

# Photon-Counting Detectors in Computed Tomography: A Review

Muriel Jeremia Gomes<sup>1</sup> Manakkal M. Jaseemudheen<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiodiagnosis and Imaging, Medical Imaging Technology, KS Hegde Medical Academy, Mangalore, Karnataka, India

J Health Allied Sci<sup>NU</sup> 2023;13:147–152.

Address for correspondence Manakkal M Jaseemudheen, M.Sc, Department of Radiodiagnosis and Imaging, KS Hegde Medical Academy, Deralakatte, Mangalore, Karnataka- 575018, India (e-mail: jaseemudheen12@gmail.com).

## Abstract

Photon-counting computed tomography (CT) is a new technique that has the potential to revolutionize clinical CT and is predicted to be the next significant advancement. In recent years, tremendous research has been conducted to demonstrate the developments in hardware assembly and its working principles. The articles in this review were obtained by conducting a search of the MEDLINE database. Photon-counting detectors (PCDs) provide excellent quality diagnostic images with high spatial resolution, reduced noise, artifacts, increased contrast-to-noise ratio, and multienergy data acquisition as compared with conventionally used energy-integrating detector (EID). The search covered articles published between 2011 and 2021. The title and abstract of each article were reviewed as determined by the search strategy. From these, eligible studies and articles that provided the working and clinical application of PCDs were selected. This article aims to provide a systematic review of the basic working principles of PCDs, emphasize the uses and clinical applications of PCDs, and compare it to EIDs. It provides a nonmathematical explanation and understanding of photon-counting CT systems for radiologists as well as clinicians.

## Keywords

- photon-counting detectors
- photon-counting computed tomography
- energy-integrating detector

## Introduction

Computed tomographic (CT) scanners were introduced early in the 1970s, and they have since improved diagnostic radiology.<sup>1,2</sup> A CT image is described as a spatial distribution of the imaged object's linear attenuation coefficients. The coefficient at each site is determined by the object's chemical composition, mass density, and X-ray photon energy.<sup>3</sup> A vital component of the CT scanner is its detector that is responsible for image production and has a significant impact on image quality and radiation exposure. All modern commercial CT scanners utilize solid-state detectors and have a third-generation rotate-rotate design.<sup>4</sup> However, the currently used energy-integrating detector (EID) system has disadvantages as of electronic noise and low signal-to-noise ratios resulting in an increase in dose to the patient.<sup>5–7</sup> The next significant ad-

article published online July 4, 2022 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0042-1749180. ISSN 2582-4287. vancement in CT is anticipated to be the advent of energyresolved, photon-counting detectors (PCDs).<sup>8</sup> According to various studies previously performed with PCDs, CT has shown to have multiple advantages. This includes remarkably high spatial resolution, reduced electronic noise and beam-hardening and metal artifacts, increased contrast-to-noise ratio and radiation dose efficiency, and multienergy data acquisition while maintaining diagnostic quality.<sup>9,10</sup>

#### **Energy-Integrating Detectors**

Standard CT detectors use a two-step indirect conversion technique. First, a scintillator converts the X-ray energy into visible light. Second, visible light is collected and converted into an electrical charge using a photodiode (PD). **Fig. 1** shows the construction of an indirect CT array detector.<sup>11,12</sup>

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

<sup>© 2022.</sup> The Author(s).



Fig. 1 Diagram depicting energy-integrating scintillator detector.

Scintillators are commonly organized in two-dimensional arrays in current CT scanners, which include a multislice CT geometry. A reflecting material matrix forms part of the scintillator array's construction. The PDA is physically supported by the reflecting matrix, which reduces cross-talk between PDA units. A separate low-noise preamplifier is attached to each element of the PDA. This PDA collects light signals from the scintillator array and converts them to electrical impulses. PDA electrical signals are then collected and converted to digital signals by the integrated acquisition electronics. The signal is digitalized and delivered to the image reconstruction module after being integrated over a period of time.<sup>13</sup>

The individual detector cells of an EID are separated by optically opaque layers. This is done to avoid optical cross-talk. They have a minimum width of  $\sim$ 0.1 mm. They also lower the detector's geometric dosage efficiency. The X-ray photons absorbed in the separation layers do not contribute to the measured signal, despite having passed through the patient.<sup>14</sup> Moreover, electronic noise significantly influences EID images in low-dose settings (up to a 5.8% increase).<sup>15</sup> In addition to this, another disadvantage comes with spectral CT. A tube potential of at least 120 kVp is required for adequate spectrum separation. As a result, lowering the radiation dosage for young individuals depends on reducing the tube current. Increased kVp, ultimately, reduces the contrast.<sup>16</sup> Rapid voltage switching using a single X-ray tube degrades average image quality, limits dual-energy spectrum contrast, and inhibits dose re-

duction due to lack of tube current modulation.<sup>17</sup> The sensitivity to optical photons is lower in dual-layer detectors with single tubes. There is more cross-talk between the two detector layers, resulting in greater noise levels in material decomposition imaging.<sup>18</sup>

### **Photon-Counting Detectors**

EIDs are used in currently available multidetector CT scanners, wherein the total energy deposited by all photons is proportional to the measured signal without precise information about any individual photon or its energy. PCDs use direct-conversion techniques to count individual photons while measuring energy information simultaneously.<sup>6</sup> These detectors, which are based on arrays of pixelated cadmium telluride and cadmium zinc telluride, are electrically connected with application-specific integrated circuits for reading purposes. These will perform photon-counting that is quick and highly efficient.<sup>19–21</sup>

#### Photon-Counting Detectors—Basic Principles

During direct conversion, there is a production of electron-hole pairs within the semiconductor due to the absorption of X-rays. Between the cathode situated at the top and the pixelated anode electrodes situated at the bottom of the detector, the charges are separated by a strong electric field (**~ Fig. 2**).

The electrons migrate to the anodes, where they cause small current pulses lasting a few nanoseconds (109 seconds). The current pulses are converted into voltage pulses using a full width at half maximum of 10 to 15 nanoseconds in a pulse-shaping circuit. The pulse height of the voltage pulses is translated from the quantity of charge in the current pulses. As a result, the pulse height is proportional to the absorbed X-ray photons' energy E. When these pulses reach a certain threshold, they are individually measured. Typically, the minimum threshold energies are more than 20 keV.<sup>11</sup>

The threshold is set so that the pulses are greater than the electronic noise but lesser than the pulses produced by the incoming photons. Additionally, the detector may categorize incoming photons into several energy bins (usually two to eight) based on their energy by comparing each pulse to numerous threshold values. As a result, the pulse counts are nearly free of electronic noise. On the contrary, the total energy deposited throughout the measurement interval, the electronic noise included, is measured and integrated using EIDs.<sup>21</sup>

## Advantages and Applications of Photon-Counting Detectors

Compared with current detectors, one of the critical advantages of the PCD is the higher spatial resolution. PCDs developed for clinical CT feature pixel sizes that are smaller than today's typical EIDs, which have pixel sizes of the order of 1 mm. Hence, it offers a higher spatial resolution.<sup>22,23</sup> There is no requirement for extra separation layers between the individual detector cells since they are defined by the strong electric field between the electrodes. To significantly enhance spatial resolution, each "macro" detector pixel



### Individual signal of each detected photon

Fig. 2 Direct conversion photon-counting detector. CdTe, cadmium telluride.

bounded by collimator blades can be split into smaller detector subpixels that are read out individually.<sup>12</sup> Increasing spatial resolution further might assist some applications, such as lung and temporal bone imaging.<sup>24,25</sup>

When compared with solid-state scintillation detectors, there is the removal of electronic noise in PCD, which results in reduced noise in the image, reduction in streak artifacts, and stability of CT numbers in scanning with low dose or bariatric imaging.<sup>11</sup>

Photon weighting: Different weights can be assigned to photons of different energies in the processes of photon detection and image generation, impacting the signal's contrast as well as noise. The transmitted X-ray photons' energy is influenced by the contrast between two projection measurements. Low-energy photons, on average, contain more contrast information than high-energy photons. In addition, if the material composition of two projection measurements differs, the dependence of contrast on photon energy is enhanced, and higher contrast can be produced by providing more weight to photons that contain more contrast information. By giving specific photons more weight than others, the variance is increased compared with the mean value, therefore lowering the signal-to-noise ratio. Photon weighting, in many instances, is done indirectly through the material decomposition process. This process can be thought of as using the contrast and noise of the signal to estimate the thickness of the material with minimal variance.<sup>26</sup> Because tissue attenuation in the low-energy region of the X-ray spectrum is less homogenous, the higher weighting of lowenergy photons results in an increase in beam-hardening artifacts. This problem can be corrected by material decomposition.<sup>27</sup>

Low-energy photons are attenuated more by the photoelectric effect, whereas high-energy photons are attenuated mainly through the Compton scattering effect. As a result of higher photon attenuation in the low-energy spectrum due to the photoelectric effect, materials with high atomic numbers like iodine contribute to improved contrast on CT scans. The detector signal in a typical EID CT system is proportional to the total energy of all collected X-ray photons. As a result, lower-energy photons contribute very little to the detector signal than higher-energy photons from high-Z materials like iodine, which have less information. Hence, in an EID CT system, the signal produced by lower-energy photons is underweighted, lowering the contrast-to-noise ratio of the iodine signal. PCD CT systems count each individual photon uniformly regardless of the detected photon energy. As a result, low-energy photons offer more image contrast in PCD CT than in EID CT, enhancing image contrast and contrast-tonoise ratio of iodine contrast material. If the radiation dosage is maintained, the increased iodine contrast on a PCD CT system results in a better iodine contrast-to-noise ratio.<sup>4</sup>

Spectral CT, sometimes also referred to as DECT or dualenergy CT, refers to CT that makes use of two-photon spectra.<sup>28</sup> At the energy levels utilized in diagnostic imaging, X-ray photons interact with matter primarily through photoelectric effect and Compton scatter. The photoelectric effect is the process wherein an incident photon causes the ejection of an electron from an atom's K shell, causing a void in the K shell. The void is filled by an electron from a neighboring shell, resulting in the release of energy in the form of a photoelectron. When an incoming photon has adequate energy to overcome an electron's K-shell binding energy, the photoelectric effect occurs.<sup>29</sup> The photoelectric effect is energy-dependent, that is, it is dependent on the atomic number of an element to a great extent. The probability of occurrence of photoelectric interactions increases as the atomic number of an element increases. The photoelectric effect is the primary process behind the energy-dependent or "spectral" variations in attenuation observed with DECT. Therefore, higher-atomic number materials are frequently described as having significant "spectral" features.<sup>30</sup> Compton scatter affects organic compounds with a low atomic number, whereas the photoelectric effect affects organic molecules with a higher atomic number.<sup>31</sup> The so-called dual-energy technique decomposes the linear attenuation coefficient into elements from the photoelectric and Compton effects. To distinguish the photoelectric- and Compton effects in the projection domain, two spectrally different measurements of the same X-ray path through the object of interest must be taken.<sup>32</sup> One way to determine materials in a structure using a PCD is using a rigorous basis decomposition technique. This technique, however, necessitates precise calibration of the imaging system's basic functions and energy response. On the other hand, reconstructed data from each energy window can be used in conjunction with a classification algorithm to divide the structures into different materials or regions. PCDs can discriminate between different types of materials, for example, soft tissue, bone, and contrast agents having K-edges in the energy range of interest.<sup>33</sup>

Another advantage of photon-counting imaging is its capability of low-dose imaging. There are two reasons for this. The first reason is the average image quality enhancement that PCDs provide. This involves increasing contrastto-noise ratio (CNR) by using the energy information in the detected X-ray beam and improving small object visualization. PCDs have a distinct benefit when imaging with low dosage levels, that is, their ability to decrease electronic noise. PCDs employ a threshold to distinguish true counts from noise, and electronic noise may be eliminated by setting the threshold levels high enough above the noise.<sup>15,27,34</sup>

#### Discussion

PCD helps attain increased contrast among soft tissues due to a greater weighting of low-energy photons. This aids in the identification of small attenuation changes, as well as other intracranial conditions such as hemorrhage, demyelinating diseases, and tumors.<sup>35–37</sup>

One of the most well-established clinical uses of dualenergy CT is the characterization of renal stones. PCD-CT can be utilized for stone characterization since one of its potential advantages is the ability to add spectral information to any CT examination. A study performed by Ferrero et al showed that it was possible to accomplish a complete separation between uric acid and nonuric acid stones.<sup>38</sup> Another study depicted that PCD is capable of differentiating stones comprising of uric acid, calcium oxalate monohydrate, cystine, and apatite.<sup>39</sup>

Multiple contrast agents might be represented simultaneously, in vivo, using photon-counting spectral CT. Tissue enhancement at various phases can be detected in a single CT scan, thereby possibly eliminating the requirement for multiphase CT scans and ultimately lowering radiation exposure.<sup>40</sup>

Photon-counting has a greater detectability for low contrast bleeds, microbleeds, and sulcus when compared with multi-detector CT, indicating that it may be useful in identifying preexisting hemorrhages.<sup>41</sup>

In a study performed by Mannil et al, they aimed to compare the imaging features of coronary stents utilizing the new PCD to a traditional EID on CT. They observed that when compared with traditional EID arrays, the PCD delivers improved in-stent lumen delineation of coronary artery stents at similar CT scan protocol settings and the same image reconstruction parameters.<sup>42</sup>

One study aimed to determine the clinical feasibility, image quality, and radiation dose, which were achieved by halving the size of the PCD compared with standard-resolution photon-counting CT. It showed that, compared with standard-resolution photon-counting, halving the size of PCD demonstrated enhanced image quality with respect to image noise and spatial resolution.<sup>43</sup> Similarly, another study aimed to see if a high-resolution PCD system might help visualize minute features in the lungs better than a traditional high-resolution CT system. They found that compared with conventional chest CT, PCDs helped see higher-order bronchi and bronchial walls without affecting nodule assessment.<sup>44</sup>

For the identification of microcalcifications in breast tissues, Wetzl et al compared a spiral breast CT system to a digital breast tomosynthesis system. A cadmium telluride PCD was installed in the SBCT scanner, which aided in detecting microcalcifications, thereby increasing diagnostic rates.<sup>45</sup>

## Conclusion

Photon-counting CT is a promising method that is on the approach of being practically viable. This may lead to modifying CT's clinical utility in the upcoming years drastically. With its high spatial resolution, reduced noise and artifacts, increased CNR, and providing multienergy data acquisition while maintaining diagnostic quality images, photon-counting CT systems are likely to replace EIDs as technology advances.

#### Description

This article reports the current status, basic working principles, and applications of photon-counting detectors (PCD) compared with the conventional EID used for CT scanners.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

#### References

- 1 Flohr TCT. Systems. Curr Radiol Rep 2013;1(01):52-63
- 2 Pelc NJ. Recent and future directions in CT imaging. Ann Biomed Eng 2014;42(02):260–268

- <sup>3</sup> Hu H, He HD, Foley WD, Fox SH. Four multidetector-row helical CT: image quality and volume coverage speed. Radiology 2000; 215(01):55–62
- 4 Leng S, Bruesewitz M, Tao S, et al. Photon-counting detector CT: system design and clinical applications of an emerging technology. Radiographics 2019;39(03):729–743
- 5 Swank RK. Absorption and noise in x-ray phosphors. J Appl Phys 1973;44(09):4199–4203
- 6 Zhou W, Lane JI, Carlson ML, et al. Comparison of a photoncounting-detector CT with an energy-integrating-detector CT for temporal bone imaging: a cadaveric study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018;39(09):1733–1738
- 7 Shikhaliev PM, Xu T, Molloi S. Photon counting computed tomography: concept and initial results. Med Phys 2005;32(02): 427–436
- 8 Taguchi K, Iwanczyk JS. Vision 20/20: single photon counting xray detectors in medical imaging. Med Phys 2013;40(10):100901. Doi: 10.1118/1.4820371
- 9 Leng S, Rajendran K, Gong H, et al. 150-µm spatial resolution using photon-counting detector computed tomography technology: technical performance and first patient images. Invest Radiol 2018;53(11):655–662
- 10 Symons R, Cork TE, Sahbaee P, et al. Low-dose lung cancer screening with photon-counting CT: a feasibility study. Phys Med Biol 2017;62(01):202–213
- 11 Flohr T, Ulzheimer S, Petersilka M, Schmidt B. Basic principles and clinical potential of photon-counting detector CT. Chinese J Acad Radiol 2020;3(01):19–34
- 12 Flohr T, Petersilka M, Henning A, Ulzheimer S, Ferda J, Schmidt B. Photon-counting CT review. Phys Med 2020;79:126–136
- 13 Santarelli MF, Giovannetti G, Hartwig V, Celi S, Positano V, Landini L. The core of medical imaging: state of the art and perspectives on the detectors. Electronics (Basel) 2021;10(14):1642. Doi: 10.3390/electronics10141642
- 14 Ferda J, Vendiš T, Flohr T, et al. Computed tomography with a full FOV photon-counting detector in a clinical setting, the first experience. Eur J Radiol 2021;137:109614. Doi: 10.1016/j. ejrad.2021.109614
- 15 Yu Z, Leng S, Kappler S, et al. Noise performance of low-dose CT: comparison between an energy integrating detector and a photon counting detector using a whole-body research photon counting CT scanner. J Med Imaging (Bellingham) 2016;3(04):043503. Doi: 10.1117/1.JMI.3.4.043503
- 16 McCollough CH, Leng S, Yu L, Fletcher JG. Dual- and multi-energy CT: principles, technical approaches, and clinical applications. Radiology 2015;276(03):637–653
- 17 Goo HW, Goo JM, Dual-Energy CT. Dual-energy CT: new horizon in medical imaging. Korean J Radiol 2017;18(04):555–569
- 18 Faby S, Kuchenbecker S, Sawall S, et al. Performance of today's dual energy CT and future multi energy CT in virtual non-contrast imaging and in iodine quantification: a simulation study. Med Phys 2015;42(07):4349–4366
- 19 Iwanczyk JS, Nygård E, Meirav O, et al. Photon counting energy dispersive detector arrays for X-ray imaging. IEEE Trans Nucl Sci 2009;56(03):535–542
- 20 Schlomka JP, Roessl E, Dorscheid R, et al. Experimental feasibility of multi-energy photon-counting K-edge imaging in preclinical computed tomography. Phys Med Biol 2008;53(15): 4031–4047
- 21 Willemink MJ, Persson M, Pourmorteza A, Pelc NJ, Fleischmann D. Photon-counting CT: technical principles and clinical prospects. Radiology 2018;289(02):293–312
- 22 Leng S, Yu Z, Halaweish A, et al. Dose-efficient ultrahigh-resolution scan mode using a photon counting detector computed tomography system. J Med Imaging (Bellingham) 2016;3(04): 043504. Doi: 10.1117/1.JMI.3.4.043504
- 23 da Silva J, Grönberg F, Cederström B, et al. Resolution characterization of a silicon-based, photon-counting computed tomography

prototype capable of patient scanning. J Med Imaging (Bellingham) 2019;6(04):043502. Doi: 10.1117/1.JMI.6.4.043502

- 24 McCollough CH, Leng S, Sunnegardh J, et al. Spatial resolution improvement and dose reduction potential for inner ear CT imaging using a z-axis deconvolution technique. Med Phys 2013;40(6, 6Part1):061904. Doi: 10.1118/1.4802730
- 25 W. Richard Webb Nestor L. Müller David P. Naidich. High-Resolution CT of the Lung. fifth edition. (Jonathan W. Pine J, ed.). Wolters Kluwer; 2014. https://www.pdfdrive.com/high-resolution-ct-ofthe-lung-e158843252.html
- 26 Danielsson M, Persson M, Sjölin M. Photon-counting x-ray detectors for CT. Phys Med Biol 2021;66(03):03TR01. Doi: 10.1088/1361-6560/abc5a5
- 27 Shikhaliev PM. Beam hardening artefacts in computed tomography with photon counting, charge integrating and energy weighting detectors: a simulation study. Phys Med Biol 2005;50(24): 5813–5827
- 28 Johnson TRC, Dual-Energy CT. Dual-energy CT: general principles. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2012;199(5, Suppl):S3–S8
- 29 Curry TS, Dowdey JE, Murry RC, Christensen EE. Christensen's Physics of Diagnostic Radiology. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1998
- 30 Pérez-Lara A, Forghani R. Spectral computed tomography: technique and applications for head and neck cancer. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am 2018;26(01):1–17
- 31 Coursey CA, Nelson RC, Boll DT, et al. Dual-energy multidetector CT: how does it work, what can it tell us, and when can we use it in abdominopelvic imaging? Radiographics 2010;30(04):1037–1055
- 32 Roessl E, Proksa R. K-edge imaging in x-ray computed tomography using multi-bin photon counting detectors. Phys Med Biol 2007; 52(15):4679–4696
- 33 Wang X, Meier D, Taguchi K, Wagenaar DJ, Patt BE, Frey EC. Material separation in x-ray CT with energy resolved photoncounting detectors. Med Phys 2011;38(03):1534–1546
- 34 Yu Z, Leng S, Jorgensen SM, et al. Evaluation of conventional imaging performance in a research whole-body CT system with a photon-counting detector array. Phys Med Biol 2016;61(04): 1572–1595
- 35 Kidwell CS, Chalela JA, Saver JL, et al. Comparison of MRI and CT for detection of acute intracerebral hemorrhage. JAMA 2004;292 (15):1823–1830
- 36 Kim DS, Na DG, Kim KH, et al. Distinguishing tumefactive demyelinating lesions from glioma or central nervous system lymphoma: added value of unenhanced CT compared with conventional contrast-enhanced MR imaging. Radiology 2009;251(02):467–475
- 37 Fink KR, Fink JR. Imaging of brain metastases. Surg Neurol Int 2013;4(05, Suppl 4):S209–S219
- 38 Ferrero A, Gutjahr R, Halaweish AF, Leng S, McCollough CH. Characterization of urinary stone composition by use of wholebody, photon-counting detector CT. Acad Radiol 2018;25(10): 1270–1276
- Gutjahr R, Polster C, Henning A, et al. Dual energy CT kidney stone differentiation in photon counting computed tomography. In: Flohr TG, Lo JY, Gilat Schmidt T, eds. 2017:1013237 Doi: 10.1117/12.2252021
- 40 Symons R, Krauss B, Sahbaee P, et al. Photon-counting CT for simultaneous imaging of multiple contrast agents in the abdomen: An in vivo study. Med Phys 2017;44(10):5120–5127
- 41 Ji X, Zhang R, Chen G-H, Li K. Task-driven optimization of the nonspectral mode of photon counting CT for intracranial hemorrhage assessment. Phys Med Biol 2019;64(21):215014. Doi: 10.1088/1361-6560/ab43a6
- 42 Mannil M, Hickethier T, von Spiczak J, et al. Photon-Counting CT. Invest Radiol 2018;53(03):143–149
- Pourmorteza A, Symons R, Henning A, Ulzheimer S, Bluemke DA. Dose efficiency of quarter-millimeter photon-counting computed tomography: first-in-human results. Invest Radiol 2018;53(06): 365–372

#### **152 Photon-Counting Detectors in Computed Tomography** Gomes, Jaseemudheen

- 44 Bartlett DJ, Koo CW, Bartholmai BJ, et al. High-resolution chest computed tomography imaging of the lungs: impact of 1024 matrix reconstruction and photon-counting detector computed tomography. Invest Radiol 2019;54(03):129–137
- 45 Wetzl M, Wenkel E, Balbach E, et al. Detection of microcalcifications in spiral breast computed tomography with photon-counting detector is feasible: a specimen study. Diagnostics (Basel) 2021;11(05):848. Doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11050848