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Introduction

Peripheral nerve injuries secondary to intraoperative patient positioning are a significant perioperative problem contributing to patient injury and increased professional liability.¹,² The prone surrender position has been associated with upper extremity neuropaxia, likely due to mechanical factors of stretch and compression of the affected nerves.² However, there are no reports of complete brachial plexopathy in neurologically intact patients.

We present a rare postoperative near-complete brachial plexopathy in an elderly polytrauma patient following spinal surgery.
surgery in the prone position and discuss the importance of positioning, anesthetic considerations, and the role of neuro-monitoring in these cases.

**Case Report**

**Case Presentation**

A 70-year-old man presented with polytrauma following a high-speed motor vehicle collision in hemorrhagic shock. Examination revealed the presence of a “seat belt sign” (contusions and abrasions in the thoracic and abdominal area of a restrained passenger due to wearing a seat belt when involved in a motor vehicle crash) noted to the left subclavian area and chest area with a skin tear to the left clavicle. Trauma exams revealed hepatic and intestine injuries that necessitated an emergent exploratory laparotomy. The patient had left first and fourth rib fractures with an adjacent hematoma around the brachial plexus, likely due to the dissection of the left subclavian artery and proximal occlusion of the left vertebral artery. He underwent several operations to repair his systemic injuries.

Computed tomography imaging revealed a three-column flexion injury through the L5-S1 space with L5 pedicle lamina fracture involving the inferior L5 endplate and superior S1 endplate fracture. His neurological examination was unremarkable except for 4/5 strength in the extensor hallucis longus.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the lumbar spine performed 3 weeks into his hospital course revealed a left L5 pedicular fracture extending into the lamina and L5-S1 articular facets, consistent with an AO type B1 injury with PLC disruption; the decision was thus made to perform an instrumented fusion for stabilization of this injury.

The patient was placed in prone with the arms in the prone surrender (superman) position with neuromonitoring of the motor evoked potentials (MEPs), somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs), and electromyography (EMG). SSEPs and EMG recordings were not reliable in the upper extremities but were recordable and remained stable compared with a pre-positioning baseline. During the case, left upper SSEPs disappeared, anesthesiology repositioned the extremity, and other factors evaluated making sure the patient remained euthermic, maintaining appropriate mean arterial pressures. MEPs were consistently present in all extremities, no EMG changes were recorded through the case. Nerve conduction studies were not performed intraoperatively but were planned during the postoperative follow-up at 3 months.

On postoperative day 1, he had a painless left upper monoparesis involving upper and lower trunks of the brachial plexus, the lower being worse than the upper. He also had wrist drop with biceps, shoulder abduction, and tricep strength significantly diminished (muscular strength in deltoid 2/5, biceps 1/5, triceps 3/5, wrist extension 1/5, interosseous 2/5, and grip 2/5). He was areflexic on the left upper extremity with diminished tone and complained of diminished sensation and patchy loss in the tips of his fingers. An MRI showed asymmetric signal increase in the left brachial plexus trunks, roots and cords in the region of the left first rib displaced fracture (Figs. 1 and 2). Surrounding tissue edema was also extensive. Neurological examination at discharge (1 month postoperatively) demonstrated a marginal improvement in proximal strength. At subsequent 3-month of follow-up with rehabilitation, the left wrist drop persisted though biceps and triceps weakness were markedly improved, and at 15 months, he had complete proximal improvement with a grip and finger extension of 3 to 4/5.

The patient regained near total recovery of his left upper extremity and with the progressive improvement, we did not feel further nerve conduction studies would change our management plan.

**Discussion**

Despite efforts to prevent compression injuries from positioning and protect the peripheral nerves during long-lasting...
surgery, 0.14% of peripheral nerve injuries result from improper operative placements, with peripheral neuropathy presenting most commonly with reports of injury as high as 40%.\(^1\) Posterior spinal surgery is the preferred approach for a wide-range of procedures including instrumentation and fusion, for spinal pathology including tumors of the spine, fractures, infections, and degenerative conditions. Positioning requires the patients arms be placed either by the patients side in a papoose or in the prone surrender “superman” position by placing the shoulders in abduction, no higher than the operating table, and placing the elbows at a right angle.\(^2,3\) One of the major complications incurred during posterior approaches to the spine are perioperative peripheral nerve injury (PPNI),\(^4\) being brachial, radial, and ulnar neuropathies some of the most common injuries, with a 3.3% estimated incidence rate.\(^5\) PPNI can be also precipitated by pre-existent peripheral neuropathies that are most commonly observed in patients over 60 years,\(^6\) with chronic conditions (as presented in this case report), tobacco users, with high body mass index, increased age, and male sex.\(^6,7\)

Other rare idiopathic and inflammatory factors likely play a significant role in its presentation.\(^6,9\) However, the leading cause of PPNI development is positioning during long-lasting surgeries in the prone steep Trendelenburg position, with shoulder or wrist traction.\(^1\)

The proximity of the brachial plexus to mobile bony structures increases the risk of damage when stretched or compressed secondary to improper surgical positioning.\(^10\) Moreover, prone positioning can result in perioperative visual loss, skin pressure necrosis (36%), displacement of catheters/venous lines (0.06%), and cannulas’ extubation (1.2%).\(^6,7,11,12\)

The advantages of such position during occipital cranial approaches and spine surgeries ultimately overcome the risk associated with other complications. The main advantages include (1) ability to easily access the neural structures including the spinal cord and nerve roots, (2) significantly decreased morbidity and mortality compared with approached from the thorax and abdomen, (3) improved field visualization, and (4) maintenance of the surgical equipment out of the surgical field. Alternatively, the limitations described include (1) pressure ulcers that arise when bony structures are compressed against pads and holders,\(^11-13\) (2) circulatory changes with a reduction of 20% in the cardiac index due to venous cava compression and reduced ventricular filling,\(^12,13\) and (3) airway compromise secondary to ventilator displacements driven by the patient facing down.\(^11\)

Mechanical factors predisposing to PPNI occurs when a nerve is stretched, transect, or compressed.\(^12,13\) Nerve’s mechanical stress more than 5 to 15% of regular length and hypoperfusion result in ischemia, edema, and axonal damage, causing conductance dysfunction.\(^12,13\) Cadaveric studies\(^12\) suggest that brachial plexopathy in the prone position is the most commonly identified risk factor resulting from arm abductions greater than 90 degrees.\(^13\) Similarly, extension and external rotation of the arms, ipsilateral rotation, lateral flexion of the neck, and shoulder braces are amongst the most commonly associated risk factors of brachial plexus-prone-related injuries described by some scholars.\(^3\) Similarly, anesthesia can cause nerve damage via ischemia due to vasoconstriction or by chemical imbalance increasing internal and external nerve pressure.\(^4\) Compressing hematomas, can rarely cause gradual brachial plexus palsies, especially after jugular vein catheterization, axillary artery injuries, or blunt trauma in the shoulder.\(^2\)

Intraoperative neuromonitoring studies are an essential tool to detect nerve damage and prevent injuries. The SSEPs appear to be a sensitive indicator of the likelihood of nerves stretching beyond normal thresholds and may serve as a potential early indicator for peripheral nerve ischemia. However, the false-negative rate of SSEPs for detecting postoperative nerve injury has been estimated at 43%.\(^14\) In our case, anesthesiology verified positioning multiple times and repositioned the SSEPs in the left upper extremity, when signal was lost. MEPs were present throughout the case and did not appear sensitive or specific in the early capture of peripheral nerve injury during surgery. Nerve conduction studies were not performed as no clinical benefit would be offered to the patient.

Additionally, repositioning, for example, by tucking the arms at the patient’s side,\(^7\) use of protective padding, and avoidance of tourniquets and airway retractors can help us to decrease injuries.\(^2,3\) Finally, the ASA task force has published a series of precautionary measures to consider.\(^15\) Notwithstanding the volatility of anesthetic agents complicating neuromonitoring signals, the anesthesiologist, along with the rest of the operating team, are encouraged to optimize factors that mitigate complications: intraoperative MAPs > 80 mm Hg avoid prolonged hypotension and optimize anesthesia drug protocols to enable sensitive and specific SSEPs monitoring and recording.\(^14\)

In our case, the patient’s significant trauma-related comorbidities, local hypoperfusion secondary to the rib fracture, hematoma, and edema may have synergistically contributed to the loss of reserve in the plexus, facilitating the brachial plexopathy, even though all precautions and appropriate positioning changes during the procedure are performed by the anesthesiology team.

**Conclusions**

Surgical positioning has evolved and is extremely safe, given the technological advances and the ability to monitor numerous patient parameters. Precautions to prevent iatrogenic injury during surgical position are routinely practiced. Elderly polytrauma patients with comorbidities are at an increased risk for positional peripheral nerve injury during surgical procedures. Electrophysiological monitoring with somatosensory potentials remains a sensitive means to detect peripheral nerve compression, enabling proactive position adjustments during surgery. Appropriate preoperative evaluation of patient pathology, image findings, and surgical position planning taking into account adjacent tissue trauma, adequate counseling, and the use of intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring, along with
collaborative teamwork with anesthesiology are essential in mitigating and reducing the risk of these rare injuries.
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