et al</td>
<td>Tins et al</td>
<td>Christie-Large et al</td>
<td>Rybak et al</td>
<td>Rajalakshmi et al</td>
<td>Dhaba et al</td>
<td>Lalam et al</td>
<td>Xie et al</td>
<td>Kulkami et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of cases</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average patients’ age (in years)</td>
<td>17.6 (10–26)</td>
<td>14.8 (13–16)</td>
<td>13 (9–16)</td>
<td>17.3 (10–30)</td>
<td>27 (12–55)</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17 (13–19)</td>
<td>14 (9–18)</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion location</td>
<td>FH, PTE, FC</td>
<td>GTH, 2PTE, FC</td>
<td>2FH, 2PTE</td>
<td>3FH, 4PTE, 3FC, 7PH</td>
<td>3PTE</td>
<td>PH, 2PTE, 2FH, FC</td>
<td>GTH, FH, PTE, FC</td>
<td>8PTE, 7FH, 4PH, 3FC, DTE, DR, T</td>
<td>6PH, 8PTE, 6FH, 5FC, AC, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average lesion size</td>
<td>1.4 cm</td>
<td>3.3 cm</td>
<td>1.8 cm</td>
<td>1.4 cm</td>
<td>2.2 cm</td>
<td>Mean volume 2.25 mL</td>
<td>2.7 cm</td>
<td>2.0 cm</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest lesion size</td>
<td>1.8 x 1.9 cm</td>
<td>4.6 x 4.8 x 1.8 cm</td>
<td>2 x 2.5 cm</td>
<td>2.5 x 2.0 x 2.1 cm</td>
<td>2.5 x 2.1 x 2.0 cm</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>4.6 x 4.8 x 1.8 cm</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative diagnosis based on:</td>
<td>Biopsy for 1 patient</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Biopsy for 2 patients</td>
<td>Typical imaging and clinical features</td>
<td>Typical imaging and clinical features</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>Typical imaging and clinical features</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic biopsy time to RFA</td>
<td>1 Before, 2 at RFA</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>2 Before, 2 at RFA</td>
<td>At time of RFA</td>
<td>At time of RFA</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>Before RFA</td>
<td>At time of RFA</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging guidance</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of electrode</td>
<td>Single tined</td>
<td>Multitined expandable</td>
<td>Single tined</td>
<td>Single tined</td>
<td>Single tined, multitined expandable</td>
<td>Multitined expandable</td>
<td>Single tined</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating temperature</td>
<td>90°C</td>
<td>90°C</td>
<td>90°C</td>
<td>90°C</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Data Not Available</td>
<td>90°C</td>
<td>90°C</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in minutes per heating</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of heating</td>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>4-16</td>
<td>1-12</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>1-12</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time of RFA (in minutes)</td>
<td>6-37</td>
<td>5-20</td>
<td>12-48</td>
<td>Mean 17.6 (6-72)</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>5-20</td>
<td>6-72</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up period (in months)</td>
<td>24-48</td>
<td>12-22</td>
<td>5-18</td>
<td>4-134</td>
<td>17-21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8-59</td>
<td>Mean 49</td>
<td>Minimum 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up imaging</td>
<td>Radiograph, CT</td>
<td>Radiograph, CT</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>CT, MRI</td>
<td>Radiograph, CT</td>
<td>MRI, FDG-PET-CT</td>
<td>CT, MRI</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient lost in follow-up</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms resolution after RFA</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Complete in 2–6 weeks</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>1–2 days, except one patient</td>
<td>Complete in 2–6 weeks</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
In one study, core biopsy, RFA, and curettage were applied during the same sitting, enabling bone grafts insertion at the lesion site. The insertion of bone grafts accelerated the healing process and decreased the anticipated bone weakness and collapse after RFA. In another technique, percutaneous RFA and cementation were applied. None of the patients in both procedures developed articular cartilage failure when combined with bone augmentation or cementation. Thus, these techniques could be the solution to approach the large subchondral lesions and are worth studying with longer follow-up to establish the longstanding result.

Alternatively, after a surgical curettage, a significant disruption in the anatomy at the operative site is expected, resulting in more complexity with surgical revision, most likely when the lesion is close to the articular surfaces or in patients with open physes. Moreover, local changes post curettage led to underestimating the tumor recurrence behind the margins and, therefore, insufficient RFA when needed. Additionally, recurrence developed in lesions that are dimensions equal to or greater than 2.5 cm. With surgery, the recurrence rates can vary between 10 and 35% reported in several surgical series, and some patients suffer from two to three recurrences. Revision of surgery after recurrence is more difficult than RFA, and annual follow-up for a minimum of 5 years is recommended to monitor the recurrence. Chondroblastoma could recur within 10 months of treatment; a larger study with a longer follow-up is required to assess the risk of recurrence following RFA.

The successful surgical treatment of a tumor could be validated histopathologically by the evidence of tumor-free margins of the removed sample. However, with RFA, there is a requirement to use certain imaging techniques to verify the completeness and the adequacy of the therapeutic response and early detection of residual pathology.

Most of the studies in this current review used MRI and/or CT to evaluate the response after RFA (Table 5). Both showed a reduction in the lesion’s size; moreover, MRI demonstrated a significant decrease in the intensity of perilesional edema and final resolution of the joint effusion when present. Subsequently, a rim of high signal established around the lesion’s periphery and began to fill into the lesion, almost certainly signifying a progressive fatty consolidation of the lesion as the healing process started. Despite that, it is difficult with CT or MRI to differentiate between the residual disease and the postablative tissue changes.

RFA causes local hyperemia and inflammation in the periphery of the ablated zone, which results in contrast enhancement on CT or MRI that subsides with time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication date</th>
<th>Initial pain relief</th>
<th>Need to repeat RFA</th>
<th>Need surgery after RFA</th>
<th>Early complication</th>
<th>Late complication</th>
<th>Subchondral collapse</th>
<th>Cartilage disturbance</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: AC, acromion process; °C, degree Celsius; cm, centimeter; DR, distal radius; DTE, distal tibia epiphysis; FC, femoral condyle; FDG-PET-CT, fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography-computed tomography; FH, femoral head; GTH, greater tuberosity humerus; L, lunate; mL, milliliter; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PH, proximal humerus; PTE, proximal tibia epiphysis; RFA, radio frequency ablation; T, talus.*
However, the contrast enhancement early after RFA can obscure residual disease detection, making it difficult to differentiate between the typical postablation responses and the residual disease. So, local treatment failures after RFA could not be identified easily except at a late stage.27

The combination of CT and fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) is a valuable tool for evaluating the therapeutic response along with the anticipation of disease recurrence.27,30

FDG-PET helps to assess the cellular glucose metabolism and its early changes; it has been used successfully to evaluate the early reaction of tumors in the course of treatment.27 Heating induces coagulative necrosis in the cells associated with cellular failure to concentrate FDG in the ablated zone; this lack of FDG uptake indicates an adequate and complete tumor ablation.27 However, within 2 to 3 days after RFA, inflammation and tissue regeneration start in the necrotic area’s periphery and produce peripheral, uniform, and low-to-moderate intensity FDG uptake. Consequently, it is essential to perform the FDG-PET study early before these changes begin to detect the residual disease, in which the uptake is seen as a nodular and irregular focus in the ablated zone.27

Moreover, follow-up monitoring by gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (G-MRI) may efficiently meet these requirements. G-MRI was utilized to detect residual atypical chondrosarcoma after RFA.28 By comparing the results of G-MRI and histopathology, G-MRI is 91% sensitive to detecting residual tumors.28 The application of the G-MRI study for the follow-up after chondroblastoma ablation is worth the material for future research. More research should be conducted to evaluate G-MRI accuracy in detecting residual chondroblastoma after RFA.

Limitations
The authors believe that the anticipated advantages of using RFA have all been verified by the current study, though there are various limitations in this literature review. The number of cases was small because of the rarity of chondroblastoma, and most of the follow-up periods in the studies were less than 5 years. Thus, it is not easy to accumulate considerable clinical experience. The authors could not be certain of the appropriate size of chondroblastoma to be treated with RFA. Therefore, it is essential to conduct a combined randomized, statistically significant study with larger cases and longer follow-up comparing RFA with surgery and to ascertain the applicable size of chondroblastoma for RFA.

Conclusion
The results of the current review provide further evidence to support the use of RFA as an alternative option to surgery with several advantages. Currently, the location and size of chondroblastomas are the most important predictors of success. Lesions smaller than 2.5 cm have been demonstrated with encouraging outcomes. However, large lesions should be dealt with caution to reduce the probability of damage to the articular surface. Subchondral collapse and local recurrence are minimal compared with surgery; even with recurrences, repeated RFA has limited morbidity.

It is difficult with MRI and CT to differentiate between the residual disease and the postablation tissue changes. However, the combination of CT and FDG-PET is valuable in evaluating the therapeutic response along with the expectation of disease recurrence.
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